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# Texas Department of Transportation Aviation Division Request for Qualifications (RFQ) for Professional Engineering Services 

Brazoria County, through its agent, the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT), intends to engage a professional engineering firm for services pursuant to Chapter 2254, Subchapter A, of the Government Code. TxDOT Aviation Division will solicit and receive qualification statements for the current aviation project as described below.

Current Project: Brazoria County; TxDOT CSJ/Project No.: RFQ-2412ANGLE-00001. The TxDOT Project Manager is Robert Johnson, P.E.

Scope: Provide engineering and design services, including construction administration, to:

1. Reconstruct Parallel Taxiway A (from Runway 35 to Taxiway B).
2. Rehabilitate Parallel Taxiway A (from Taxiway B to Runway 17).
3. Rehabilitate Connector Taxiway B, E, G.
4. Realign Connector Taxiway C.
5. Install Runway 17-35 Medium Intensity Runway Lights.
6. Install Taxiway Medium Intensity Taxiway Lights.
7. Install Signs.
8. Install Precision Approach Path Indicators, Wind-Cone, Beacon, and Vault.

The Agent, in accordance with the provisions of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (78 Stat. 252, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000d to $2000 \mathrm{~d}-4$ ) and the Regulations, hereby notifies all respondents that it will affirmatively ensure that for any contract entered into pursuant to this advertisement, disadvantaged business enterprises will be afforded full and fair opportunity to submit in response to this solicitation and will not be discriminated against on the grounds of race, color, or national origin in consideration for an award.

The proposed contract is subject to 49 CFR Part 26 concerning the participation of Disadvantaged Business Enterprises (DBE).

The DBE goal for the design phase of the current project is $7.5 \%$ The goal will be re-set for the construction phase.

Utilizing multiple engineering/design and construction grants over the course of the next five years, future scope of work items at the Texas Gulf Coast Regional Airport may include the following: Fuel farm improvements.

Brazoria County reserves the right to determine which of the services listed above may or may not be awarded to the successful firm and to initiate additional procurement action for any of the services listed above.

To assist in your qualification statement preparation, the criteria, project diagram, and most recent Airport Layout Plan are available online at http://www.dot.state.tx.us/avn/avninfo/notice/consult/index.html by selecting "Texas Gulf Coast Regional Airport" The qualification statement should address a technical approach for the current scope only. Firms shall use page 4, Recent Airport Experience, to list relevant past projects.

## AVN-550 Preparation Instructions:

Interested firms shall utilize the latest version of Form AVN-550, titled "Qualifications for Aviation Architectural/Engineering Services". The form may be requested from TxDOT, Aviation Division, 125 E. 11th Street, Austin, Texas 78701-2483, phone number, (800)68-PILOT (74568). The form may be emailed by request or downloaded from the TxDOT website at http://www.txdot.gov/inside-txdot/division/aviation/projects.html. The form may not be altered in any way and must not contain Quick Response (QR) codes or links. The form fields must be completed in black font, without changing the existing font size or color, and must not contain any bold or italicized words. If a firm is non-compliant, the submittal of AVN-550 will be deemed as non-responsive. Firms must carefully follow the instructions provided on each page of the form. Qualifications shall not exceed the number of pages in the AVN-550 template. The AVN-550 consists of eight pages of data plus one optional illustration page. A prime provider may only submit one AVN-550. If a prime provider submits more than one AVN-550 or submits a cover page with the AVN-550, that provider will be disqualified. Responses to this solicitation WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED IN ANY OTHER FORMAT.

ATTENTION: To ensure utilization of the latest version of Form AVN-550, firms are encouraged to download Form AVN-550 from the TxDOT website as addressed above. Utilization of Form AVN-550 from a previous download may not be the exact same format. Form AVN-550 is a PDF Template.

The Form AVN-550 must be completed accurately to include the correct Airport Name and TxDOT Project ID number. The completed Form AVN-550 must be received in the TxDOT Aviation eGrants system no later than April 18, 2024, 2:00 p.m. (CDST). Electronic facsimiles or forms sent by email or regular/overnight mail will not be accepted.

Firms that wish to submit a response to this solicitation must be a user in the TxDOT Aviation eGrants system no later than one business day before the solicitation due date. To request access to eGrants, please complete the Contact Us web form located at http://txdot.gov/government/funding/egrants-2016/aviation.html

An instructional video on how to respond to a solicitation in eGrants is available at http://txdot.gov/government/funding/egrants-2016/aviation.html

Step by step instructions on how to respond to a solicitation in eGrants will also be posted in the RFQ packet at http://www.dot.state.tx.us/avn/avninfo/notice/consult/index.htm.

The consultant selection committee will be composed of local government representatives. The final selection by the committee will generally be made following the completion of review of AVN-550s. The committee will review all AVN-550s and rate and rank each. The Evaluation Criteria for Engineering Qualifications can be found at http://www.txdot.gov/inside-txdot/division/aviation/projects.html under Information for Consultants. All firms will be notified and the top rated firm will be contacted to begin fee negotiations for the design and bidding phases. The selection committee does, however, reserve the right to conduct interviews for the top-rated firms if the committee deems it necessary. If interviews are conducted, selection will be made following interviews.

Please contact TxDOT Aviation for any technical or procedural questions at (800)-68-PILOT (74568). For procedural questions, please contact Sheri Quinlan, Contract Specialist. For technical questions, please contact Robert Johnson, P.E., Project Manager.

For questions regarding responding to this solicitation in eGrants, please contact the TxDOT Aviation help desk at 1-800-687-4568 or avn-egrantshelp@txdot.gov.

## EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR ARCHITECTURAL/ENGINEERING QUALIFICATIONS

TxDOT Aviation recommends that the Selection Committee, in evaluating detailed qualifications from the listed architects/engineers, use the following criteria. They should suffice for most projects. You will notice that we have proposed scoring values for each criterion. Should there be special circumstances, criteria and their respective scoring values may be adjusted. Your TxDOT project manager will be glad to help should this be the case.

## 1. Recent experience of the project team with comparable airport projects within the past ten years. (25 points)

Do the qualifications indicate that the project team has recent direct experience on other general aviation airports designing similar improvements to those proposed at this location? [Sources of information: Aviation Project Design Team Form, Recent Relevant Airport Experience Form, and possibly the Optional Summary.]
2. Proposed technical approach ( $\mathbf{3 0}$ points)

Does the architect/engineer provide evidence of understanding of the project; and any unique architectural/engineering aspects associated with the proposed project and how to address them? [Sources of information: Proposed Technical Approach to Project, and possibly the Optional Summary.]
3. Project design schedule and ability to meet schedules and deadlines (25
points) points)

Does the proposed design team have sufficient time to work on this project? Has the firm demonstrated an ability to meet design schedules in the past? Reasonableness of proposed schedule [Sources of information: Aviation Project Design Team Form, Recent Relevant Airport Experience Form, Project Design Schedule Form and possibly the Optional Summary.]

## 4. Construction Management Experience (20 points)

The architect/engineer will oversee the airport construction. Therefore, it is critical that the architect/engineer be involved in the day-to-day construction activities through a full-time resident project representative and periodic site visits. What evidence do the qualifications provide as to the architect/engineer's commitment to proactive and consistent representation during construction? [Source of information: Relevant Airport Experience form; proposed Technical Approach to Project; and possibly the Optional Summary]
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## Legend

1) Reconstruct Parallel TWY Alpha (from RWY 35 to TWY B)
2) Rehabilitate Parallel TWY Alpha (from TWY B to RWY 17)
3) Rehabilitate Connector TWY B, E, G
4) Realign Connector TWY $C$
5) Install RWY 17-35 MIRLs
6) Install Taxiway MITLs
7) Install Signs
8) Install PAPIs, Wind-Cone, Beacon, Vault
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## Instructions for Responding to an RFQSolicitation

## Aviation Division

| eGran Workflow |  | RFQ Response |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| eGrant | Role: | Subgrantee Administrator (SA) Subgrantee Staff (SS) |  |
| eGran <br> eGrant |  | https://apps2.dot.state.tx.us/apps/egrants2/logout2.aspx <br> eGrants help desk Monday - Friday 8AM - 4PM CD/ST (excludingstate/federal holidays) avn-egrantshelp@txdot.gov or 1-800-687-4568 |  |
| STEP | ROLE | ACTIONS | NOTES |
| 01 | SA | Go to View Opportunities. <br> a. Select Apply Now to the opportunity <br> b. The RFQ Response Menu is opened | Very important to click on the name of the document and not the organization name <br> Make a note of the opportunity due date to ensure you respond in time |
| 02 | SA/SS | Click on View, Edit and Complete Forms <br> a. Select RFQ Applicant Form <br> b. Confirm Project information and address <br> c. Upload AVN-550, 550D, 551 or 551D <br> PLEASE MAKE SURE YOU SELECT THE CORRECT PDF FILE BEFORE CHANGING STATUS.* <br> d. Hit Save | You should print the proposal document to a PDF so that it becomes un-editable. Or, you may upload a scanned copy of the AVN550, 550D, 551, or 551D. |
| 03 | SA | When you are ready to submit your response, click on Save and submit to CS review YOU ARE DONE | You will get an email saying the response was successfully submitted; the status must be changed to RFQ Response in CS Review by the due date and time posted in the solicitation. |
| 04 | SA/SS | $\frac{\text { WAIT UNTIL A SELECTION NOTIFICATIONIS SENT TO }}{\text { YOU }}$ |  |
| 05 | SS/SA | AFTER SELECTION NOTIFICATION IS RECEIVED Log in to view status of response. Once the scores are verified, TxDOT will move the response to an interview, selected or not selected status of which you can log in to see the status of your response. | The selection notification will refer users to eGrants to view the status of their response. User may also view the TxDOT website for selection information. |

*If the responder posts the incorrect file.

- If status has been changed and the due date for the response has not expired, contact the help desk to ask for the status to be administratively changed back to Response in Process.
- If the incorrect file was posted, the incorrect file may be deleted and the correct one posted as long as the status has not been changed to Response in CS Review. Respondent will need to check the "delete" box and hit save. The page refreshes. Then post the correct file, save, and change the status.

If you are not set up in eGrants and wish to respond to a posted solicitation, you may contact the aviation help desk for assistance by using the webform available at eGrants Help DeskForm

Some organizations will have many user members. Each organization should determine which user member will submit the completed avn-550, 550D, 551, or 551D in eGrants. after the opportunity is selected for the organization, it will no longer appear on any other user's home page unless the initiating user cancels the response.

Address: 814 Thornwick Drive
Houston, TX 77079
Phone: 281-630-1888
E-mail: tdodson@Civil-PEs.com
TBPE Firm No.: F-18997
PES
Professional • Engineers

| Urgent | $\rightarrow$ For review | Please comment | Please reply | Please recycle |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Technical Memorandum - Final

| Date: | October 31, 2023 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| To: | Robert Johnson, PE |  |  |
| From: | Thomas D Dodson, PE TD | Proj.No.: | 2212ANGLE\| 4222AVNAFI Work Order 1 |
| Re : | Texas Gulf Coast Regional Airport Condition Assessment of Taxiway A and Connectors | Civil PEs <br> Proj.No.: | 2212ANGLE |
| Cc: | File |  |  |

In accordance with the scope of services proposed in the assessment of the pavement of Taxiway A and its connectors, below is a discussion of the criteria used and calculations resulting in a program-level project scale and cost estimate for capital improvement programming. The purpose of this technical memorandum is to identify the pavement's structural capacity, provide a conceptual design for repairs, and estimate the construction cost of those designs. This discussion is arranged into topics listed below:

## Executive Summary

A key component to assessing the rehabilitation needs of pavement at Texas Gulf Coast Regional Airport is the current aircraft traffic, and what design requirements will be in place with the determination of traffic levels and types. While a lengthy traffic history was available through the Traffic Flow Management System Counts (TFMSC), a new traffic data source from the airport's VirTower system, collected since February of 2023, was also available. With a comparison of the two sources, a full year of expected traffic data was extrapolated. The derived traffic data showed that a Dual Wheel, $100,000 \mathrm{lb}$. aircraft cohort easily met the 500 annual operations limit to be deemed the design aircraft. This design aircraft, along with a similarly derived fleet of lesser-weight aircraft were compiled into a fleet mix of traffic for the pavement analysis and rehabilitation design.

The existing taxiway pavement at the airport consists of three pavement sections, based on when the pavements were constructed and/or repaired. Using the thicknesses measured from the cores and HWD, pavement section layer strengths were derived using the FAA's "BAKFAA" software. These pavement sections, evaluated as both with standard pavement section materials, determined by back-calculation and statistically adjusted methods were found to have insufficient strength and require some level or rehabilitation to carry the existing traffic the airport currently experiences, much less the future.

Numerous pavement sections were evaluated for rehabilitation. The recommended sections are:

| Section <br> Number | Pavement Section | Weight Rating | Comments |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Remove 21", Asphalt (4") on Cement- <br> Treated Base (5") on Quality Base (12") | S-79, D-100, 2D-157 | Full-depth Rehabilitation |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Mill and Replace Asphalt (4") | S-93, D-139, 2D-209 | Existing base and subbase are <br> significant in thickness |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Mill and Replace Asphalt (4") | S-111, D-169, 2D- <br> 250, 2D/2D2-697 | Existing base and subbase are <br> significant |

The recommended options in each Section will total approximately $\$ 7,223,000$ to construct. Other services, along with escalation at $8 \%$ for two years, brings the total project cost to just under $\$ 10$ million.

| Description | Cost |
| :--- | ---: |
| Construction | $\$ 7,223,000$ |
| RPR, CA Services, Material Testing | 475,000 |
| Contingency | 770,000 |
| 2 yrs. Escalation | $1,410,000$ |
| Grand Total | $\$ 9,878,000$ |

Contingency, professional services, and testing should be included for a programmed total cost of $\$ 9,900,000$. These costs are based on FY 2025 escalated values.

The discussion below details the methodologies and calculations carried out with this analysis. There are also four (4) attachments. They are:

| Attachment 1 | Pavement Evaluation Study <br> (HWD Preliminary Results) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Attachment 2 | Material Strength Calculations <br> (Backcalculation Results) |
| Attachment 3 | Geotechnical and Pavement <br> Evaluation (Soils Report) |
| Attachment 4 | FAARFIELD Pavement Designs |

## Project Development Criteria

The following assessment follows published methods and procedures published by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) in the assessment and design of pavement repairs. Design parameters utilize FAA pavement design software "FAARFIELD", latest edition. The following specific Advisory Circulars apply:

Table 1 - Criteria for Analysis

| Document | Title | Notes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Traffic Flow Management System | Annual Data - using 12 months from July <br> 2022 through June 2023 |
| 150/5320-6D | Pavement Design | FAARFIELD Version 2.18 |
| $150 / 5000-17$ | Critical Aircraft and Regular Use <br> Determination | An operation is an arrival or a departure, <br> but since the pavement design is based on <br> departures only, we used departures only <br> for convenience. |

Traffic levels have been previously reported. For the conceptual design to follow, using all the reported aircraft models creates a computational issue as FAARFIELD models each aircraft as input. Instead, we use generic aircraft configured by FAARFIELD to fill 14 different aircraft configurations - both single- and dual-wheel aircraft. For the sake of design requirements for the pavement, the traffic is based on critical aircraft being a generic dual-wheel, $100,000 \mathrm{lb}$. aircraft. The traffic mix for pavement design based on aircraft weight groups listed in the FAARFIELD software is as follows, using annual departures:

Table 2 - Design Traffic Mix

| Aircraft Weight Group | $\mathbf{1 2}$ Mos Departures |
| :---: | :---: |
| S-5 | 340 |
| S-10 | 200 |
| S-12.5 | 110 |
| S-15 | 13 |
| S-20 | 44 |
| S-25 | 2 |
| D-15 | 113 |
| D-20 | 16 |
| D-25 | 36 |
| D-30 | 26 |
| D-40 | 73 |
| D-50 | 2 |
| D-75 | 10 |
| D-100 | 860 |
|  |  |

## Taxiway Pavement Assessment

A review of plans from previous projects, confirmed by the cores taken, shows that there are primarily three pavement sections along Taxiway $A$ and its connectors.

For the sake of the discussion in this assessment, the Sections are:

Table 3 - Summary of Existing Pavement Sections

|  | Section | Location | Project(s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 귿 | Previously overlaid new construction pavement (About Sta $0+$ to Sta $12+$ ) | On the south end of the airfield (up to Connector Taxiway B) | Original Construction in AIP <br> Project 3-48-0238-10-93 <br> Overlaid in TxDOT CSJ 0212ANGLE |
| N | A reconstructed pavement (About Sta 13+ to Sta 61+ and Sta 72+ to Sta 75+) | Taxiway A from TW B to past TW G , and again from south of TW J north and to the runway All Connector Taxiways | Reconstructed to subgrade in TxDOT CSJ 0212ANGLE |
| - | A previously overlaid pavement reconstruction. (About Sta 62+ to Sta 71+) | Taxiway A from north of TW G to just south of TW J | Patch reconstructed to subgrade in AIP Project 3-48-0238-13-95 Overlaid in TxDOT CSJ 0212ANGLE |

The Sections are located as shown in Figure 2.


Figure 1 - Pavement Layout by Sections

## Heavy Weight Deflectometer Analysis

Heavy-weight Deflectometer (HWD) measures were taken on July 18, 2023, using a Dyna R-80 trailer-mounted deflectometer. Two drops were performed at each setup, with passes about 10 feet off the centerline of the taxiways on both sides. One set of drops was in the 30,000 -pound range and another around 40,000 pounds. There is an additional evaluation of the HWD data, presented in Attachment 1 - Pavement Evaluation Study of Parallel Taxiway (Taxiway A) Texas Regional Gulf Coast Regional Airport (LBX), with our additional analysis discussed below.

Measured deflections in the 30,000-pound range were small and varied widely. The data was collected, but we only back-calculated pavement course strengths from the 40,000-pound drop data for this analysis. Backcalculation of the

HWD measures to determine the modulus of each pavement section was modeled through the FAA "BAKFAA" program. This program utilizes "seed" strength values for various parts of the pavement sections. For the discussion below, a comparison of the material measures versus the "seed" values is made to reference whether the material may be suitable for re-use in the new pavement design.

The complete tabulation of the backcalculated results are included in Attachment 2, organized by Section and Taxiway.

## Backcalculation Results: TW A South of TW B (Section 1)

Section 1 was cored during this site investigation in one location, near Station $6+00$ of our measures along TW A. The results effectively matched the pavement section as indicated in the overlay plans from Project 0212ANGLE:

| 2" Asphalt Overlay |
| :---: |
| $4.5^{\prime \prime}$ Original Asphalt Surface |
| 7" Quality Base (P-209) |
| $-25^{\prime \prime}$ Lime Stabilized Soil |

These measured section thicknesses were input as the pavement layers into BAKFAA.
Due to the relatively short length of this portion of the taxiway, only 12 and 13 drop locations were measured on the right and left sides, respectively.

Using the pavement sections listed above, we utilized the FAA's backcalculation model BAKFAA, version 3.4.2 ${ }^{1}$. For Section 1, we conducted two backcalculations of the pavement. The first backcalculation allowed the program to determine the modulus value of the two asphalt layers and the two underlying layers.

The total reported values from each backcalculation were also averaged for the section, and a standard deviation was subtracted from the average to report as an " $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile" value. However, due to the high variability of the backcalculated values in the surface asphalt, underlying asphalt, and base, using one standard deviation would result in unrealistically low values. Instead, we limited the standard deviation deduction to no more than $50 \%$ of the average. The limitation on the reduction made the lowest reported value to be no less than half the calculated average and is reported as a "corrected percentile" instead. The subgrade in Section 1 did not vary as much, and the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile value was reported for it.

Additionally, because the subgrade measures were made via a dynamic (HWD) loading, listed subgrade strengths are reduced to $1 / 2$ the backcalculated strength. This is a conservative value for the static-load strength of the clay material in the subgrade ${ }^{2}$. The results of the backcalculation of the section strengths are shown in Table 4:

Table 4-Section 1: Full Backcalculation Results - Corrected or 85th Percentile

|  | Seed Value | Left Backcalc | Right Backcalc | Average |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Surface Asphalt | $200,000 \mathrm{psi}$ | $106,211 \mathrm{psi}$ | $143,121 \mathrm{psi}$ | $124,666 \mathrm{psi}$ |
| Base Asphalt | $400,000 \mathrm{psi}$ | $130,330 \mathrm{psi}$ | $203,822 \mathrm{psi}$ | $167,076 \mathrm{psi}$ |

[^0]|  | Seed Value | Left Backcalc | Right Backcalc | Average |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Base | $75,000 \mathrm{psi}$ | $59,156 \mathrm{psi}$ | $64,990 \mathrm{psi}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 , 0 7 3} \mathbf{~ p s i}$ |
| Subgrade* | $9,000 \mathrm{psi}$ | $8,023 \mathrm{psi}$ | $8,516 \mathrm{psi}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 2 6 9} \mathbf{~ p s i}$ |

* Value is reduced to $1 / 2$ the back calculated value

A second backcalculation model was also run, holding the strength value of the asphalt surface material rather than letting the program back-calculate a value. This run did not yield useful results, so inclusion in this report was discarded.

## Back-calculation Results: TW A North of TW B and All Connectors (Section 2)

Most of the taxiway pavement at the airport is comprised of Section 2. Taxiway A alone had 56 and 60 drop locations to the right and left of the centerline, respectively. As noted above, this pavement section was part of a taxiway-wide reconstruction and widening project constructed before 2004. The pavement section consists of the following layers:

| $4.7^{\prime \prime}$ Asphalt |
| :---: |
| $8^{\prime \prime}$ Quality Base (P-209) |
| $15.2^{\prime \prime}$ Granular Base (P-154) |
| $\sim 25^{\prime \prime}$ Lime Stabilized Soil |

There are several locations where this pavement section occurs on the taxiway system at the airport:

- Taxiway A from Taxiway B to approximately 1000 feet south of TW H
- Connector Taxiways B, C, D, E, G, and the north connector to RW17
- The northern connector Taxiway A to the runway.

The backcalculation results of the asphalt, base, and subbase varied widely, while the subgrade showed consistent results. As we did in Section 1, we applied a modified approach to using a standard deviation deduction that was no more than $50 \%$ of the average for the calculated results for all the drops. This $50 \%$ limitation is applied to the base and subbase. The subgrade had a low variability at around $25 \%$. The strengths used for the design of Section 2 are listed in Table 5.

Table 5-Section 2: Full Backcalculation Results - Corrected or 85th Percentile

| Sta 13+ to 61+ | Seed Value | Left Backcalc | Right Backcalc | Average |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Surface Asphalt | $200,000 \mathrm{psi}$ | $217,747 \mathrm{psi}$ | $244,846 \mathrm{psi}$ | $231,297 \mathrm{psi}$ |
| Base | $75,000 \mathrm{psi}$ | $73,547 \mathrm{psi}$ | $88,358 \mathrm{psi}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 , 9 5 2} \mathbf{~ p s i}$ |
| Subbase | $40,000 \mathrm{psi}$ | $15,422 \mathrm{psi}$ | $14,958 \mathrm{psi}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 , 1 9 0} \mathbf{~ p s i}$ |
| Subgrade | $9,000 \mathrm{psi}$ | $10,638 \mathrm{psi}$ | $6,962 \mathrm{psi}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 8 0 0} \mathbf{~ p s i}$ |

* Value is reduced to $1 / 2$ the back calculated value

The results, when compared to Section 1, are similar and are near the "seed" value expected for the material.

## Back-calculation Results: Taxiway A North of Taxiway G (Section 3)

Section 3 pavement represents a reasonably small but still significant portion of Taxiway A that needs analysis to ensure this pavement is rehabilitated to function for the future as it represents a significant part of the operation (being along the route for the predominant departures at the airport) of the airfield pavement. This pavement was
reconstructed in a project funded in 1995 and was overlaid with the construction of CSJ 0212ANGLE. The pavement section is as follows:

| 2 " Asphalt Overlay |
| :---: |
| $4.5^{\prime \prime}$ Original Asphalt Surface |
| $18.5^{\prime \prime}$ Quality Base (P-209) |
| $\sim 12$ " Lime Stabilized Soil |

Section 3 had 22 and 18 drops on the left and right sides of the centerline, respectively. The values reported below in Table 6 show the section items' corrected and 85th percentile values.

Table 6 - Section 3: Full Backcalculation Results - Corrected or 85th Percentile

|  | Seed Value | Left Backcalc | Right Backcalc | Average |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Surface Asphalt | $200,000 \mathrm{psi}$ | $182,281 \mathrm{psi}$ | $184,526 \mathrm{psi}$ | $183,403 \mathrm{psi}$ |
| Base Asphalt | $400,000 \mathrm{psi}$ | $228,561 \mathrm{psi}$ | $331,172 \mathrm{psi}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 9 , 8 6 7} \mathrm{psi}$ |
| Base | $75,000 \mathrm{psi}$ | $61,528 \mathrm{psi}$ | $62,795 \mathrm{psi}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 , 1 6 1} \mathbf{~ p s i}$ |
| Subgrade* | $9,000 \mathrm{psi}$ | $9,991 \mathrm{psi}$ | $9,421 \mathrm{psi}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 7 0 6} \mathbf{~ p s i}$ |

* Value is reduced to $1 / 2$ the back calculated value

The surface, base asphalt, and base material varied enough that the standard deviation exceeded $50 \%$ of the average, so the results reported in Table 6 are corrected, while the subgrade did not, resulting in only about $17 \%$ of the average. The base material, run in the model as a P-209 material, yielded a backcalculated result of about $83 \%$ of the seed value as P-209 base. Nonetheless, it is a very thick base material section - over one-and-a-half feet thick.

## Site Visit and Existing Condition

On June 28 and 29, 2023, our geotechnical subconsultant cored pavements along Taxiways A and B to measure the pavement section and carry out Dynamic Cone Penetrometer (DCP) testing of the subgrade. Commentary and results of that fieldwork are discussed below and are included in Attachment 3-Geotechnical and Pavement Evaluation Study of Parallel Taxiway.

## Dynamic Cone Penetrometer Measures

Each of the six core locations was tested at the subgrade level using a dynamic cone penetrometer.


Figure 2 - DCP Field Testing
The data was used to confirm the existing subgrade strength. The strength is discussed in Attachment 2, but we summarized the values from the DCP and compared them to the backcalculated values. The backcalculated modulus values are uncorrected since DCP, like HWD, are dynamic measures of soil strength.

Table 7 - DCP and Backcalculated Subgrade Measures

| Location | DCP Result <br> (Modulus in psi) | Backcalculated Subgrade <br> (Modulus in psi) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Bore 1B | 13,000 | 21,900 |
| Bore 2B | 19,700 | 10,500 |
| Bore 3B | 19,400 | 19,300 |
| Bore 4B | 16,500 | 21,900 |
| Bore 5B | 16,900 | 27,000 |
| Bore 6B | 13,600 | 21,900 |

More study is needed to determine whether these two measures differ significantly. The reported backcalculated values above are specific to the drop location nearest the borehole, and the values do not include the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile reduction applied during the design process below.

## FAARFIELD Design

As discussed above, each section was used with the BAKFAA software to determine the strength of the existing pavement layers. The next step in the process is to design rehabilitation that could fit with the existing pavement and meet the traffic volume already determined. The FAARFIELD program also sets minimum layer thicknesses, most particularly asphalt at $4^{\prime \prime}$, CTB at $5^{\prime \prime}$, and P-220 as a stabilized base at $12^{\prime \prime}$. Using minimum thicknesses can cause the pavement section to be much stronger than required. Since there is an existing pavement, most of the "design" is confirmation that the pavement section meets the design traffic and weights. Many of the following pavement sections utilize the FAARFIELD "Life" calculation. Any pavement life exceeding 20 years is considered sufficient to carry the design traffic. When new pavement sections (like concrete) are introduced, a design is run to determine the needed thickness of the parts of the new design section.

Since the design traffic has aircraft over $100,000 \mathrm{lbs}$, the design sections are required to include a stabilized base layer under the surface course ${ }^{3}$. We designed the pavements accounting for the backcalculated strength of the subgrade but made no assumptions that the lime-stabilized subgrade functioned as any part of the pavement section. When the design only involves an overlay (like a rehabilitation), we did not consider the addition of a stabilized base. Further investigation should be taken during design to determine if overlay design without a stabilized base beneath it constitutes a Modification of Standards (MOS). This analysis provides multiple options for pavement rehabilitation strategies through each of the three sections. All of the data output from the FAARFIELD design runs, including design reports, life calculations, PCR, and 5010 outputs are included in Attachment 4.

## Section 1

As a first run, we conducted a life calculation using the subgrade, base, and original asphalt strengths from the backcalculated value listed above. Then, we used the FAARFIELD standard pavement layer for the asphalt overlay (current surface). The results were disappointing when using the traffic mix set for this analysis. The performance characteristics, consisting of the calculated pavement life, Pavement Classification Rating (PCR), and single, dual, and dual-tandem wheel weight limits (as 5010 data fields) are tabulated below:

| Section 1: Existing Pavement Section Performance |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Section | Asphalt $\left(6.5^{\prime \prime}\right)$ on Base ( $7^{\prime \prime}$ ) |
| Life | 0 years |
| PCR | 152/F/D/X/T |
| 5010 Data | S-39, D-55 |

While the distress of the pavement in Section 1 is not readily apparent, significant materials are needed to strengthen the pavement section to carry the anticipated traffic. Below is a discussion on rehabilitation strategies, working with the existing pavement section when possible.

## Option 1 - Remove all asphalt and some base to replace with P-304 Cement Treated Base and P-401

Asphalt
The first option under Section 1 rehabilitation is to remove the existing asphalt surface and original surfacing, then add new material to meet the stabilized base requirement and strengthen the overall pavement. The minimum thickness for asphalt is four (4) inches, and for cement-treated base is five (5) inches. Using this pavement section leaves only $41 / 2$ inches of remaining existing base. Upon running the FAARFIELD design using part of this existing base, the result is that there is not enough base to follow this section. The result of this option would be a full reconstruction (probably being able to keep the existing lime-stabilized subgrade but removing the top $71 / 2$ inches) made up of quality base (P-209) at 12 inches, cement-treated base (P-304) at five (5) inches, and asphalt surface ( P 401) at four (4) inches. The performance characteristics of this section are:

| Section 1: Rehabilitation Option 1 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Section | Asphalt (4") on Cement-Treated Base (5") <br> on Quality Base (12") |
| Life | 20 years |
| PCR | 322/F/D/X/T |
| 5010 Data | S-79, D-100, 2D-157 |

[^1]This design section does not recycle any portion of the existing pavement.

## Option 2 - Remove part or all the asphalt and overlay with asphalt.

The second option under Section 1 rehabilitation is strengthening the pavement with an asphalt overlay. The FAARFIELD design for asphalt overlay, when keeping some part of the original asphalt surface, requires two (2) inches as the minimum thickness of the remaining asphalt. For this design, we kept the bottommost two inches of the original asphalt and let the software design the required overlay to achieve the strength needed. The results are that $91 / 2$ inches of new asphalt are needed, resulting in a grade change of +5 inches. The performance characteristics of this section are as follows:

## Section 1: Rehabilitation Option 2

| Section | Asphalt ( 9.5 ") on milled existing pavement |
| :--- | :--- |
| Life | 20 years |
| PCR | 327/F/D/X/T |
| 5010 Data | S-80, D-102, 2D-159 |

A grade change of five inches is significant, given the relatively flat nature of the airfield. Additional engineering analysis is needed to verify if such an overlay could be achieved.

Option 3 - Remove surface and recycle in-situ base with P-220 Cement Treated Soil Base Course and P501 Concrete Surface
The last option under Section 1 involves the idea of milling much of the surface and mixing the bottommost 12 inches of pavement (consisting of seven (7) inches of base and five (5) inches of asphalt) to form a stabilized base under the concrete. While life-cycle cost analysis is not part of the scope of this memo, we conducted concrete pavement designs for each Section to determine the pavement thickness needed to switch from asphalt to concrete. Concrete would also bring the taxiway to the same surface material as the adjacent runway. The resulting design from FAARFIELD was a $10 \frac{1}{2}$ inch concrete pavement over the stabilized base.

| Section 1: Rehabilitation Option 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Section | Concrete (10.5") on Cement Treated Soil Base (12") via recycling of existing base |
| Life | 20 years |
| PCR | 333/R/D/X/T |
| 5010 Data | S-87, D-105, 2D-172 |

This section adds 9 inches to the grade of the taxiway compared to the existing one. Such a rise in grade is probably not allowable. However, removing the pavement to lower the top of the subgrade (our field investigation found $\sim 25$ inches of lime-stabilized subgrade) to make room for the section could be a way to recycle this material to re-use it.

## Section 2

For Section 2 pavement, we also conducted a life calculation using the subgrade strength and standard strengths for P-154 subbase, P-304 cement treated base, and P-401 asphalt at the layer thicknesses as found using the field investigation and listed in the backcalculation models discussed above. The result was surprising. At the traffic levels listed in the earlier technical memo, the Section 2 pavement has a life of over 50 years - which should not be treated
as a quantitative value - but indicates that the pavement section has sufficient strength to carry the current and anticipated traffic for the airport. We know the pavement's surface is distressed and needs renewal, but it is encouraging to know that pavement reconstruction is not required for much of the taxiway pavement.

| Section 2: Existing Pavement Section Performance |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Section | Asphalt (4.7") on Quality Base ( $8^{\prime \prime}$ ) on <br> Subbase (15.2") |
| Life | $\gg 20$ years |
| PCR | $405 /$ F/C/X/T |
| 5010 Data | S-100, D-153, 2D-225, 2D/2D2-644 |

Given the condition of the surface of the taxiway, some rehabilitation is warranted. The following discussion provides some options for each pavement section.

Option 1 - Remove surface and some base to replace with P-304 Cement Treated Base and P-401 Asphalt The first option under Section 2 rehabilitation is to remove enough of the existing pavement section to install a new layer of cement-treated base (as P-304 with a bond-breaker) under a new asphalt surface. Such a stabilized base was used in the runway pavement during its reconstruction. The results of the FAARFIELD design for this option yielded that a minimal layer of P-304 cement-treated base of five (5) inches was needed under a new four (4) inch asphalt surface.

| Section 2: | Rehabilitation Option 1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Section | New Asphalt (4") on Cement Treated Base <br> $\left(5^{\prime \prime}\right)$ on the existing base |
| Life | $\gg 20$ years |
| PCR | $431 /$ F/C/X/T |
| 5010 Data | S-106, D-161, 2D-238, 2D/2D2-671 |

This pavement section typifies the over-design that can occur when minimum pavement sections are required.

Option 2 - Remove surface and recycle in-situ base with P-220 Cement Treated Soil Base Course and P401 Asphalt
The second option under Section 2 rehabilitation is to remove enough of the existing pavement section to install a new layer of stabilized base under a new asphalt surface. This meets the requirements of the stabilized pavement section for aircraft weights but instead recycles the existing material. For this section design, we used a conservative assumption of the modulus value of the stabilized base, selecting half the CBR=100 value as outlined in the specification, using a modulus of 75,000 psi for the stabilized base (CBR=50). This value is already very close to the backcalculated value of the base material in its current section. The FAARFIELD results for this section are as follows:

| Section 2: | Rehabilitation Option 2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Section | New Asphalt (4"), Cement Treated Soil Base <br> $\left(12^{\prime \prime}\right)$ via recycling of existing base |
| Life | $\gg 20$ years |
| PCR | $422 / F / C / X / T$ |

```
5010 Data S-104, D-158, 2D-233, 2D/2D2-662
```

Stabilizing the base with some cement (but not creating a rigid pavement structure) would be beneficial for the longterm performance of the pavement, reducing the chances that a rigid layer will reflectively crack through the asphalt surface. A constructability review of the possibilities of recycling the section should be undertaken. Since the taxiway alignment is not changing, windrowing to stabilize part of the section may pose a logistical challenge on an active airfield.

## Option 3 - Remove surface and overlay with P-401 Asphalt

The last option under Section 2 rehabilitation is to replace the existing pavement with an asphalt overlay that meets the design. Since this section relies on the existing pavement courses below the surfacing, we used the backcalculated values for the base, subbase, and subgrade and let FAARFIELD compute an asphalt thickness that gets over twenty (20) years on life calculation. We do this because the FAARFIELD program cannot design an overlay with the existing section removed (milled) but must leave at least two inches of the lowest asphalt. The results are much like the life calculation run on the existing pavement section. Because the course moduli values were not drastically different than the standard strength values provided by FAARFIELD on the sections for subbase and base, the overlay needed is the same as the existing pavement thickness of four (4) inches. The section is summarized below:

## Section 2: Rehabilitation Option 3

| Section | Mill and Replace Asphalt (4") |
| :--- | :--- |
| Life | $\gg 20$ years |
| PCR | 373/F/C/X/T |
| 5010 Data | S-93, D-139, 2D-209 |

This rehabilitation method would likely be the fastest construction method, requiring only the existing surface to be milled and new pavement installed in its place to the same grade. Milling only three inches would result in a net oneinch gain in pavement elevation. However, confirmation that grades do not exceed the elevation of the adjacent part of the runway needs to be made to ensure airspace issues are not created with the pavement rehabilitation.

## Option 4 - Remove surface and recycle in-situ base with P-220 Cement Treated Soil Base Course and P- <br> 501 Concrete Surface

The last option under Section 2 rehabilitation is to replace the existing pavement with a concrete pavement section.
Using the backcalculated values for subgrade and subbase, we designed a section using a stabilized soil-cement base. Using the stabilized base specified in P-220, we set the modulus to meet the CBR=100 full-strength value ( 150,000 psi). The resulting section has a ten (10) inch concrete surface with a twelve (12) inch P-220 stabilized base on the remaining subbase. The performance characteristics of the section are as follows:

| Section 2: Rehabilitation Option 4 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Section | Concrete Pavement (10") on Cement <br> Treated Soil Base (12") via recycling of <br> existing base |
| Life | 24 years |
| PCR | 311/R/C/W/T |

5010 Data S-84, D-102, 2D-178

## Section 3

Much like Section 1, Section 3 was first run in FAARFIELD in a Life calculation using the measured subgrade, base, and original asphalt strength from the backcalculation measures above, along with standard values for the asphalt overlay. The resulting life, PCR, and strength ratings are surprisingly high.

Section 3: Existing Pavement Section Performance

| Section | Asphalt (6.5") on Base (18.5") |
| :--- | :--- |
| Life | $\ggg 20$ years |
| PCR | 455/F/C/X/T |
| 5010 Data | S-111, D-169, 2D-250, 2D/2D2-697 |

## Option 1 - Mill 4" and replace with 4" P-401 Asphalt Surfacing

The performance characteristics are as follows for the existing section, and with a mill and replace 4" asphalt section:
Section 3: Rehabilitation Option 1

| Section | New Asphalt (4") on existing Asphalt (2.5") <br> on Base (18.5") |
| :--- | :--- |
| Life | $\gg 20$ years |$|$| PCR | 455/F/C/X/T |
| :--- | :--- |
| 5010 Data | S-111, D-169, 2D-250, 2D/2D2-697 |

Such values, like Section 2, do not require pavement strengthening. Renewal of the surface is all that should be programmed for this section of pavement. For the next section on costs, a mill and fill of four (4) inches of asphalt is programmed for pricing.

Option 2 - Remove surface and recycle in-situ base with P-220 Cement Treated Soil Base Course and P501 Concrete Surface
In keeping with the other two sections, the only other option to present is for a concrete pavement surface design that fits with the existing pavement section. Since the aircraft weights are over $100,000 \mathrm{lbs}$ and this would be considered a new section, adding a stabilized base beneath the concrete is warranted. Recycling the top 12 inches of the existing base, using specification P-220, is included in this design. There is little of the existing base that is not part of the recycling if the grade is maintained, but the new section is not thicker than the existing one. The performance characteristics of the concrete section are as follows:

| Section 3: Rehabilitation Option 2 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Section | Concrete Pavement (9.5") on Cement <br> Treated Soil Base (12") via recycling of <br> existing base |
| Life | $>20$ years |
| PCR | 301/R/C/W/T |
| 5010 Data | S-82, D-99, 2D-174 |

## Pricing

Pricing is pulled from the current (August 2023) unit pricing for the significant items consisting of:

- Cement Stabilization
- Flexible Base
- Asphalt
- Concrete

Other costs are wrapped into a significant contingency (50\%) for the programming. We compared some recent project bid costs to the major items, and when electrical, drainage, and earthwork items are not also a part of the project, the major pavement items approach a level of half of the total project cost. For this project, we do not anticipate needing significant drainage or grading. We also do not expect a significant electrical or lighting component to the project. Therefore, we believe a $50 \%$ contingency on the project is appropriate.

## Program Level Estimates of Capital Project

For project programming, the extent of the taxiway project is broken into three areas, matching the three Sections discussed above. The areas of each Section are:

Section 1: 9,820 SY
Section 2: 40,570 SY
Section 3: 5,820 SY
The areas are depicted in the layout shown in Figure 2 above.
For Asphalt, the lowest unit cost items for each Section were included and multiplied by the area of each Section. The results, summarized by Section and totaled, including construction professional services and escalation, are in Table 7 below. Please note that this work would be considered rehabilitation as these pavements do not include installing a stabilized base but only replacing the asphalt surface. Also, since the project's construction is not expected until Fiscal Year 2025, pricing includes anticipated inflation for two years.

Table 8 - Project Construction Costs (Asphalt) for Programming

## Summary of Project Costs by Option (Asphalt)

| Section 1 | Total Area of 9820 SY | Most Economical Cost by Option 2: Asphalt <br> (9.5") on milled existing pavement at $\$ 186$ per <br> SY | $\$ 1,826,520$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Section 2 | Total Area of 40570 SY | Most Economical Cost by Option 3: Mill and <br> Replace Asphalt (4") at \$89 per SY | $\$ 3,610,730$ |
| Section 3 | Total Area of 5820 SY | Most Economical Cost by Option 1: Mill and <br> Replace Asphalt (4") at \$89 per SY | $\$ 517,980$ |
|  |  | Construction Total | $\$ 5,956,000$ |
|  |  | RPR, Matls Testing, and Construction Admin | $\$ 475,000$ |
|  |  | 10\% Contingency |  |
| 2 2 year's Escalation (8\%) | $\$ 644,000$ |  |  |
|  |  | Program Project Total | $\$ 1,178,000$ |

If the desire is to strengthen the pavement section where feasible (Section 3 may not) by adding a stabilized base layer, recycling existing base material would be an option, but it adds more than $37 \%$ to the construction project total.

We also determined a project cost for concrete since the runway was constructed in concrete in 2007. Those costs are similarly calculated using the unit costs for concrete instead of asphalt.

Table 9 - Project Construction Costs (Concrete) for Programming
Summary of Project Costs by Option (Concrete)

| Section 1 | Total Area of 9820 SY | Option 3: Concrete (10.5") on Cement Treated Soil Base (12") via recycling of existing base at $\$ 348$ per SY | \$3,417,400 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Section 2 | Total Area of 40570 SY | Option 4: Concrete Pavement (10") on Cement Treated Soil Base (12") via recycling of existing base at $\$ 345$ per SY | \$13,996,700 |
| Section 3 | Total Area of 5820 SY | Option 2: Concrete Pavement (10") on Cement Treated Soil Base (12") via recycling of existing base at $\$ 345$ per SY | \$2,007,900 |
|  |  | Construction Total | \$19,422,000 |
|  |  | RPR, Matls Testing, and Construction Admin | \$1,156,000 |
|  |  | 10\% Contingency | \$2,058,000 |
|  |  | 2 year's Escalation (8\%) | \$3,767,000 |
|  |  | Program Project Total | \$26,403,000 |

Date:
October 30, 2023
To: Mr. Thomas D. Dodson, PE, Civil PEs, LLC.
From: Frank Carmichael III, PE, and Mahsa Beizaei, PhD, EIT, HVJ Associates 10-30-2023
HVJ Project: HG-22-10070.1
Subject: Pavement Evaluation Study of Parallel Taxiway (Taxiway A) Texas Regional Gulf Coast Regional Airport (LBX)

The scope of work is to provide estimates of layer strengths in terms of modulus values calculated using non-destructive Falling weight Deflectometer (FWD) deflection test data and FAA BAKFAA 3.4 software for Civil PEs' use in preparing the pavement design analyses.

## 1 Typical Sections

Existing pavement sections were determined based on information from boring logs provided by HVJ SCTx regarding pavement layer thicknesses and material type. Table 1 shows the identified pavement sections at each boring. The pavement boring logs and layout are included in the HVJ SCTx geotechnical study report in Appendix A.

Table 1. Airport Pavement Sections

| Boring | Taxiway | Thickness (in.) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | HMAC | Flex Base | Lime Stabilized Clay |
| B-1B | A | 6 | 7 | 25 |
| B-2B | A | 4.5 | 23.5 | 12 |
| B-3B | A | 4.5 | 23 | 10 |
| B-4B | A | 6.5 | 18.5 | 12 |
| B-5B | A | 5 | 23 | 7 |
| B-6B | B | 4 | 23 | 10 |

## 2 Deflection Data and Backcalculation Analysis of Existing Pavement

Falling Weight Deflectometer (FWD) - HVJ collected deflection data with the FWD on July 21, 2023. The FWD data was collected to estimate the subgrade stiffness of existing pavement layers. Deflection tests were conducted at an average of 100 -foot intervals on all taxiways, the parallel Taxiway A, and connecting taxiways B, C, E, and G. Pavement with higher deflection values indicate less stiff pavement structures and lower levels of support, while areas with lower deflection values indicate stiffer pavements and higher levels of support. The NDT deflection plots for the taxiways are available in Appendix B. The following table presents the NDT deflection statistics for different Taxiways.

Table 2. FWD Deflections Summary

| Taxiway | Normalized Deflection (mils) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | W1 | W7 |
| A Left | 11.00 | 1.29 |
| A Right | 10.25 | 1.31 |
| B Left | 11.57 | 1.29 |
| B Right | 12.94 | 1.43 |
| C Left | 10.48 | 1.17 |
| C Right | 10.43 | 1.18 |
| E Left | 14.07 | 1.53 |
| E Right | 12.97 | 1.43 |
| G Left | 10.52 | 1.21 |
| G Right | 12.03 | 1.19 |
| Average | 11.63 | 1.30 |
| STD | 1.33 | 0.12 |
| COV | 11.44 | 9.59 |

BAKFAA 3.4.1 - Layer stiffness was estimated using the FAA BAKFAA software to analyze FWD data collected. BAKFAA Version 3.4.1 outputs are provided in Appendix C.

The pavement structures were modeled in BAKFAA using the reported pavement layers, shown in Table 1. As the COV of the FWD measured deflections are small based on Table 2, one representative cross section was considered as 4.0 " of HMAC over 23.0 " of flexible base in the backcalculation procedure. A summary of the BAKFAA backcalculated moduli of the pavement layers for all taxiways tested with representative layer thicknesses is presented in Table 3.

Table 3. BAKFAA Backcalculated Layer Modulus

| Section | Modulus (ksi) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HMAC | Base | Subgrade |
| Taxiway A Left | 1362.4 | 51.6 | 24.0 |
| Taxiway A Right | 1632.0 | 50.5 | 24.9 |
| Taxiway B Left | 1228.0 | 42.7 | 20.3 |
| Taxiway B Right | 998.8 | 38.7 | 21.0 |
| Taxiway C Left | 1357.4 | 54.3 | 21.7 |
| Taxiway C Right | 1570.0 | 45.4 | 25.7 |
| Taxiway E Left | 1310.3 | 27.5 | 17.6 |
| Taxiway E Right | 1239.1 | 39.2 | 16.5 |
| Taxiway G Left | 1379.8 | 49.8 | 22.9 |
| Taxiway G Right | 1556.9 | 29.9 | 26.3 |
| Average | 1363.5 | 43.0 | 22.1 |
| STD | 189.2 | 9.2 | 3.3 |
| COV | 13.9 | 21.3 | 15.0 |
| Min | 998.8 | 27.5 | 16.5 |
| Max | 1632.0 | 54.3 | 26.3 |

## 3 Limitations

This investigation was performed for the exclusive use of Civil PEs, LLC, for purposes of pavement design on parallel taxiway at Texas Regional Gulf Coast Airport (LBX) in Angleton, Texas. HVJ Associates, Inc. has endeavored to comply with generally accepted engineering practice common in the local area. HVJ Associates, Inc. makes no warranty, express or implied. The analyses and recommendations contained in this report are based on data obtained from non-destructive deflection testing of the pavement using the FWD and data supplied by HVJ HOU on pavement borings.

## Appendix A

## HVJ SCTx Geotechnical Study Report

$$
\text { SEE ATTACHMENT } 3
$$

## APPENDIX C

CBR TEST RESULT

## CBR (CALIFORNIA BEARING RATIO) OF LABORATORY COMPACTED SOILS ASTM D-1883

Project: Evaluation Study of Parallel Taxiway
Sample Location: Composite sample, Flexible Base

Liquid Limit: N/A Plastic Limit: N/A Plasticity Index: N/A

Method of Compaction: $\boxtimes$ ASTM D698ASTM D1557

## Sample Condition:

$\boxtimes$ soakedunsoaked

No. of Blows:
10
25
65
Dry Density Before Soaking (pcf): 109.5
114.3
123.5

Dry Density After Soaking (pcf): 113.0
115.7
123.0

Moisture Content:
Before Compaction (\%): 7.4
Top 1-inch Layer
After Soaking (\%):
11.02
11.11
11.27

Swell (\%):
0.15
0.09
0.04

Bearing Ratio (\%):
4.17
13.30
40.03
( $\boxtimes$ soaked $\quad \square$ unsoaked)

Surcharge: 10 lbs.

|  | 6120 S. Dairy Ashford Road Houston, Texas 77072-1010 281.933.7388 Ph 281.933.7293 Fax |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATE: 09/06/2023 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VED BY: } \\ & \text { PD } \end{aligned}$ | PREPARED BY: RA |
| CBR TEST RESULTS |  |  | EL TAXIWAY |
| HG2210070.1 |  | DRAWIN | PLATE-1 |



| $\mathrm{H} \mid \text { \|associness }$ | 6120 S. Dairy Ashford Road Houston, Texas 77072-1010 281.933.7388 Ph 281.933.7293 Fax |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATE: 09/06/2023 |  | VVED BY: PD | PREPARED BY: RA |
| CBR TEST RESULTS <br> EVALUATION STUDY OF PARALLEL TAXIWAY |  |  |  |
| PROJECT NO.: <br> HG2210070.1 |  | DRAWING NO.: <br> APPENDIX -C2 |  |

## APPENDIX D

 SIEVE ANALYSIS

## APPENDIX E

## DCP TEST RESULTS

TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Dynamic Cone Penetrometer (DCP) Data Analysis ASTM - D6951

| Refresh Workbook | AS | ASTM - D6951 :: File Version: 10/21/16 07:33:30 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SAMPLE ID: | Near 1-B | ASTM - D6951: File Version: 10/21/16 07:33:30 <br> SAMPLED DATE: $08 / 07 / 2023$ |  |  |
| TEST NUMBER: |  | LETTING DATE: |  |  |
| SAMPLE STATUS: |  | CONTROLLING CSJ: |  |  |
| COUNTY: | Brazoria | SPEC YEAR: | 2014 |  |
| SAMPLED BY: | Edgar | SPEC ITEM: |  |  |
| SAMPLE LOCATION: | Parallel Taxiway | SPECIAL PROVISION: |  |  |
| MATERIAL CODE: |  | GRADE: |  |  |
| MATERIAL NAME: | Lime Stabilized, Clay |  |  |  |
| PRODUCER: |  |  |  |  |
| AREA ENGINEER: |  | PROJECT MANAGER: |  |  |
| COURSEILIFT: | STATION: |  | T. FROM CL: |  |
| Long. (x): $9^{9}{ }^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 39.1^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | Latitude (y): | 2905'59.9"N | Elev. (z): |  |
| Material Classification: | All other types | Weather: | Cloudy |  |
| Hammer Weight: | 8-KG [17.6-lbs.] | Water Table Depth (ft.): |  |  |
| Pavement Conditions: |  | Depth of zero point below | surface (in.): | 0.50 |
| Design Modulus (E) ksi: |  |  |  |  |

DCP DATA ANALYSIS

| \# of Blows | Penetration <br> $\mathbf{( 6 ~ i n . ~}$ <br> intervals) | Cumulative <br> Blows | Cumulative <br> Penetration | CBR | E (ksi) | E > E <br> (design)? | E > 0.5 E design |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1.2 | 0 | 0.70 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 7.2 | 5 | 6.70 | 6.4 | 8.4 | YES | YES |
| 5 | 13.2 | 10 | 12.70 | 6.4 | 8.4 | YES | YES |
| 9 | 19.2 | 19 | 18.70 | 12.3 | 12.7 | YES | YES |
| 10 | 25.2 | 29 | 24.70 | 13.8 | 13.7 | YES | YES |
| 14 | 31.2 | 43 | 30.70 | 20.1 | 17.4 | YES | YES |
| 14 | 37.2 | 57 | 36.70 | 20.1 | 17.4 | YES | YES |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 13.0 | YES - <br> Revew <br> Proof Rolling | Eavg. $\geq$ E design? |


| Layer | Eavg. | E (design) | E avg. $\geq \mathrm{E}$ (design) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 13.0 |  |  |

## $\frac{\text { Remarks: }}{6^{\prime \prime} \text { Asphalt }}$

| 6" Asphalt |
| :--- |
| $\boxed{33 "}$ Base |


| Test Method: | Tested By: |
| :--- | :--- |
| D6951 Edgar Tested Date: |  | | D6951 | Edgar | $09 / 11 / 2023$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |



TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Dynamic Cone Penetrometer (DCP) Data Analysis ASTM - D6951

| Refresh Workbook $\quad$ ASTM - D6951 |  |  | ASTM - D6951 : File Version: 10/21/16 07:33:30 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| SAMPLE ID: Near 2-B |  | SAMPLED DATE: 0 AS/07/2023 |  |  |
| TEST NUMBER: |  | LETTING DATE: |  |  |
| SAMPLE STATUS: |  | CONTROLLING CSJ: |  |  |
| COUNTY: | Brazoria | SPEC YEAR: | 2014 |  |
| SAMPLED BY: | Edgar | SPEC ITEM: |  |  |
| SAMPLE LOCATION: | Parallel Taxiway | SPECIAL PROVISION: |  |  |
| MATERIAL CODE: |  | GRADE: |  |  |
| MATERIAL NAME: | Lime Stabilized, Clay |  |  |  |
| PRODUCER: |  |  |  |  |
| AREA ENGINEER: |  | PROJECT MANAGER: |  |  |
| COURSEILIFT: | STATION: |  | T. FROM CL: |  |
| Long. (x): $95^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 38.9^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | Latitude (y): | $29^{\circ} 6^{\prime} 24.2^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | Elev. (z): |  |
| Material Classification: | All other types | Weather: | Cloudy |  |
| Hammer Weight: | 8-KG [17.6-lbs.] | Water Table Depth (ft.): |  |  |
| Pavement Conditions: |  | Depth of zero point below | surface (in.): | 0.50 |
| Design Modulus (E) ksi: |  |  |  |  |

DCP DATA ANALYSIS

| \# of Blows | Penetration <br> $\mathbf{( 6 ) i n . ~}$ <br> intervals) | Cumulative <br> Blows | Cumulative <br> Penetration | CBR | E (ksi) | E > E <br> (design)? | E > 0.5 E design |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.4 | 0 | -0.10 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 6.4 | 5 | 5.90 | 6.4 | 8.4 | YES | YES |
| 10 | 12.4 | 15 | 11.90 | 13.8 | 13.7 | YES | YES |
| 15 | 18.4 | 30 | 17.90 | 21.8 | 18.3 | YES | YES |
| 20 | 24.4 | 50 | 23.90 | 30.0 | 22.5 | YES | YES |
| 25 | 30.4 | 75 | 29.90 | 38.6 | 26.4 | YES | YES |
| 28 | 36.4 | 103 | 35.90 | 43.8 | 28.6 | YES | YES |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 19.7 | YES - <br> Review <br> Proof Rolling | Eavg. $\geq$ E design? |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Layer | Eavg. | E (design) | E avg. $\geq \mathrm{E}$ (design) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 19.7 |  |  |

## $\frac{\text { Remarks: }}{4.5^{\prime \prime} \text { Asph }}$

4.5" Asphalt
$35.5^{\prime \prime}$ Base

| Test Method: | Tested By: | Tested Date: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| D6951 Edgar $09 / 11 / 2023$ |  |  |



TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Dynamic Cone Penetrometer (DCP) Data Analysis ASTM - D6951


DCP DATA ANALYSIS

| \# of Blows | Penetration <br> $\mathbf{( 6 ~ i n . ~}$ <br> intervals) | Cumulative <br> Blows | Cumulative <br> Penetration | CBR | E (ksi) | E > E <br> (design)? | E > 0.5 E design |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 6.5 | 5 | 6.00 | 6.4 | 8.4 | YES | YES |
| 16 | 12.5 | 21 | 12.00 | 23.4 | 19.2 | YES | YES |
| 14 | 18.5 | 35 | 18.00 | 20.1 | 17.4 | YES | YES |
| 19 | 24.5 | 54 | 24.00 | 28.4 | 21.7 | YES | YES |
| 22 | 30.5 | 76 | 30.00 | 33.4 | 24.1 | YES | YES |
| 24 | 36.5 | 100 | 36.00 | 36.8 | 25.6 | YES | YES |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 19.4 | YES - <br> Revew <br> Proof Rolling | Eavg. $\geq$ E design? |


| Layer | Eavg. | $E$ (design) | $E$ avg. $\geq E$ (design) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | 19.4 |  |  |




TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Dynamic Cone Penetrometer (DCP) Data Analysis ASTM - D6951

| Refresh Workbook $\quad$ ASTM - D6951 |  |  | ASTM - D6951 :: File Version: 10/21/16 07:33:30 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| SAMPLE ID: Near 4-B |  | SAMPLED DATE: 0 AS/07/2023 |  |  |
| TEST NUMBER: |  | LETTING DATE: |  |  |
| SAMPLE STATUS: |  | CONTROLLING CSJ: |  |  |
| COUNTY: | Brazoria | SPEC YEAR: | 2014 |  |
| SAMPLED BY: | Edgar | SPEC ITEM: |  |  |
| SAMPLE LOCATION: | Parallel Taxiway | SPECIAL PROVISION: |  |  |
| MATERIAL CODE: |  | GRADE: |  |  |
| MATERIAL NAME: | Lime Stabilized, Clay |  |  |  |
| PRODUCER: |  |  |  |  |
| AREA ENGINEER: |  | PROJECT MANAGER: |  |  |
| COURSEILIFT: | STATION: |  | T. FROM CL: |  |
| Long. (x): $95^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 39.2^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | Latitude (y): | $29^{\circ} 6^{\prime} 58.6{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | Elev. (z): |  |
| Material Classification: | All other types | Weather: | Cloudy |  |
| Hammer Weight: | 8-KG [17.6-lbs.] | Water Table Depth (ft.): |  |  |
| Pavement Conditions: |  | Depth of zero point below | surface (in.): | 0.50 |
| Design Modulus (E) ksi: |  |  |  |  |

DCP DATA ANALYSIS

| \# of Blows | Penetration <br> $\mathbf{( 6 ~ i n . ~}$ <br> intervals) | Cumulative <br> Blows | Cumulative <br> Penetration | CBR | E (ksi) | E > E <br> (design)? | E > 0.5 E design |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.9 | 0 | 0.40 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 6.9 | 4 | 6.40 | 5.0 | 7.1 | YES | YES |
| 9 | 12.9 | 13 | 12.40 | 12.3 | 12.7 | YES | YES |
| 15 | 18.9 | 28 | 18.40 | 21.8 | 18.3 | YES | YES |
| 14 | 24.9 | 42 | 24.40 | 20.1 | 17.4 | YES | YES |
| 19 | 30.9 | 61 | 30.40 | 28.4 | 21.7 | YES | YES |
| 19 | 36.9 | 80 | 36.40 | 28.4 | 21.7 | YES | YES |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 16.5 | YES - <br> Revew <br> Proof Rolling | Eavg. $\geq$ E design? |


| Layer | Eavg. | $E$ (design) | $E$ avg. $\geq E$ (design) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | 16.5 |  |  |

## $\frac{\text { Remarks: }}{6.5^{\prime \prime} \text { Asphalt }}$

| 6.5" Asphalt |
| :--- |
| $30.5^{\prime \prime}$ Base |


| Test Method: | Tested By: |
| :--- | :--- |
| D6951 Edgar Tested Date: |  | | D6951 | Edgar | $09 / 11 / 2023$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |




TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Dynamic Cone Penetrometer (DCP) Data Analysis ASTM - D6951

| Refresh Workbook $\quad$ ASTM - D6951 |  |  | ASTM - D6951 : File Version: 10/21/16 07:33:30 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| SAMPLE ID: Near 5-B |  | SAMPLED DATE: 0 AS/07/2023 |  |  |
| TEST NUMBER: |  | LETTING DATE: |  |  |
| SAMPLE STATUS: |  | CONTROLLING CSJ: |  |  |
| COUNTY: | Brazoria | SPEC YEAR: | 2014 |  |
| SAMPLED BY: | Edgar | SPEC ITEM: |  |  |
| SAMPLE LOCATION: | Parallel Taxiway | SPECIAL PROVISION: |  |  |
| MATERIAL CODE: |  | GRADE: |  |  |
| MATERIAL NAME: | Lime Stabilized, Clay |  |  |  |
| PRODUCER: |  |  |  |  |
| AREA ENGINEER: |  | PROJECT MANAGER: |  |  |
| COURSEILIFT: | STATION: |  | T. FROM CL: |  |
| Long. (x): $95^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 39.3{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | Latitude (y): | $29^{\circ} 7^{\prime} 5.4{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | Elev. (z): |  |
| Material Classification: | All other types | Weather: | Cloudy |  |
| Hammer Weight: | 8-KG [17.6-lbs.] | Water Table Depth (ft.): |  |  |
| Pavement Conditions: |  | Depth of zero point below | surface (in.): | 0.50 |
| Design Modulus (E) ksi: |  |  |  |  |

DCP DATA ANALYSIS

| \# of Blows | Penetration <br> $\mathbf{( 6 ~ i n . ~}$ <br> intervals) | Cumulative <br> Blows | Cumulative <br> Penetration | CBR | E (ksi) | E > E <br> (design)? | E > 0.5 E design |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1.2 | 0 | 0.70 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 7.2 | 6 | 6.70 | 7.8 | 9.5 | YES | YES |
| 8 | 13.2 | 14 | 12.70 | 10.8 | 11.7 | YES | YES |
| 13 | 19.2 | 27 | 18.70 | 18.5 | 16.5 | YES | YES |
| 17 | 25.2 | 44 | 24.70 | 25.0 | 20.0 | YES | YES |
| 19 | 31.2 | 63 | 30.70 | 28.4 | 21.7 | YES | YES |
| 19 | 37.2 | 82 | 36.70 | 28.4 | 21.7 | YES | YES |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 16.9 | YES - <br> Revew <br> Proof Rolling | Eavg. $\geq$ E design? |


| Layer | Eavg. | E (design) | E avg. $\geq \mathrm{E}$ (design) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 16.9 |  |  |

## Remarks:

| $5^{\prime \prime}$ Asphalt |
| :--- |
| $30^{\prime \prime}$ Base |

 | D6951 | Edgar | 09/11/2023 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |




TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Dynamic Cone Penetrometer (DCP) Data Analysis ASTM - D6951


DCP DATA ANALYSIS

| \# of Blows | Penetration <br> $\mathbf{( 6 ~ i n . ~}$ <br> intervals) | Cumulative <br> Blows | Cumulative <br> Penetration | CBR | E (ksi) | E > E <br> (design)? | E > 0.5 E design |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 4.8 | 0 | 4.30 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 10.8 | 4 | 10.30 | 5.0 | 7.1 | YES | YES |
| 5 | 16.8 | 9 | 16.30 | 6.4 | 8.4 | YES | YES |
| 9 | 22.8 | 18 | 22.30 | 12.3 | 12.7 | YES | YES |
| 15 | 28.8 | 33 | 28.30 | 21.8 | 18.3 | YES | YES |
| 20 | 34.8 | 53 | 34.30 | 30.0 | 22.5 | YES | YES |
| 9 | 40.8 | 62 | 40.30 | 12.3 | 12.7 | YES | YES |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 13.6 | YES - <br> Revew <br> Proof Rolling | Eavg. $\geq$ E design? |


| Layer | Eavg. | E (design) | E avg. $\geq \mathrm{E}$ (design) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 13.6 |  |  |

## $\frac{\text { Remarks: }}{\text { 5" Asphalt }}$

| $5^{\prime \prime}$ Asphalt |
| :--- |
| $30^{\prime \prime}$ Base |


| Test Method: | Tested By: | Tested Date: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| D6951 | Edgar | $09 / 11 / 2023$ |




Appendix B
NDT Plots











## Appendix C

## BAKFAA Backcalculation Outputs

Taxiway A Left:

| Structure Information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Geometric Mean Arithmetic Mean |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Layer Modulus, psi | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Modulu } \\ 1,362 \end{array}$ | ${ }^{p .74}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Poisson's } \\ 0.35 \end{gathered}$ | Intex $1.0$ |  | Thickness, $4.00$ | in | Changeable? Yes |
| $2 \infty$ |  | 59 |  | 0.35 | 1.00 |  | 23.00 |  | Yes |
| $3 \infty$ |  | 41 |  | 0.40 | 1.0 |  | 0.00 |  | Yes |
| In batch mode, FWD data displayed is for last point only. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Station $\quad=7578$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plate Radius $=5.91 \mathrm{mn}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plate Load $=41,901 \mathrm{lbf}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ISM $\quad=644 \mathrm{kip} / \mathrm{in}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sensor 1 | 2 | 3 |  | $4 \quad 5$ | 6 |  | 7 |  |  |
| Offset, in 0.0 | 12.0 | 24.0 | 36.0 | 048.0 | 60.0 | 72. |  |  |  |
| Meas Defl, mil 65.0 | 41.3 | 23.1 | 16.4 | 410.6 | 6.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Calc Defl, mil 64.7 | 42.0 | 23.0 | 14.4 | $4 \quad 10.4$ | 8.2 |  |  |  |  |
| RMS Error (\%) $=13.6$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Iterations $=161$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Point Comment $=$ Comment: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Taxiway A Right:

| Structure Information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Geometric Mean <br> Modulus, psi | Arithme | c Mean | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Poisson's } \\ & 0.35 \\ & 0.35 \\ & 0.40 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Interface } \\ 1.00 \\ 1.00 \\ 1.00 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{1}$ Layer Modulus, psi | $\begin{gathered} \text { Modulu } \\ \text { I, } 631 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { psi } \\ & 998 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Thickness, $4.00$ | 1 n | Changeable? Yes |
| 2 |  | 515 |  |  | 23.00 |  | Yes |
| $3 \infty$ |  | 929 |  |  | 0.00 |  | Yes |
| In batch mode, FWD data displayed is for last point only. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Station } & =7657 \\ \text { Plate Radius } & =5,91 \mathrm{in} \\ \text { Plate Load } & =42,788 \mathrm{Ibf} \\ \text { ISM } & =758 \mathrm{kip} / \mathrm{in} \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sensor 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| Offset, in 0.0 | 12.0 | 24.0 | 36.0 | 48.0 | 60.9 | 72. |  |  |  |
| Meas Defl, mil 56.4 | 37.1 | 22.6 | 14.1 | 9.1 | 6.2 | 4. |  |  |  |
| Calc Defl, mil 55.9 | 38.5 | 21.8 | 13.2 | 9.1 | 7.0 | 5. |  |  |  |
| RMS Error $(z)=10.2$ <br> Number of Iterations $=185$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Taxiway B Left:

| Structure Information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Geometric Mean | Arithme | ic Me |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Iayer Modulus, psi <br> 1 $1,228,021$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Modulu } \\ 1,320 \end{array}$ | , 6331 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { s3on' y } \\ & .35 \end{aligned}$ | Inte <br> 1. |  | Thickness, $4.00$ |  | Changeable? Yes |
| 2 42,710 |  | 707 |  | . 35 | 1.0 |  | 23.00 |  | Yes |
| $3 \quad 20,272$ |  | 820 |  | 40 | I. |  | 0.00 |  | Yes |
| In batch mode, EWD data displayed is for last point only. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Station $\quad=301$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flate Radius $=5.91$ in |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plate Load $=42,821 \mathrm{lbf}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ISM $\quad=909 \mathrm{kip} / \mathrm{in}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sensor 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| Offset, in 0.0 | 12.0 | 24.0 | 36.0 | 48.0 | 60.0 | 72 |  |  |  |
| Meas Defl, mil 47.1 | 30.4 | 18.7 | 16.3 | 9.3 | 6.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Calc Defl, mil 47.0 | 31.0 | 18.8 | 13.2 | 10.1 | 8.2 |  |  |  |  |
| RMS Error (8) $=14.7$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Iterations $=128$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Point Comment $=$ Comment: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Taxiway B Right:



## Taxiway C Left:

| Structure Information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Geometric Mean Arithmetic Mean |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Iayer Modulus, psi <br> $1,357,43 \theta^{2}$  | Modulu $1,627$ | $130$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sson's } \\ & .35 \end{aligned}$ | Inte |  | Thickness, $4.00$ | in | Changeable? Yes |
| 254,284 |  | 50 |  | . 35 | 1.0 |  | 23.00 |  | Yes |
| 3 21,658 |  | 37 |  | . 40 | 1.0 |  | 0.00 |  | Yes |
| In batch mode, EWD data displayed is for last point only. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Station $=301$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elate Radius $=5.91 \mathrm{in}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flate Load $=43,018 \mathrm{lbf}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ISM $\quad=1.116 \mathrm{kip} / \mathrm{in}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sensor 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| Offset, in 0.0 | 12.0 | 24.0 | 36.0 | 48.0 | 60.0 | 72. |  |  |  |
| Meas Defl, mil 38.6 | 23.6 | 14.0 | 11.9 | 8.0 | 6.1 | 4. |  |  |  |
| Calc Defl, mil 38.6 | 23.6 | 14.4 | 10.5 | 8.2 | 6.7 | 5. |  |  |  |
| RMS Error (\%) $=7.7$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Iterations $=151$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Point Comment $=$ Comment: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Taxiway C Right:



Taxiway E Left:

| Structure Information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| etric Mean Arithmetic Mean |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|cl} \text { Layer } & \text { Modulus, psi } \\ 1,310,316 \end{array}$ | Modulu $1,371$ | $276$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3 s 0 n^{\prime} \text { 's } \\ & .35 \end{aligned}$ | Inter 1.0 |  | Thickness, 4.00 |  | Changeable? Yes |
| $2 \quad 27,453$ |  | 038 |  | . 35 | 1.0 |  | 23.00 |  | Yes |
| $3 \quad 17,567$ |  | 903 |  | . 40 | 1.0 |  | 0.00 |  | Yes |
| In batch mode, FWD data displayed is for last point only. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Station $=301$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plate Radius $=5.91 \mathrm{in}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plate Load $=41,671 \mathrm{lbf}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ISM $\quad=613 \mathrm{kip} / \mathrm{in}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Senzor 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| Offset, in 0.0 | 12.0 | 24.0 | 36.0 | 48.0 | 60.0 | 72 |  |  |  |
| Meas Defl, mil 68.0 | 46.0 | 25.9 | 20.7 | 12.0 | B. 5 |  |  |  |  |
| Calc Defl, mil 67.8 | 46.6 | 27.0 | 17.1 | 12.3 | 9.7 |  |  |  |  |
| RMS Error ( 8 ) $=13.6$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Iterations $=149$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Point Comment $=$ Comment: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Taxiway E Right:


Taxiway G Left:

| Structure Information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tayer Geometric Mean | Arithme | c Mean |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $1,495$ | ${ }^{\text {p }}$ |  | $35$ | Inter |  | $4.00$ |  | Yes |
| 249,769 |  | 20 |  | 35 | 1.0 |  | 23.00 |  | Yes |
| $3 \quad 22,857$ |  | 59 |  | 40 | 1.0 |  | 0.00 |  | Yes |
| In batch mode, FWD data displayed is for last point only. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Station $\quad=300$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elate Radius $=5.91 \mathrm{in}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plate Load $=42,843 \mathrm{lbf}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ISM $\quad=924 \mathrm{kip} / \mathrm{in}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sensor I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| Offiset, in 0.0 | 12.0 | 24.0 | 36.0 | 48.0 | 60.0 | 72. |  |  |  |
| Meas Defl, mil 46.4 | 29.8 | 19.7 | 14.6 | 10.0 | 6.9 | 5. |  |  |  |
| Caic Defl, mil 46.3 | 30.8 | 18.7 | 13.1 | 10.0 | 8.1 | 6. |  |  |  |
| RMS Error (\%) $=13.0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Iterations $=13 \mathrm{~B}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Point Comment $=$ Comment: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Taxiway G Right:

| Structure Information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Geometric Mean Arithmetic Mean |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Iayer Modulus, psi <br> 1 $1,556,854$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Modulu } \\ 1,753 \end{array}$ | pai |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \text { son's } \\ & .35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Inter } \\ 1.0 \end{array}$ |  | Thickness, 4.00 |  | Changeable? |
| 2 29,911 |  | 080 |  | . 35 | 1.0 |  | 23.00 |  | Yes |
| $3 \quad 26,283$ |  | 586 |  | . 40 | 1.0 |  | 0.00 |  | Yes |
| In batch mode, FWD data displayed is for last point only. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Station $\quad=300$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elate Radius $=5.91$ in |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blate Load $=42,591 \mathrm{lbf}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ISM $\quad=867 \mathrm{kip} / \mathrm{in}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sensor 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| Offret, in 0.0 | 12.0 | 24.0 | 36.0 | 48.0 | 60.0 | 72 |  |  |  |
| Meas Defl, mil 49.1 | 28.7 | 17.0 | 13.5 | 9.7 | 6.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Calc Defl, mil 49.1 | 29.0 | 16.8 | 11.9 | 9.2 | 7.4 |  |  |  |  |
| RMS Erroz (8) $=9.0$ Number of Iterations $=112$ Point Comment $=$ Comment: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## ATTACHMENT 2 <br> Material Strength Calcs

## Section 1 - Taxiway A

|  | Left Backcalc | Right Backcalc | Average |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Surface Asphalt | 106211 psi | 143121 psi | 124666 psi |
| Base Asphalt | 130330 psi | 203822 psi | 167076 psi |
| Base | 59156 psi | 64990 psi | 62073 psi |
| Subgrade* | 8,023 | 8,516 | 8,269 |



| DMI - ft Layer 1 Typ Layer Thick | k Backcalcula | d Modul Layer 2 Typ Layer T | Backcalcula | dul | ypLayer Thick | ckcalcula | ay | kcalcula | ed Modul | ected S |  | Pe Cha | emarks LTE Case |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0+00 P-401/P-40: 2 | 2 772,118 | 200,000 P-401/P-40: 4.5 | 1,298,457 | 400,000 P-209 | 7 | 468,132 | 75,000 Low Strengt | 48,765 | 9,000 | 24,383 | 0.4 | 1 Yes | --Comment: |
| 1+05 P-401/P-40: 2 | 2344,851 | 200,000 P-401/P-40: 4.5 | 471,795 | 400,000 P-209 | 7 | 12,503 | 75,000 Low Strengt | 18,060 | 9,000 | 9,030 | 0.4 | 1 Yes |  |
| 2+00 P-401/P-40: 2 | 2 159,543 | 200,000 P-401/P-40: 4.5 | 319,822 | 400,000 P-209 | 7 | 116,370 | 75,000 Low Strengt | 19,249 | 9,000 | 9,625 | 0.4 | 1 Yes |  |
| 3+00 P-401/P-40: 2 | 2 206,598 | 200,000 P-401/P-40: 4.5 | 203,041 | 400,000 P-209 | 7 | 111,288 | 75,000 Low Strengt | 20,819 | 9,000 | 10,410 | 0.4 | 1 Yes |  |
| 4+13 P-401/P-40: 2 | 2 193,772 | 200,000 P-401/P-40: 4.5 | 320,978 | 400,000 P-209 | 7 | 107,651 | 75,000 Low Strengt | 15,819 | 9,000 | 7,910 | 0.4 | 1 Yes |  |
| 4+99 P-401/P-40: 2 | 2 292,045 | 200,000 P-401/P-40: 4.5 | 287,800 | 400,000 P-209 | 7 | 148,128 | 75,000 Low Strengt | 21,836 | 9,000 | 10,918 | 0.4 | 1 Yes |  |
| $6+06$ P-401/P-40: 2 | 2 521,589 | 200,000 P-401/P-40: 4.5 | 287,322 | 400,000 P-209 | 7 | 53,436 | 75,000 Low Strengt | 27,501 | 9,000 | 13,751 | 0.4 | 1 Yes | Bore 1B |
| 7+00 P-401/P-40: 2 | 2 237,853 | 200,000 P-401/P-40: 4.5 | 330,175 | 400,000 P-209 | 7 | 89,072 | 75,000 Low Strengt | 23,984 | 9,000 | 11,992 | 0.4 | 1 Yes |  |
| 8+00 P-401/P-40: 2 | 2 316,517 | 200,000 P-401/P-40: 4.5 | 185,730 | 400,000 P-209 | 7 | 41,178 | 75,000 Low Strengt | 24,086 | 9,000 | 12,043 | 0.4 | 1 Yes |  |
| $9+07$ P-401/P-40: 2 | 2 214,295 | 200,000 P-401/P-40: 4.5 | 1,356,336 | 400,000 P-209 | 7 | 16,113 | 75,000 Low Strengt | 31,692 | 9,000 | 15,846 | 0.4 | 1 Yes | --Comment: 'Drop 7 |
| 10+03 P-401/P-40: 2 | 2 124,386 | 200,000 P-401/P-40: 4.5 | 87,389 | 400,000 P-209 | 7 | 262,001 | 75,000 Low Strengt | 39,268 | 9,000 | 19,634 | 0.4 | 1 Yes | --Comment: |
| 11+05 P-401/P-40: 2 | 2 32,935 | 200,000 P-401/P-40: 4.5 | 26,493 | 400,000 P-209 | 7 | 138,433 | 75,000 Low Strengt | 23,352 | 9,000 | 11,676 | 0.4 | 1 Yes |  |
| 12+03 P-401/P-40: 2 | 2 304,634 | 200,000 P-401/P-40: 4.5 | 124,030 | 400,000 P-209 | 7 | 125,447 | 75,000 Low Strengt | 21,929 | 9,000 | 10,965 | 0.4 | 1 Yes |  |
| Section 1 Avg | 286,241 | +86,241 | 407,644 | +7,644 |  | 129,981 | +54,981 | 25,874 | +16,874 | 12,937 |  |  |  |
| Std Deviation | n 180,813 | 50\% Std Deviation | 408,292 | 50\% | Std Deviation | 116,162 | 50\% |  | Deviation | 4,421 | 34\% |  |  |
| Adjusted Value | e 143,121 | Adjusted Value | 203,822 |  | Adjusted Value | 64,990 |  | Adj | sted Value | 8,516 |  |  |  |


| DMI-ft | LTE Case ، LTE C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0+00 | 19.2 | 12.73 | 9.64 | 7.34 | 6.18 | 5.46 | 4.43 | 18.95 | 13.73 | 9.98 | 7.35 | 5.56 | 4.34 | 3.52 |
| 1+05 | 73.92 | 53.77 | 30.74 | 20.48 | 13.23 | 8.62 | 7.71 | 75.56 | 51.78 | 30.69 | 19.16 | 13.35 | 10.3 | 8.5 |
| 2+00 | 56.62 | 39.7 | 26.36 | 16.6 | 12.62 | 8.21 | 6.69 | 57.41 | 37.57 | 25.47 | 17.97 | 13.29 | 10.33 | 8.42 |
| $3+00$ | 58.11 | 38.3 | 24.63 | 14.75 | 11.09 | 8.12 | 5.9 | 58.64 | 36.02 | 23.91 | 16.74 | 12.35 | 9.61 | 7.85 |
| 4+13 | 63.03 | 45.12 | 28.57 | 17.8 | 13.09 | 25.62 | 6.42 | 63.42 | 43.57 | 30.28 | 21.7 | 16.17 | 12.59 | 10.23 |
| 4+99 | 47.84 | 40.46 | 16.68 | 18.38 | 8.29 | 6.87 | 5.3 | 49.91 | 32.81 | 22.64 | 16.19 | 12.05 | 9.38 | 7.63 |
| 6+06 | 50.65 | 30.79 | 18.82 | 11.7 | 9.28 | 7.46 | 5.87 | 50.69 | 30.85 | 18.54 | 12.37 | 9.04 | 7.08 | 5.83 |
| 7+00 | 52.38 | 32.67 | 18.96 | 18.67 | 10 | 7.47 | 5.81 | 52.09 | 32.85 | 21.08 | 14.48 | 10.63 | 8.28 | 6.79 |
| 8+00 | 64.86 | 36.49 | 21.64 | 14.03 | 10.08 | 7.2 | 6.28 | 64.83 | 36.64 | 21.09 | 13.89 | 10.15 | 7.98 | 6.59 |
| 9+07 | 52.91 | 26.8 | 16 | 11.16 | 7.83 | 6.17 | 4.96 | 47.68 | 32.57 | 18.98 | 11.44 | 7.78 | 5.96 | 4.94 |
| 10+03 | 48.88 | 19.85 | 13.06 | 8.98 | 7.02 | 6.43 | 4.95 | 48.83 | 20.43 | 13.18 | 8.98 | 6.54 | 5.08 | 4.17 |
| 11+05 | 118.16 | 36.31 | 21.75 | 13.57 | 9.69 | 8.56 | 5.78 | 118.3 | 35.21 | 22.08 | 14.75 | 10.67 | 8.29 | 6.87 |
| 12+03 | 59.31 | 35.06 | 22.94 | 15.22 | 10.93 | 7.8 | 6.02 | 59.43 | 33.84 | 22.47 | 15.83 | 11.74 | 9.16 | 7.48 |

Section 1 AI


| DMI - ft | LTE Case | TEE Case ‘ LTE Case 'M Measured | easur | easu | Meas | Measu | easur | Measu | Calcu | Calcu | Calcul | Calcul | Calcula | Calcula | Calculated I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0+00 |  | 17.94 | 12.28 | 8.84 | 14.69 | 6.65 | 5.8 | 5.09 | 19.62 | 14.23 | 10.34 | 7.63 | 5.77 | 4.51 | 3.66 |
| 1+04 |  | 56.34 | 33.48 | 20.91 | 14.72 | 10.54 | 8.35 | 6.84 | 56.37 | 33.3 | 21.09 | 14.55 | 10.73 | 8.39 | 6.88 |
| 2+02 |  | 129 | 39.22 | 24.47 | 15.11 | 11.57 | 7.84 | 6.29 | 129.1 | 39.03 | 23.73 | 15.74 | 11.32 | 8.77 | 7.35 |
| 3+01 |  | 64.54 | 40.55 | 24.93 | 15.34 | 9.74 | 7.57 | 6.09 | 65.18 | 37.9 | 24.31 | 16.71 | 12.25 | 9.53 | 7.81 |
| 4+03 |  | 129 | 60.44 | 28.71 | 15.77 | 12.27 | 9 | 6.44 | 128.87 | 60.86 | 27.24 | 16.35 | 12.04 | 9.66 | 8.1 |
| $5+00$ |  | 129 | 33.81 | 19.69 | 11.45 | 8.98 | 6.46 | 5.49 | 129 | 33.75 | 18.63 | 12.35 | 9.09 | 7.17 | 5.96 |
| 6+00 |  | 121.13 | 55.11 | 28.37 | 14.75 | 9.86 | 6.98 | 6.58 | 121.81 | 49.41 | 30.2 | 20.22 | 14.68 | 11.43 | 9.46 |
| 7+00 |  | 129 | 46.37 | 25 | 14.99 | 11 | 8.94 | 6.8 | 128.91 | 43.35 | 26.17 | 17.46 | 12.7 | 9.91 | 8.21 |
| 8+09 |  | 54.57 | 33.94 | 19.11 | 11.06 | 8.72 | 6.32 | 5 | 54.54 | 34.02 | 18.83 | 11.57 | 8.16 | 6.38 | 5.3 |
| $8+57$ | 'Drop 8 | 60.88 | 40.35 | 23.2 | 13.45 | 8.04 | 5.3 | 4.11 | 61.74 | 35.44 | 23.05 | 15.88 | 11.62 | 9.02 | 7.4 |
| 9+00 |  | 38.59 | 24.61 | 15.99 | 10.87 | 8.03 | 6.6 | 5.36 | 38.73 | 23.77 | 16.28 | 11.51 | 8.49 | 6.58 | 5.36 |
| 10+01 |  | 129 | 60.84 | 33.69 | 19.19 | 10.9 | 7.52 | 6 | 128.83 | 61.93 | 29.62 | 18.36 | 13.49 | 10.76 | 9 |
| 11+10 |  | 50.46 | 36.41 | 23.33 | 37.78 | 9.61 | 7.02 | 5.62 | 50.43 | 37.5 | 27.36 | 20.22 | 15.31 | 11.98 | 9.72 |
| 12+04 |  | 88.32 | 35.52 | 22.05 | 13.3 | 9 | 6.68 | 5.1 | 88.41 | 33.35 | 21.79 | 15.02 | 11.06 | 8.63 | 7.07 |


| Sta 13+ to 61+ | Left Backcalc | Right Backcalc | Average |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Surface Asphalt | 217,747 | 244,846 | 231,297 |
| Base | 73,547 | 88,358 | 80,952 |
| Subbase | 15,422 | 14,958 | 15,190 |
| Subgrade | 10,638 | 10,443 | 10,541 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Sta 72+ to 75+ | Left Backcalc | Right Backcalc | Average |
| Surface Asphalt | 215,998 | 287,017 | 251,507 |
| Base | 41,484 | 51,352 | 46,418 |
| Subbase | 12,538 | 20,016 | 16,277 |
| Subgrade | 8,197 | 8,480 | 8,339 |




|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13+02.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 726,256 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 69,310 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 53,737 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 34,937 | 9000 | 17,469 | 38.54 | 24.24 | 13.75 | 8.67 |
| 14+01.0 P-401/P-40' | 4.7 | 287,236 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 151,259 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 38,600 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 26,945 | 9000 | 13,473 | 44.5 | 26.37 | 16.39 | 15.17 |
| 15+02.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 221,536 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 152,014 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 45,072 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 18,475 | 9000 | 9,238 | 50.24 | 33.49 | 21.65 | 25.55 |
| 16+01.0 P-401/P-40' | 4.7 | 411,429 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 167,812 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 16,195 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 24,423 | 9000 | 12,212 | 50.15 | 33.42 | 21.9 | 15.49 |
| 17+02.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 302,536 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 186,162 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 18,032 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 27,540 | 9000 | 13,770 | 48.33 | 31.9 | 19.47 | 14.06 |
| 18+05.0 P-401/P-40' | 4.7 | 326,556 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 167,941 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 20,935 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 24,080 | 9000 | 12,040 | 49.52 | 32.38 | 20.75 | 15.7 |
| 19+12.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 320,581 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 188,486 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 13,564 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 26,858 | 9000 | 13,429 | 50.79 | 34.58 | 21.18 | 15.11 |
| 20+05.0 P-401/P-40' | 4.7 | 300,086 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 146,800 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 41,307 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 19,341 | 9000 | 9,671 | 48.28 | 31.77 | 18.78 | 30.13 |
| 21+00.0 P-401/P-40' | 4.7 | 356,598 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 184,726 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 27,208 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 25,647 | 9000 | 12,824 | 44.4 | 28.03 | 18.92 | 18.33 |
| 22+01.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 371,575 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 162,969 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 15,183 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 31,861 | 9000 | 15,931 | 47.65 | 32.14 | 18.12 | 12.96 |
| 23+00.0 P-401/P-40' | 4.7 | 268,741 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 212,885 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 17,323 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 29,516 | 9000 | 14,758 | 46.95 | 31.04 | 18.9 | 12.46 |
| 24+01.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 339,777 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 191,617 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 11,693 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 27,605 | 9000 | 13,803 | 51.13 | 34.85 | 21.84 | 16.1 |
| 24+33.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 500,075 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 180,434 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 16,942 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 41,564 | 9000 | 20,782 | 39.67 | 26.68 | 15.05 | 10.55 |
| 25+01.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 393,805 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 172,036 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 21,262 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 27,462 | 9000 | 13,731 | 45.16 | 30.25 | 18.48 | 13.55 |
| 26+04.0 P-401/P-40' | 4.7 | 470,397 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 172,061 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 22,901 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 36,006 | 9000 | 18,003 | 40.57 | 25.46 | 14.48 | 9.86 |
| 27+03.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 328,354 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 126,572 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 49,541 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 25,586 | 9000 | 12,793 | 42.91 | 27.76 | 16.45 | 16.62 |
| 28+01.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 350,937 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 195,109 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 39,915 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 30,965 | 9000 | 15,483 | 37.48 | 24.23 | 15.74 | 11.22 |
| 29+03.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 365,773 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 179,452 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 57,144 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 28,036 | 9000 | 14,018 | 36.91 | 23.16 | 14.15 | 15.14 |
| 30+00.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 358,561 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 194,165 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 68,011 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 25,481 | 9000 | 12,741 | 36.33 | 23.19 | 14.85 | 19.34 |
| 30+99.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 427,620 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 152,384 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 64,920 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 29,704 | 9000 | 14,852 | 34.84 | 23.27 | 15.17 | 10.14 |
| 32+07.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 341,010 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 169,888 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 21,889 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 26,821 | 9000 | 13,411 | 46.81 | 30.72 | 19.34 | 13.22 |
| 33+05.0 P-401/P-40' | 4.7 | 376,571 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 174,016 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 61,684 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 23,825 | 9000 | 11,913 | 38.67 | 24.91 | 15.32 | 21.07 |
| 34+00.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 400,945 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 189,875 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 23,553 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 38,656 | 9000 | 19,328 | 38.82 | 25.17 | 13.54 | 9.56 |
| 35+03.0 P-401/P-40' | 4.7 | 363,818 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 163,801 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 23,315 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 28,760 | 9000 | 14,380 | 44.9 | 29.2 | 17.76 | 12.61 |
| 36+02.0 P-401/P-40' | 4.7 | 441,666 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 163,963 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 23,421 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 30,082 | 9000 | 15,041 | 42.91 | 27.08 | 18.56 | 11.81 |
| 37+02.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 355,881 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 173,734 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 16,679 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 25,483 | 9000 | 12,742 | 49.62 | 31.76 | 20.41 | 20.71 |
| 38+01.0 P-401/P-40' | 4.7 | 418,870 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 136,485 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 11,531 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 21,845 | 9000 | 10,923 | 57.84 | 39.97 | 26.6 | 17.35 |
| 39+00.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 340,962 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 229,895 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 5,408 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 40,986 | 9000 | 20,493 | 52.95 | 37.2 | 24.19 | 16.05 |
| 40+00.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 417,299 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 169,824 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 19,485 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 28,328 | 9000 | 14,164 | 45.73 | 29.86 | 19.8 | 13.26 |
| 41+00.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 372,856 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 34,912 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 77,573 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 23,156 | 9000 | 11,578 | 57.35 | 32.72 | 19.93 | 13.49 |
| 42+08.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 298,638 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 35,607 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 81,321 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 13,503 | 9000 | 6,752 | 66.5 | 48.06 | 31.11 | 21.11 |
| 43+02.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 261,249 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 247,383 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 11,328 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 23,586 | 9000 | 11,793 | 52.57 | 37.15 | 24.42 | 15.91 |
| 44+01.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 401,737 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 205,498 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 21,586 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 29,972 | 9000 | 14,986 | 41.81 | 27.6 | 17.73 | 13.12 |
| 45+08.0 P-401/P-40' | 4.7 | 323,178 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 188,809 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 16,596 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 26,330 | 9000 | 13,165 | 49.05 | 32.08 | 21.33 | 14.9 |
| 46+04.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 342,167 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 175,171 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 6,876 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 23,919 | 9000 | 11,960 | 60.71 | 42.96 | 28.7 | 19.55 |
| 47+05.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 562,375 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 114,160 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 25,202 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 27,046 | 9000 | 13,523 | 46.15 | 29.73 | 19.13 | 13.05 |
| 47+46.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 527,467 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 57,626 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 55,667 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 42,250 | 9000 | 21,125 | 40.54 | 22.07 | 11.26 | 7.01 |
| 48+02.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 383,546 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 162,041 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 8,171 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 22,785 | 9000 | 11,393 | 59.04 | 43.5 | 27.18 | 18.21 |
| 49+00.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 296,815 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 221,517 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 11,766 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 22,583 | 9000 | 11,292 | 53.51 | 37.28 | 23.21 | 20.65 |
| 50+02.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 473,279 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 156,637 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 21,459 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 24,735 | 9000 | 12,368 | 46.36 | 31.88 | 19.04 | 16.04 |
| 51+02.0 P-401/P-40' | 4.7 | 409,242 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 125,237 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 7,985 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 21,874 | 9000 | 10,937 | 64.01 | 45.38 | 28.78 | 19.6 |
| 52+09.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 183,329 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 95,530 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 83,016 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 15,316 | 9000 | 7,658 | 55.54 | 40.71 | 24.36 | 16.94 |
| 53+02.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 188,506 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 225,751 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 5,860 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 26,311 | 9000 | 13,156 | 64.11 | 46.17 | 27.79 | 19.17 |
| 54+05.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 465,523 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 114,853 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 7,960 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 29,726 | 9000 | 14,863 | 59.49 | 41.94 | 25.02 | 14.83 |
| $55+01.0$ P-401/P-40' | 4.7 | 307,958 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 162,948 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 81,936 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 15,707 | 9000 | 7,854 | 45.12 | 31.01 | 18.7 | 34.56 |
| 56+03.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 1,148,280 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 51,980 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 70,031 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 31,619 | 9000 | 15,810 | 36.82 | 23.63 | 14.23 | 9.91 |
| 57+01.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 428,390 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 227,414 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 14,873 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 31,886 | 9000 | 15,943 | 42.28 | 29.5 | 19.03 | 11.98 |
| 58+00.0 P-401/P-40' | 4.7 | 413,305 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 183,626 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 13,683 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 25,168 | 9000 | 12,584 | 49.84 | 34.23 | 22.77 | 14.98 |

## Section 2 - Taxiway A



| DMI - ft | Measured [Measured [Measured [Calculated ICalculated ICalculated ICalculated ICalculated ICalculated ICalculated I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13+02.0 | 7.38 | 6.11 | 4.94 | 39.12 | 23.22 | 13.62 | 9.47 | 7.22 | 5.79 | 4.81 |
| 14+01.0 | 9.2 | 7.31 | 5.34 | 44.46 | 26.38 | 17.57 | 12.64 | 9.6 | 7.62 | 6.28 |
| 15+02.0 | 11.5 | 7.72 | 6.58 | 51.7 | 31.78 | 22.8 | 17.4 | 13.74 | 11.15 | 9.28 |
| 16+01.0 | 11.81 | 8.31 | 6.28 | 49.77 | 33.47 | 22.57 | 15.63 | 11.26 | 8.53 | 6.81 |
| 17+02.0 | 10.11 | 7.71 | 6.2 | 48.5 | 31.01 | 20.56 | 14.01 | 9.98 | 7.54 | 6.03 |
| 18+05.0 | 11.56 | 8.66 | 6.27 | 49.54 | 32.05 | 21.59 | 15.13 | 11.1 | 8.56 | 6.93 |
| 19+12.0 | 11.64 | 7.35 | 6.13 | 51.02 | 33.64 | 22.45 | 15.17 | 10.61 | 7.84 | 6.17 |
| 20+05.0 | 9.65 | 6.52 | 5.54 | 49.37 | 31.36 | 22.21 | 16.8 | 13.2 | 10.68 | 8.87 |
| $21+00.0$ | 9.31 | 6.54 | 5.62 | 44.48 | 28.65 | 19.5 | 13.9 | 10.37 | 8.09 | 6.6 |
| 22+01.0 | 9.08 | 6.42 | 5.75 | 48 | 30.73 | 19.64 | 12.87 | 8.84 | 6.5 | 5.13 |
| $23+00.0$ | 9.91 | 6.95 | 6.34 | 47.23 | 29.9 | 19.83 | 13.38 | 9.42 | 7.04 | 5.6 |
| 24+01.0 | 11.11 | 7.44 | 5.81 | 51.28 | 34.3 | 22.91 | 15.37 | 10.6 | 7.72 | 6 |
| 24+33.0 | 7.04 | 4.66 | 4.68 | 40.03 | 25.63 | 16.03 | 10.27 | 6.91 | 5 | 3.91 |
| 25+01.0 | 10.17 | 7.4 | 6.3 | 45.34 | 29.41 | 19.53 | 13.51 | 9.8 | 7.5 | 6.05 |
| 26+04.0 | 7.39 | 5.99 | 4.86 | 39.96 | 25.24 | 16.06 | 10.72 | 7.57 | 5.72 | 4.59 |
| 27+03.0 | 8.56 | 6.79 | 5.18 | 43.95 | 25.94 | 17.39 | 12.86 | 9.99 | 8.05 | 6.68 |
| 28+01.0 | 8.68 | 6.15 | 5.8 | 38.1 | 23.27 | 15.64 | 11.21 | 8.45 | 6.67 | 5.48 |
| 29+03.0 | 8.8 | 6.15 | 4.93 | 37.18 | 22.64 | 15.68 | 11.73 | 9.16 | 7.39 | 6.13 |
| $30+00.0$ | 7.03 | 6.08 | 5.02 | 36.85 | 22.88 | 16.42 | 12.62 | 10.04 | 8.19 | 6.84 |
| $30+99.0$ | 8.57 | 6.63 | 5.63 | 35.93 | 21.54 | 14.63 | 10.96 | 8.6 | 6.97 | 5.8 |
| 32+07.0 | 9.79 | 8.17 | 6.8 | 46.99 | 29.97 | 19.88 | 13.77 | 10.01 | 7.69 | 6.22 |
| 33+05.0 | 8.55 | 6.3 | 5.5 | 39.12 | 24.58 | 17.55 | 13.47 | 10.71 | 8.74 | 7.3 |
| $34+00.0$ | 7.3 | 5.47 | 4.8 | 38.89 | 23.98 | 15.19 | 10.05 | 7.05 | 5.3 | 4.26 |
| 35+03.0 | 9.34 | 7.3 | 6.17 | 45.1 | 28.44 | 18.6 | 12.79 | 9.28 | 7.12 | 5.77 |
| 36+02.0 | 9.2 | 6.51 | 5.59 | 42.81 | 27.45 | 17.91 | 12.29 | 8.89 | 6.82 | 5.51 |
| 37+02.0 | 9.25 | 6.64 | 6.06 | 49.51 | 32.61 | 21.82 | 15 | 10.75 | 8.13 | 6.49 |
| 38+01.0 | 13.3 | 9.81 | 7.33 | 57.94 | 39.68 | 26.54 | 18.11 | 12.8 | 9.52 | 7.51 |
| 39+00.0 | 11.53 | 7.95 | 6.91 | 53.43 | 37.08 | 24.87 | 15.95 | 10 | 6.36 | 4.3 |
| 40+00.0 | 9.55 | 7.73 | 5.76 | 45.73 | 29.84 | 19.68 | 13.47 | 9.67 | 7.34 | 5.89 |
| 41+00.0 | 9.53 | 6.84 | 5.33 | 58.26 | 30.87 | 17.89 | 13.38 | 10.68 | 8.74 | 7.32 |
| 42+08.0 | 15.07 | 8.94 | 7.37 | 69.98 | 40.06 | 26.65 | 21.29 | 17.55 | 14.68 | 12.47 |
| 43+02.0 | 13.5 | 8.58 | 7.17 | 52.88 | 35.98 | 25.1 | 17.39 | 12.29 | 9.07 | 7.08 |
| 44+01.0 | 9.05 | 6.76 | 5.69 | 41.87 | 27.3 | 18.28 | 12.63 | 9.11 | 6.94 | 5.57 |
| 45+08.0 | 10.55 | 7.89 | 6.19 | 49.04 | 32.05 | 21.48 | 14.72 | 10.5 | 7.91 | 6.31 |
| 46+04.0 | 13.73 | 8.68 | 7.4 | 60.84 | 42.61 | 29.09 | 19.56 | 13.27 | 9.35 | 7 |
| 47+05.0 | 10.37 | 6.91 | 6.09 | 46.1 | 29.84 | 19.1 | 13.17 | 9.68 | 7.53 | 6.14 |
| 47+46.0 | 5.85 | 5.24 | 4.35 | 40.73 | 21.51 | 11.43 | 7.69 | 5.81 | 4.64 | 3.86 |
| 48+02.0 | 14.5 | 8.94 | 7.97 | 59.62 | 41.7 | 28.37 | 19.23 | 13.27 | 9.56 | 7.31 |
| 49+00.0 | 12.62 | 7.87 | 7.66 | 53.5 | 36.7 | 25.58 | 17.82 | 12.7 | 9.44 | 7.41 |
| 50+02.0 | 11.24 | 7.58 | 6.74 | 46.54 | 31.06 | 20.89 | 14.68 | 10.8 | 8.34 | 6.76 |
| 51+02.0 | 14.17 | 9.45 | 7.59 | 64.28 | 44.59 | 29.61 | 19.74 | 13.5 | 9.7 | 7.45 |
| 52+09.0 | 12.54 | 8.2 | 6.17 | 56.66 | 32.31 | 23.96 | 19.37 | 15.96 | 13.31 | 11.27 |
| 53+02.0 | 13.04 | 7.73 | 7.19 | 64.89 | 43.7 | 29.76 | 19.53 | 12.78 | 8.67 | 6.31 |
| 54+05.0 | 10.99 | 7.25 | 5.94 | 60.04 | 40.55 | 25.53 | 16.07 | 10.38 | 7.14 | 5.36 |
| 55+01.0 | 9.68 | 7.13 | 5.41 | 45.52 | 29.83 | 22.97 | 18.71 | 15.52 | 13.03 | 11.08 |
| 56+03.0 | 8.29 | 6.3 | 5.01 | 36.83 | 23.61 | 14.23 | 10.07 | 7.83 | 6.36 | 5.31 |
| 57+01.0 | 9.48 | 6.53 | 5.89 | 42.6 | 28.65 | 19.25 | 13.04 | 9.1 | 6.69 | 5.23 |
| $58+00.0$ | 11.48 | 8.16 | 6.87 | 49.72 | 33.91 | 23.01 | 15.83 | 11.25 | 8.39 | 6.62 |

# Section 2 - Taxiway A 

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $59+01.0$ | 12.17 | 7.96 | 7.23 | 50.79 | 36.14 | 26.52 | 18.08 | 11.73 | 7.39 | 4.67 |
| $60+02.0$ | 10.96 | 6.55 | 5.89 | 51.45 | 35.33 | 22.99 | 15.21 | 10.43 | 7.58 | 5.9 |
| $61+04.0$ | 10.78 | 7.27 | 6.12 | 51.37 | 32.91 | 20.8 | 14.15 | 10.28 | 7.94 | 6.46 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $72+02.0$ | 12.35 | 8.66 | 7.02 | 58.09 | 36.15 | 26.31 | 20.58 | 16.58 | 13.64 | 11.45 |
| $73+02.0$ | 9.93 | 6.37 | 4.91 | 46.06 | 28.88 | 18.71 | 12.75 | 9.18 | 7.02 | 5.68 |
| $74+01.0$ | 8.68 | 6.56 | 5.51 | 41.91 | 27.13 | 17.73 | 12.11 | 8.7 | 6.63 | 5.34 |
| $75+01.0$ | 15.97 | 9.32 | 9.05 | 66.93 | 49.54 | 30.63 | 19.64 | 14 | 10.98 | 9.11 |
| $76+00.0$ | 9.02 | 5.31 | 5.37 | 55.82 | 33.13 | 22 | 16.42 | 12.9 | 10.46 | 8.72 |
| $76+57.0$ | 9.09 | 6.23 | 4.74 | 56.31 | 37.42 | 22.54 | 13.89 | 9.02 | 6.38 | 4.95 |

Section 2 St

Section 2 St


| 13+00.0 P-401/P-40' | 4.7 | 315,418 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 185,196 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 17,738 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 27,476 | 9000 | 13,738 | 47.44 | 31.48 | 20.48 | 13.67 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14+07.0 P-401/P-40' | 4.7 | 396,724 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 171,338 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 14,536 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 30,868 | 9000 | 15,434 | 47.11 | 31.74 | 19.87 | 12.08 |
| 15+02.0 P-401/P-40' | 4.7 | 407,079 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 164,781 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 22,554 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 32,122 | 9000 | 16,061 | 42.68 | 27.26 | 17.24 | 11.38 |
| 16+02.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 1,169,343 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 17,717 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 109,969 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 26,020 | 9000 | 13,010 | 48.26 | 30.34 | 18.06 | 14.65 |
| 17+08.0 P-401/P-40 | 4.7 | 311,282 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 188,742 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 24,958 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 33,893 | 9000 | 16,947 | 41.76 | 25.57 | 16.07 | 10.81 |
| 18+01.0 P-401/P-40 | 4.7 | 539,289 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 84,779 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 16,202 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 27,712 | 9000 | 13,856 | 54.49 | 34.46 | 20.89 | 14.97 |
| 19+03.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 324,948 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 155,486 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 21,488 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 32,158 | 9000 | 16,079 | 45.48 | 28.71 | 16.91 | 10.8 |
| 20+00.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 1,386,613 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 11,341 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 268,462 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 28,931 | 9000 | 14,466 | 47.67 | 29.58 | 18.71 | 11.66 |
| 21+00.0 P-401/P-40' | 4.7 | 437,562 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 129,449 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 29,433 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 33,588 | 9000 | 16,794 | 41.81 | 25.73 | 15.02 | 11.13 |
| 22+03.0 P-401/P-40' | 4.7 | 285,560 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 144,292 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 73,280 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 14,333 | 9000 | 7,167 | 50.56 | 31.77 | 19.94 | 44.09 |
| 23+01.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 297,724 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 179,378 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 16,844 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 33,322 | 9000 | 16,661 | 46.41 | 30.03 | 17.8 | 11.09 |
| 23+95.0 P-401/P-40' | 4.7 | 344,535 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 177,245 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 48,918 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 38,896 | 9000 | 19,448 | 33.74 | 21.43 | 13.14 | 8.76 |
| 25+04.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 421,685 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 126,957 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 13,756 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 27,552 | 9000 | 13,776 | 52.95 | 34.5 | 21.96 | 14.62 |
| 26+11.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 379,663 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 159,896 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 21,660 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 31,684 | 9000 | 15,842 | 44.14 | 28.04 | 17.52 | 11.51 |
| 27+01.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 398,792 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 181,772 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 18,891 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 32,184 | 9000 | 16,092 | 43.15 | 28.59 | 17.6 | 11.86 |
| 27+99.0 P-401/P-40' | 4.7 | 328,429 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 153,274 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 31,729 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 31,692 | 9000 | 15,846 | 42.32 | 25.69 | 15.43 | 11.14 |
| 29+07.0 P-401/P-40 | 4.7 | 384,299 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 157,521 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 40,538 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 32,336 | 9000 | 16,168 | 38.65 | 23.31 | 14.69 | 10.89 |
| 30+04.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 379,120 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 62,835 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 65,133 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 31,383 | 9000 | 15,692 | 45.71 | 24.01 | 14.85 | 10.37 |
| 31+10.0 P-401/P-40' | 4.7 | 465,189 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 224,783 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 15,447 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 29,418 | 9000 | 14,709 | 43.41 | 29.17 | 18.02 | 13.19 |
| 31+13.0 P-401/P-40' | 4.7 | 439,851 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 219,299 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 16,736 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 28,920 | 9000 | 14,460 | 42.45 | 29.1 | 19.68 | 13.35 |
| 32+09.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 377,539 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 196,270 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 19,782 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 28,930 | 9000 | 14,465 | 43.56 | 29.47 | 18.5 | 12.13 |
| 33+03.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 329,791 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 172,525 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 20,263 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 26,905 | 9000 | 13,453 | 47.2 | 30.2 | 20.08 | 13.37 |
| 34+01.0 P-401/P-40' | 4.7 | 324,640 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 182,041 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 19,462 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 22,406 | 9000 | 11,203 | 50.69 | 32.26 | 19.81 | 21.96 |
| 34+05.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 314,929 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 161,432 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 18,233 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 29,411 | 9000 | 14,706 | 47.55 | 30.81 | 17.55 | 13.85 |
| 35+00.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 409,985 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 169,013 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 28,377 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 24,591 | 9000 | 12,296 | 44.49 | 27.76 | 18.35 | 19.24 |
| 35+03.0 P-401/P-40' | 4.7 | 402,712 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 176,821 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 13,877 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 33,705 | 9000 | 16,853 | 44.77 | 33.23 | 16.59 | 9.92 |
| 36+01.0 P-401/P-40' | 4.7 | 525,238 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 67,880 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 20,058 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 26,206 | 9000 | 13,103 | 56.11 | 34.72 | 21.12 | 13.87 |
| 37+01.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 838,186 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 52,864 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 16,878 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 23,156 | 9000 | 11,578 | 57.65 | 39.19 | 24.02 | 15.43 |
| 38+01.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 322,903 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 190,566 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 12,678 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 24,850 | 9000 | 12,425 | 51.98 | 35.49 | 23.24 | 15.7 |
| 39+03.0 P-401/P-40' | 4.7 | 513,784 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 152,047 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 17,962 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 29,450 | 9000 | 14,725 | 44.74 | 30.17 | 19.01 | 12.5 |
| 40+04.0 P-401/P-40 | 4.7 | 380,161 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 203,364 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 7,916 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 25,797 | 9000 | 12,899 | 54.22 | 37.65 | 24.61 | 20.63 |
| 41+04.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 411,042 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 32,784 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 79,602 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 23,628 | 9000 | 11,814 | 54.32 | 34.53 | 19.35 | 12.28 |
| 42+01.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 379,712 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 159,569 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 16,722 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 28,918 | 9000 | 14,459 | 47.71 | 31.56 | 19.4 | 13.01 |
| 43+00.0 P-401/P-40' | 4.7 | 499,165 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 98,673 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 13,479 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 28,411 | 9000 | 14,206 | 54.35 | 36.06 | 21.75 | 13.06 |
| 44+00.0 P-401/P-40' | 4.7 | 855,273 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 105,669 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 11,689 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 23,772 | 9000 | 11,886 | 52.84 | 37.81 | 25.11 | 16.69 |
| 45+06.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 296,862 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 165,731 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 43,160 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 22,686 | 9000 | 11,343 | 44.08 | 27.91 | 16.49 | 23.23 |
| 45+10.0 P-401/P-40' | 4.7 | 452,684 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 197,584 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 19,141 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 29,065 | 9000 | 14,533 | 43.34 | 27.52 | 17.33 | 11.72 |
| 46+01.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 284,975 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 220,325 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 14,550 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 22,790 | 9000 | 11,395 | 51.26 | 34.96 | 23.27 | 17.31 |
| 47+03.0 P-401/P-40' | 4.7 | 394,796 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 39,333 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 163,455 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 30,830 | 9000 | 15,415 | 44.71 | 25.72 | 14.35 | 10.28 |
| 48+06.0 P-401/P-40' | 4.7 | 300,839 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 120,262 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 6,932 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 27,350 | 9000 | 13,675 | 66.04 | 46.2 | 26.57 | 16.98 |
| 49+05.0 P-401/P-40' | 4.7 | 322,631 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 183,045 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 4,579 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 20,205 | 9000 | 10,103 | 69.64 | 49.6 | 31.67 | 31.35 |
| 50+04.0 P-401/P-40' | 4.7 | 120,329 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 13,072 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 37,533 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 13,951 | 9000 | 6,976 | 129 | 59.76 | 35.31 | 19.94 |
| 50+10.0 P-401/P-40 | 4.7 | 114,732 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 66,063 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 22,039 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 13,098 | 9000 | 6,549 | 83.64 | 60.11 | 36.45 | 24.16 |
| 51+08.0 P-401/P-40 | 4.7 | 290,307 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 302,280 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 8,979 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 20,586 | 9000 | 10,293 | 54.62 | 36.97 | 24.01 | 28.68 |
| 51+14.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 405,418 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 122,589 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 17,014 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 22,350 | 9000 | 11,175 | 54.19 | 35.38 | 23.71 | 16.09 |
| 52+05.0 P-401/P-40' | 4.7 | 394,074 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 207,122 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 3,478 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 32,270 | 9000 | 16,135 | 63.77 | 43.47 | 25.6 | 34.06 |
| 52+12.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 1,140,775 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 13,585 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 20,059 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 23,636 | 9000 | 11,818 | 64.9 | 44.95 | 25.3 | 15.64 |
| 53+09.0 P-401/P-40' | 4.7 | 260,804 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 141,172 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 3,654 | 40000 Low Strengt | 0 | 24,833 | 9000 | 12,417 | 78.63 | 53.8 | 32.64 | 31.51 |

## Section 2 - Taxiway A



DMI - ft Measured [Measured [Measured [Calculated ICalculated ICalculated ICalculated ICalculated ICalculated ICalculated Deflection D7 mil


# Section 2 - Taxiway A 

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $54+01.0$ | 9.19 | 7.13 | 5.43 | 51.47 | 28.91 | 18.06 | 13.43 | 10.59 | 8.63 | 7.21 |
| $54+99.0$ | 9.2 | 5.9 | 5.61 | 42.65 | 29.38 | 20.15 | 13.9 | 9.84 | 7.29 | 5.71 |
| $55+99.0$ | 8.7 | 7.78 | 5.6 | 42.4 | 25.91 | 16.89 | 11.87 | 8.85 | 6.95 | 5.7 |
| $57+01.0$ | 11.5 | 7.91 | 6.23 | 49.17 | 32.03 | 21.47 | 14.84 | 10.7 | 8.14 | 6.53 |
| $58+08.0$ | 9.64 | 7.75 | 5.46 | 46.91 | 31.42 | 21.15 | 14.27 | 9.89 | 7.21 | 5.61 |
| $59+05.0$ | 10.59 | 6.97 | 6.57 | 45.75 | 30.54 | 20.49 | 14.05 | 10 | 7.5 | 5.96 |
| $60+04.0$ | 13.44 | 8.52 | 7.31 | 62.65 | 44.36 | 27.74 | 18.09 | 12.64 | 9.53 | 7.67 |
| $61+00.0$ | 10.81 | 8.12 | 5.98 | 48.35 | 30.68 | 20.3 | 14.04 | 10.22 | 7.86 | 6.36 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $72+01.0$ | 13.47 | 10.56 | 7.3 | 55.67 | 34.41 | 23.83 | 18.07 | 14.28 | 11.63 | 9.7 |
| $73+02.0$ | 11.64 | 6.64 | 6.06 | 54.69 | 36.01 | 23.16 | 15.37 | 10.69 | 7.93 | 6.28 |
| $74+03.0$ | 15.04 | 8.85 | 8.39 | 72.7 | 50.86 | 33.95 | 22.29 | 14.72 | 10.11 | 7.43 |
| $75+00.0$ | 12.57 | 8.17 | 6.84 | 77.47 | 42.18 | 26.89 | 20.54 | 16.44 | 13.49 | 11.32 |
| $75+78.0$ | 10.56 | 6.29 | 5.85 | 64.59 | 41.91 | 23.48 | 14.61 | 10.31 | 8 | 6.6 |

Section 2 St

Section 2 S

## Section 2 - Taxiway B

| Sta $0+50$ to $3+$ | Left Backcalc | Right Backcalc | Average |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Surface Asphalt | 239,800 | 369,583 | 304,691 |
| Base | 76,682 | 68,258 | 72,470 |
| Subbase | 39,967 | 64,004 | 51,985 |
| Subgrade | 7,451 | 5,736 | 6,594 |




| DMI - ft | Measured [Measured [Measured [Calculated ICalculated ICalculated ICalculated ICalculated ICalculated ICalculated I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| +01.0 | 6.02 | 5.22 | 16.52 | 12.32 | 10.43 | 9.07 | 7.92 | 6.93 | 6.08 | 6.28 |
| +53.0 | 7.48 | 7.6 | 74.89 | 44.9 | 24.27 | 17.49 | 14.35 | 11.95 | 10.06 | 9.28 |
| $1+00.0$ | 6.92 | 7.87 | 76.06 | 41.92 | 25.59 | 20.29 | 16.73 | 13.95 | 11.85 | 6.81 |
| $1+05.0$ | 6.77 | 6.83 | 70.09 | 50.16 | 29.46 | 18.25 | 12.8 | 9.99 | 8.3 | 6.03 |
| $1+08.0$ | 7.42 | 7.12 | 84.05 | 40.03 | 25.79 | 21.25 | 17.62 | 14.75 | 12.54 |  |
| $1+50.0$ | 6.81 | 6.48 | 44.9 | 33.2 | 27.81 | 24.03 | 20.86 | 18.17 | 15.89 |  |
| $2+03.0$ | 8.11 | 6.03 | 57.1 | 37.86 | 24.2 | 15.69 | 10.6 | 7.67 | 5.99 |  |
| $2+51.0$ | 8.43 | 6.31 | 57.71 | 31.78 | 24.1 | 20.23 | 17.07 | 14.5 | 12.44 |  |
| $3+00.0$ | 4.76 | 4.02 | 41.86 | 24.98 | 14.08 | 9.87 | 7.69 | 6.26 | 5.24 | 4.95 |

Section 2 S

| DMI - ft Layer 1 Typ Layer Thick | ckcalcula | M Modulus Layer 2 | yp Layer Thick | kcalcu | eed Modul Layer | pLayer Thick | ckalcula | ed Modul Layer 4 TypL | Layer Thick | cu |  | ected S | ur | red | ured | ured [ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +00.0 P-401/P-40 4 | 799,204 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 450,251 | 75000 P-154 | 15 | 259,991 | 40000 User Define | e | 19,774 | 4500 | 9,887 | 13.4 | 10.18 | 41.65 | 8.68 |
| +06.0 P-401/P-40: | 700,162 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 173,463 | 75000 P-154 | 15 | 5,668 | 40000 User Define | e | 26,234 | 4500 | 13,117 | 41.24 | 25.04 | 25.87 | 11.89 |
| +11.0 P-401/P-40: | 255,011 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 74,508 | 75000 P-154 | 15 | 119,959 | 40000 User Define | e | 11,311 | 4500 | 5,656 | 42.55 | 25.77 | 40.62 | 12.5 |
| +53.0 P-401/P-40: | 200,949 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 52,374 | 75000 P-154 | 15 | 111,346 | 40000 User Define | e | 12,598 | 4500 | 6,299 | 46.87 | 30.87 | 20.2 | 13.96 |
| 1+01.0 P-401/P-40: | 399,400 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 131,681 | 75000 P-154 | 15 | 14,977 | 40000 User Define | e | 25,510 | 4500 | 12,755 | 35.93 | 22.35 | 14.74 | 10.34 |
| 1+51.0 P-401/P-40' | 145,667 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 56,038 | 75000 P-154 | 15 | 156,160 | 40000 User Define | e | 14,803 | 4500 | 7,402 | 40.44 | 23.92 | 19.45 | 11.52 |
| 2+02.0 P-401/P-40' | 302,492 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 165,513 | 75000 P-154 | 15 | 20,707 | 40000 User Define | e | 27,030 | 4500 | 13,515 | 30.84 | 17.02 | 18.72 | 8.65 |
| 2+54.0 P-401/P-40: 4 | 547,180 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 131,975 | 75000 P-154 | 15 | 13,895 | 40000 User Define | e | 29,908 | 4500 | 14,954 | 33.67 | 20.07 | 14 | 9.01 |
| 3+01.0 P-401/P-40: 4 | 966,329 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 144,474 | 75000 P-154 | 15 | 16,700 | 40000 User Define | e | 27,092 | 4500 | 13,546 | 30.39 | 18.65 | 16.33 | 9.3 |
| Section 2 Sta 0+50 to 3+ | 479,599 | +279,599 |  | 153,364 | +78,364 |  | 79,934 | +39,934 |  | 21,584 | +17,084 | 10,792 |  |  |  |  |
| Std Deviation | 272,777 | 50.0\% | Std Deviation <br> Corrected Percentile | 113,328 | 50.0\% |  | 83,281 | 50.0\% St | Std Deviation | 6,682 | 31.0\% | 3,341 | 31\% |  |  |  |
| Corrected Percentile | 239,800 |  |  | 76,682 | Corrected Percentile |  | 39,967 |  |  | 14,902 h Percentile |  | 7,451 |  |  |  |  |

DMI - ft Measured [Measured [Measured [Calculated ICalculated ICalculated ICalculated ICalculated ICalculated ICalculated I

| +00.0 | 7.47 | 4.84 | 24.1 | 17.91 | 15.12 | 13.13 | 11.45 | 10 | 8.77 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| +06.0 | 6.68 | 6.45 | 57.57 | 42.05 | 28.66 | 19.18 | 12.84 | 8.87 | 6.48 |
| +11.0 | 7.37 | 6.85 | 60.35 | 36.2 | 28.1 | 23.72 | 20.16 | 17.25 | 14.87 |
| +53.0 | 9.85 | 7.37 | 68.83 | 36.72 | 27.02 | 22.42 | 18.76 | 15.84 | 13.53 |
| $1+01.0$ | 8.5 | 6.07 | 56 | 35.68 | 22.54 | 14.9 | 10.47 | 7.89 | 6.36 |
| $1+51.0$ | 6.97 | 6.15 | 65.42 | 31.32 | 23.41 | 19.55 | 16.36 | 13.78 | 11.74 |
| $2+02.0$ | 5.96 | 5.07 | 49.68 | 30.69 | 19.76 | 13.37 | 9.62 | 7.39 | 6.01 |
| $2+54.0$ | 6.39 | 5.61 | 51.69 | 33.41 | 20.7 | 13.34 | 9.12 | 6.74 | 5.37 |
| $3+01.0$ | 6.53 | 5.65 | 45.67 | 31.57 | 20.59 | 13.99 | 9.99 | 7.57 | 6.07 |

Section 2 St

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Sta $0+50$ to $3+$ | Left Backcalc | Right Backcalc | Average |
| Surface Asphalt | 266,542 | 233,193 | 249,867 |
| Base | 46,874 | 35,341 | 41,108 |
| Subbase | 31,580 | 38,565 | 35,072 |
| Subgrade | 9,393 | 9,073 | 9,233 |



DMI - ft Layer 1 Typ Layer Thick Backcalcula Seed Modulus Layer 2 Typ Layer Thick Backcalcula Seed Modu Layer 3 Typ Layer Thick Backcalcula Seed Modu Layer 4 Typ Layer Thick Backcalcula Seed Modu

| +52.0 P-401/P-40' | 4.7 | 162,621 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 82,046 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 75,045 | 40000 | Low Strengl | 0 | 15,378 | 9000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1+04.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 654,812 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 59,023 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 17,714 | 40000 | Low Streng\| | 0 | 23,744 | 9000 |
| 1+54.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 278,121 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 57,243 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 74,639 | 40000 | Low Streng | 0 | 21,252 | 9000 |
| 2+10.0 P-401/P-40 | 4.7 | 367,145 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 114,384 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 8,864 | 40000 | Low Strengt | 0 | 31,440 | 9000 |
| 2+55.0 P-401/P-40' | 4.7 | 869,231 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 19,818 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 209,389 | 40000 | Low Strengt | 0 | 25,027 | 9000 |
| 3+00.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 363,220 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 141,935 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 30,345 | 40000 | Low Strengt | 0 | 28,711 | 9000 |
| Section 2 Sta 13+ to 61+ |  | 466,386 | +266,386 |  | 66,503 | $(-8,497)$ |  | 77,130 | +37,130 |  |  | 23,368 | +14,368 |
|  | ation | 258,980 | 50.0\% | Std Deviation | 31,162 | 46.9\% | Std Deviation | 71,685 | 50.0\% |  |  | 5,222 | 22.3\% |
| Corrected | ntile | 233,193 |  | 85th Percentile | 35,341 | Corrected Percentile |  | 38,565 | 85th Percentile |  |  | 18,146 h Percentile |  |

DMI - ft Corrected SMeasured [ Measured [ Measured [Measured [ Measured [ Measured [ Measured [Calculated ICalculated [Calculated ICalculated ICalculated [Calculated ICalculated I

| +52.0 | 7,689 | 41.08 | 22.9 | 20.78 | 10.99 | 7.37 | 6.25 | 60.23 | 33.06 | 23.98 | 19.19 | 15.69 | 13.02 | 10.99 | 6.28 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $1+04.0$ | 11,872 | 37.94 | 21.7 | 16.53 | 10.73 | 7.12 | 6.22 | 57.66 | 37.87 | 22.77 | 14.86 | 10.57 | 8.12 | 6.62 | 9.28 |
| $1+54.0$ | 10,626 | 33.61 | 18.58 | 15.19 | 9.32 | 6.66 | 5.69 | 53.98 | 28.69 | 18.52 | 14.26 | 11.45 | 9.41 | 7.9 | 6.81 |
| $2+10.0$ | 15,720 | 38.11 | 21.83 | 17.53 | 8.44 | 6.41 | 3.92 | 59.13 | 38.29 | 23.52 | 14.53 | 9.31 | 6.43 | 4.89 | 6.03 |
| $2+55.0$ | 12,514 | 28.66 | 16.22 | 12.63 | 9.52 | 7.35 | 5.33 | 47.46 | 28.73 | 16.07 | 11.61 | 9.44 | 7.85 | 6.6 | 6.93 |
| $3+00.0$ | 14,356 | 27.13 | 16.66 | 12.21 | 8.69 | 7.6 | 5.54 | 43.61 | 26.62 | 17.24 | 12.03 | 8.92 | 6.98 | 5.71 | 4.95 |


| Section 2 St | 11,684 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | 2,611 | $22.3 \%$ |
|  | 9,073 |  |

DMI - ft Layer 1 Typ Layer Thick Backcalcula Seed Modulus Layer 2 Typ Layer Thick Backcalcula Seed Modu Layer 3 Typ Layer Thick Backcalcula Seed Modul Layer 4 Typ Layer Thick Backcalcula Seed Modu

| +52.0 P-401/P-40' | 4.7 | 1,189,372 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 5,940 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 188,365 | 40000 Low Streng | g 0 | 18,602 | 9000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1+04.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 358,557 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 112,212 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 14,364 | 40000 Low Streng | 10 | 22,569 | 9000 |
| 1+52.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 301,474 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 158,476 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 80,060 | 40000 Low Streng | 10 | 15,365 | 9000 |
| 1+56.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 317,043 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 169,095 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 19,715 | 40000 Low Streng | 10 | 24,330 | 9000 |
| 1+59.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 379,158 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 48,070 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 85,653 | 40000 Low Streng | 10 | 22,318 | 9000 |
| 2+14.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 929,796 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 10,892 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 41,977 | 40000 Low Streng | 10 | 32,614 | 9000 |
| 2+52.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 379,174 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 105,833 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 32,345 | 40000 Low Streng | 10 | 33,288 | 9000 |
| 3+01.0 P-401/P-40: | 4.7 | 410,097 | 200000 P-209 | 8 | 139,471 | 75000 P-154 | 15.2 | 42,797 | 40000 Low Streng | 10 | 31,430 | 9000 |
| Section 2 Sta $0+50$ to $3+$ |  | 533,084 | +333,084 |  | 93,749 | +18,749 |  | 63,160 | +23,160 | Std Deviation | 25,065 | +16,065 |
|  | ation | 312,526 | 50.0\% | Std Deviation | 60,318 | 50.0\% | Std Deviation | 53,091 | 50.0\% S |  | 6,278 | 25.0\% |
| Corrected | entile | 266,542 | Corrected Percentile |  | 46,874 | Corrected Percentile |  | 31,580 |  |  | 18,787 h Percentile |  |

DMI - ft Corrected SMeasured [ Measured [ Measured [ Measured [Measured [ Measured [ Measured [ Calculated I Calculated I Calculated I Calculated I Calculated I Calculated I Calculated I

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| +52.0 | 9,301 | 46.85 | 27.11 | 19.8 | 12.76 | 9.01 | 7.11 | 67.67 | 47.63 | 27.59 | 17.29 | 12.61 | 10.18 | 8.57 | 5.99 |
| $1+04.0$ | 11,285 | 38 | 24.08 | 17.13 | 11.56 | 8.82 | 6.79 | 58.47 | 37.98 | 24.47 | 16.47 | 11.69 | 8.83 | 7.08 | 5.3 |
| $1+52.0$ | 7,683 | 29.76 | 17.76 | 33.68 | 9.1 | 7.11 | 5.37 | 45.88 | 30.06 | 23.12 | 18.84 | 15.63 | 13.12 | 11.15 | 5.12 |
| $1+56.0$ | 12,165 | 31.13 | 18.46 | 22.17 | 8.88 | 6.1 | 5.59 | 48.56 | 31.39 | 21.08 | 14.68 | 10.69 | 8.21 | 6.62 | 6.35 |
| $1+59.0$ | 11,159 | 31.22 | 18.39 | 14.05 | 8.64 | 6.88 | 5.47 | 50.51 | 27.57 | 17.24 | 13.25 | 10.68 | 8.79 | 7.4 | 4.85 |
| $2+14.0$ | 16,307 | 37.17 | 19.63 | 11.23 | 6.43 | 4.73 | 4.61 | 60.41 | 38.18 | 18.79 | 10.35 | 7.1 | 5.65 | 4.76 | 5.74 |
| $2+52.0$ | 16,644 | 25.62 | 15.04 | 10.68 | 7.51 | 6.1 | 4.79 | 44.27 | 25.46 | 15.37 | 10.39 | 7.62 | 5.96 | 4.89 | 5.07 |
| $3+01.0$ | 15,715 | 23.61 | 14.01 | 11.86 | 8.04 | 6.12 | 4.9 | 38.77 | 23.12 | 14.96 | 10.65 | 8.06 | 6.4 | 5.28 | 5.77 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Section 2 St | 12,532 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3,139 | $25 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9,393 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Section 2-Taxiway E

| Sta $0+50$ to $3+$ | Left Backcalc | Right Backcalc | Average |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Surface Asphalt | 208,710 | 254,544 | 231,627 |
| Base | 57,027 | 68,773 | 62,900 |
| Subbase | 31,315 | 19,430 | 25,372 |
| Subgrade | 7,745 | 8,734 | 8,240 |




| DMI- ft | Measur | easur | asu | alcu | alcula | alcula | alcula | alcula | alculat | Calculated I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +00.0 | 5.31 | 3.65 | 19.6 | 12.02 | 9.03 | 7.2 | 5.88 | 4.88 | 4.11 | 6.28 |
| +02.0 | 5.78 | 4.25 | 25.98 | 16.34 | 12.24 | 9.29 | 7.24 | 5.79 | 4.78 | 9.28 |
| +06.0 | 7.59 | 5.39 | 32.59 | 24.53 | 18.21 | 13.18 | 9.48 | 6.93 | 5.23 | 6.81 |
| +52.0 | 8.32 | 6.48 | 54.09 | 30.66 | 20.37 | 16.07 | 13.13 | 10.92 | 9.23 | 6.03 |
| $1+02.0$ | 8.11 | 6.37 | 55.72 | 36.09 | 23.2 | 15.31 | 10.58 | 7.8 | 6.16 |  |
| 1+54.0 | 8.01 | 5.54 | 51.52 | 35.65 | 22.96 | 15.13 | 10.4 | 7.61 | 5.96 |  |
| 2+01.0 | 5.34 | 4.5 | 54.01 | 35.8 | 20.19 | 12.23 | 8.31 | 6.3 | 5.15 |  |
| 2+07.0 | 5.47 | 4.03 | 43.59 | 28.1 | 16.66 | 10.35 | 6.91 | 5.04 | 4 |  |
| 2+10.0 | 5.85 | 4.41 | 46.77 | 27.54 | 15.91 | 10.42 | 7.53 | 5.86 | 4.82 |  |
| 2+50.0 | 6.15 | 5.58 | 56.4 | 30.55 | 18.95 | 14.79 | 12.05 | 9.98 | 8.42 |  |
| 2+54.0 | 7.41 | 5.79 | 58.56 | 39.04 | 21.19 | 12.86 | 9.31 | 7.49 | 6.28 |  |
| 2+58.0 | 8.25 | 6 | 57.16 | 36.81 | 23.18 | 15.08 | 10.33 | 7.6 | 6.01 | 6.93 |
| $3+01.0$ | 8.59 | 5.93 | 62.5 | 42.47 | 26.21 | 16.76 | 11.36 | 8.31 | 6.57 | 4.95 |

Section 2 St


| DMI - ft | Measured [Measured [ |  | Calculated I |  | ICalculated I |  | Calculated I |  | ulated | lated I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +00.0 | 8.41 | 7.5 | 51.75 | 27.66 | 18.6 | 14.56 | 11.78 | 9.72 | 8.17 | 5.99 |
| +49.0 | 9.29 | 8.63 | 71.69 | 42.96 | 28.81 | 21.94 | 17.49 | 14.33 | 12.01 | 5.3 |
| $1+01.0$ | 9.7 | 7.35 | 64.26 | 42.74 | 26.51 | 17.87 | 13.02 | 10.12 | 8.28 | 5.12 |
| 1+56.0 | 8.59 | 6.24 | 63.67 | 43.01 | 28.78 | 18.9 | 12.55 | 8.73 | 6.52 | 6.35 |
| 2+01.0 | 9.8 | 5.3 | 64.89 | 34.79 | 23.99 | 19.2 | 15.74 | 13.1 | 11.08 | 4.85 |
| 2+51.0 | 8.67 | 7.24 | 69.4 | 52.84 | 40.06 | 28.68 | 19.75 | 13.31 | 8.97 | 5.74 |
| 3+01.0 | 8.47 | 6.26 | 67.37 | 47.1 | 26.95 | 16.71 | 12.16 | 9.84 | 8.3 | 5.07 |

Section 2 St



DMI - ft Measured [Measured [Measured [Calculated ICalculated ICalculated ICalculated ICalculated ICalculated ICalculated I

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| +00.0 | 5.81 | 4.34 | 21.68 | 14.16 | 11.06 | 9.07 | 7.55 | 6.36 | 5.41 | 6.28 |
| +49.0 | 7.37 | 6.69 | 90.76 | 67.85 | 42.98 | 26.06 | 15.72 | 9.9 | 6.86 | 9.28 |
| $1+0.0$ | 7.16 | 5.69 | 55.7 | 34.72 | 20.9 | 13.45 | 9.33 | 7.02 | 5.65 | 6.81 |
| $1+50.0$ | 7.28 | 4.73 | 59.77 | 36.56 | 20.42 | 12.52 | 8.54 | 6.44 | 5.24 | 6.03 |
| $2+03.0$ | 5.95 | 5.04 | 64.59 | 36.25 | 25.67 | 20.28 | 16.48 | 13.63 | 11.47 |  |
| $2+54.0$ | 6.3 | 5.06 | 47.93 | 29.57 | 16.03 | 10.93 | 8.65 | 7.14 | 5.98 | 6.93 |
| $3+00.0$ | 6.43 | 5.5 | 50.15 | 26.61 | 16.01 | 12.32 | 9.97 | 8.22 | 6.91 | 4.95 |

Section 2 St


| DMI - ft | Measured [Measured [Measured |  |  | Calculated I |  | I Calculated I |  | ICalculated ICalculated I |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +00.0 | 6.11 | 4.77 | 22.04 | 14.41 | 11.26 | 9.24 | 7.7 | 6.48 | 5.52 | 5.99 |
| +53.0 | 9.48 | 7.08 | 75.52 | 52.68 | 33.85 | 21.6 | 14.03 | 9.6 | 7.12 | 5.3 |
| $1+07.0$ | 7.04 | 5.77 | 48.05 | 31.46 | 17.73 | 11.79 | 9.08 | 7.43 | 6.23 | 5.12 |
| 1+53.0 | 6.54 | 5.61 | 51.02 | 32.4 | 19.7 | 12.65 | 8.71 | 6.49 | 5.19 | 6.35 |
| 2+04.0 | 5.73 | 5.29 | 56.87 | 34.38 | 24.5 | 18.97 | 15.19 | 12.45 | 10.42 | 4.85 |
| 2+58.0 | 7.15 | 5.56 | 41.84 | 27.67 | 18.12 | 12.36 | 8.86 | 6.72 | 5.4 | 5.74 |
| 3+00.0 | 6.93 | 5.29 | 46.27 | 30.19 | 19.92 | 13.6 | 9.72 | 7.35 | 5.89 | 5.07 |

Section 2 St

| Sta 62+ to 71+ | Left Backcalc | Right Backcalc | Average |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Surface Asphalt | 182,281 | 184,526 | 183,403 |
| Base | 228,561 | 331,172 | 279,867 |
| Subbase | 61,528 | 62,795 | 62,161 |
| Subgrade | 9,991 | 9,421 | 9,706 |



| DMI -ft | Measured [Measured [Measured [Calculated ICalculated ICalculated ICalculated ICalculated ICalculated ICalculated I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $62+01.0$ | 8.3 | 5.51 | 4.78 | 42.78 | 19.78 | 15.34 | 12.5 | 10.27 | 8.53 | 7.17 |
| $62+53.0$ | 10.14 | 7.47 | 5.59 | 47.78 | 25.9 | 17.49 | 13.26 | 10.46 | 8.47 | 7.04 |
| $63+00.0$ | 7.69 | 6.26 | 5.17 | 29.7 | 22.19 | 17.93 | 15.09 | 12.82 | 10.96 | 9.44 |
| $63+50.0$ | 8.04 | 7.35 | 5.28 | 36.41 | 20.96 | 15.29 | 12.11 | 9.81 | 8.07 | 6.76 |
| $64+02.0$ | 9.28 | 7 | 5.51 | 39.14 | 21.73 | 16.82 | 13.77 | 11.4 | 9.52 | 8.05 |
| $64+51.0$ | 9.02 | 7.86 | 5.76 | 37.43 | 21.49 | 16.71 | 13.64 | 11.23 | 9.32 | 7.85 |
| $65+07.0$ | 2.44 | 2.36 | 2.61 | 15.18 | 11.44 | 9.36 | 7.94 | 6.79 | 5.83 | 5.05 |
| $65+55.0$ | 12.92 | 9.72 | 7.44 | 47.4 | 36.3 | 24.8 | 17.24 | 12.64 | 9.83 | 8.02 |
| $65+99.0$ | 10.42 | 8.69 | 6.31 | 45.66 | 30.51 | 20.49 | 15.11 | 11.78 | 9.52 | 7.92 |
| $66+53.0$ | 10.69 | 8.52 | 6.32 | 42.31 | 28.73 | 18.72 | 13.3 | 10.15 | 8.12 | 6.73 |
| $67+04.0$ | 10.02 | 7.74 | 6.19 | 34.62 | 21.95 | 15.94 | 12.56 | 10.16 | 8.36 | 7.01 |
| $67+56.0$ | 10.81 | 9.28 | 6.86 | 42.26 | 25.52 | 18.31 | 14.32 | 11.51 | 9.43 | 7.9 |
| $68+00.0$ | 10.69 | 8.59 | 6.8 | 39.95 | 25.34 | 18.74 | 14.92 | 12.14 | 10.03 | 8.43 |
| $68+49.0$ | 12.01 | 9.07 | 7.31 | 40.25 | 27.78 | 19.38 | 14.64 | 11.58 | 9.43 | 7.87 |
| $69+03.0$ | 13.25 | 9.56 | 7.92 | 43.75 | 32.66 | 22.44 | 16.09 | 12.21 | 9.71 | 8.02 |
| $69+51.0$ | 13.53 | 10.27 | 7.8 | 45.3 | 31.89 | 24.14 | 19.44 | 16 | 13.35 | 11.3 |
| $69+98.0$ | 12.54 | 9.11 | 7.49 | 43.14 | 30.66 | 21.16 | 15.68 | 12.25 | 9.91 | 8.25 |
| $71+00.0$ | 9.64 | 7.76 | 5.97 | 43.26 | 25.3 | 17.96 | 13.96 | 11.17 | 9.12 | 7.63 |

Section 3 St

Section 3 - Taxiway A


DMI - ft Measured [Measured [Measured [Calculated ICalculated ICalculated ICalculated ICalculated ICalculated ICalculated Deflection D7 mil

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $62+02.0$ | 8.48 | 6.37 | 4.93 | 35.92 | 19.79 | 15.32 | 12.48 | 10.26 | 8.51 | 7.16 |
| $62+53.0$ | 7.18 | 5.98 | 5 | 31.73 | 18.07 | 14.07 | 11.51 | 9.48 | 7.89 | 6.64 |
| $63+02.0$ | 8.56 | 7.57 | 5.36 | 39.11 | 22.86 | 17.4 | 14.1 | 11.58 | 9.62 | 8.1 |
| $63+52.0$ | 8.37 | 6.49 | 4.74 | 37.02 | 24.05 | 15.12 | 10.56 | 8 | 6.38 | 5.29 |
| $64+01.0$ | 8.86 | 6.99 | 5.77 | 42.48 | 20.95 | 15.96 | 12.91 | 10.57 | 8.75 | 7.35 |
| $64+50.0$ | 7.82 | 6.13 | 5 | 35.26 | 22.28 | 14.34 | 10.34 | 7.97 | 6.41 | 5.32 |
| $64+64.0$ | 2.33 | 2.55 | 2.24 | 16.8 | 12.66 | 10.33 | 8.76 | 7.48 | 6.43 | 5.56 |
| $65+01.0$ | 11.04 | 8.34 | 6.4 | 40.75 | 24.96 | 18.79 | 15.13 | 12.38 | 10.27 | 8.64 |
| $65+60.0$ | 13 | 7.68 | 7.57 | 64.13 | 40.78 | 24.01 | 15.79 | 11.57 | 9.13 | 7.56 |
| $66+02.0$ | 11.29 | 8.73 | 6.7 | 47.43 | 30.29 | 19.79 | 14.42 | 11.18 | 9 | 7.48 |
| $66+08.0$ | 11.5 | 8.46 | 6.89 | 47.1 | 29.59 | 20.18 | 15.25 | 12.06 | 9.8 | 8.17 |
| $66+11.0$ | 11.04 | 8.91 | 7.52 | 46.01 | 29.2 | 19.66 | 14.7 | 11.55 | 9.36 | 7.8 |
| $66+48.0$ | 9.49 | 7.48 | 5.89 | 40.78 | 20.63 | 16.12 | 13.25 | 10.98 | 9.18 | 7.77 |
| $67+01.0$ | 10.5 | 8.62 | 6.69 | 48.71 | 24.11 | 17.36 | 13.58 | 10.83 | 8.8 | 7.35 |
| $67+52.0$ | 9.67 | 7.06 | 5.68 | 39.54 | 25.57 | 18.93 | 15.07 | 12.28 | 10.16 | 8.56 |
| $67+58.0$ | 8.85 | 7.07 | 5.67 | 44.25 | 28.69 | 17.82 | 12.11 | 9 | 7.13 | 5.9 |
| $68+00.0$ | 10.45 | 8.46 | 6.42 | 40.18 | 23.51 | 17.91 | 14.55 | 11.98 | 9.97 | 8.41 |
| $68+52.0$ | 13.06 | 11.56 | 7.78 | 44.87 | 30.98 | 21.72 | 16.5 | 13.1 | 10.69 | 8.93 |
| $69+02.0$ | 11.26 | 9.24 | 7.15 | 40.89 | 27.4 | 18.72 | 14 | 11.01 | 8.94 | 7.45 |
| $69+52.0$ | 10.98 | 8.44 | 6.51 | 44.1 | 22.45 | 16.61 | 13.21 | 10.68 | 8.77 | 7.33 |
| $70+00.0$ | 11.35 | 9.78 | 7.31 | 39.49 | 28.97 | 20.29 | 15.06 | 11.73 | 9.48 | 7.88 |
| $71+00.0$ | 9.87 | 8.14 | 5.94 | 37.8 | 21.32 | 16.59 | 13.56 | 11.16 | 9.27 | 7.8 |

Section 3 St
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## 1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

HVJ Associates, Inc. (HVJ) was retained by Civil PEs, LLC to perform geotechnical investigation for evaluating the parallel taxiway located adjacent to Runway 17-35 at the Texas Regional Gulf Coast Regional Airport (LBX) located at 8015 Airport Road in Angleton, Texas. A site vicinity map is presented on Plate 1.

The purpose of this study was to perform a geotechnical investigation and provide exploration data of the taxiway for rehabilitation of the taxiway by overlay or if a new taxiway needs to be constructed. Pavement thickness design and construction phase services are not part of HVJ's scope of work for this project. A separate memorandum will be submitted at later date with back calculated modulus values using Falling Weight Deflector (FWD) test data. Subsurface conditions at the site were investigated by six (6) soil borings to a depth of about 11 feet below existing grade.
Based on the subsurface conditions revealed by the soil borings, the findings and recommendations of this report are summarized below:

1. The pavement thickness consisted of asphalt (4"-6.5"), flexible base (7"-23.5") and lime stabilized clay (7"-25").
2. Firm to very stiff cohesive soils (CH) were generally observed from the surface to the termination depth of the borings. Details of the subsurface stratigraphy encountered in the borings are shown on the boring logs presented in Appendix A.
3. Groundwater was not observed during drilling and shortly after drilling operation. . It should be noted that groundwater levels determined during drilling may not accurately reflect the groundwater conditions during construction, and therefore should only be considered as approximate.

Please note that this executive summary does not fully relate our findings and opinions. Those findings and opinions are only presented through our full report.

## 2 INTRODUCTION

### 2.1 Project Description

HVJ Associates, Inc. (HVJ) was retained by Civil PEs, LLC to perform geotechnical investigation for evaluating the parallel taxiway located adjacent to Runway 17-35 at the Texas Regional Gulf Coast Regional Airport (LBX) located at 8015 Airport Road in Angleton, Texas. A site vicinity map is presented on Plate 1.

The purpose of this study was to perform a geotechnical investigation and provide exploration data of the taxiway for rehabilitation of the taxiway by overlay or if a new taxiway needs to be constructed. Pavement thickness design and construction phase services are not part of HVJ's scope of work for this project. A separate memorandum will be submitted at later date with back calculated modulus values using Falling Weight Deflector (FWD) test data.

### 2.2 Geotechnical Investigation Program

The objectives of this study were to gather information on subsurface conditions of the existing taxiway. The following objectives were accomplished:

- Drilling and field testing six soil borings, labeled as B-1B to B-6B, to a depth of approximately 11 feet below the existing grade to investigate soil stratigraphy and obtain samples for laboratory testing and
- Performing laboratory tests on soil samples to determine the index and engineering properties of the soils.
- Performing one California Bearing Ratio (CBR) test and six Dynamic Cone Penetration (DCP) tests to evaluate the subgrade beneath the pavement.

Subsequent sections of this report contain descriptions of the field exploration and laboratory testing program.

## 3 FIELD EXPLORATION

### 3.1 General

The field exploration program undertaken for the project was performed between August 7, 2023 and August 8, 2023. Subsurface conditions at the site were evaluated by drilling six borings to a depth of about 11 feet. The pavement was cored before starting the drilling operation. The borings were drilled using dry auger drilling techniques with the use of a truck mounted drilling equipment. Pavement was backfilled with quickrete and borings were backfilled with bentonite chips upon completion of drilling. The approximate boring locations are shown in Plate 2 of this report.

### 3.2 Sampling Methods

Soil samples were obtained continuously to a depth of 11 feet. Cohesive soil samples were obtained with a three-inch thin walled (Shelby) tube sampler in general accordance with ASTM D1587 standard. Each sample was removed from the sampler in the field, carefully examined, and then classified. The shear strength of the cohesive soils was estimated by a hand penetrometer in the field. Suitable portions of each sample were sealed and packaged for transportation to our laboratory.

Detailed descriptions of the materials encountered in the borings with a key to the terms and symbols used for soil classification are given on the boring logs presented in Appendix A.

### 3.3 Survey Data

The survey data of the boring locations was not available at the time of writing this report. The GPS coordinates obtained by a hand-held GPS device and approximate elevations estimated from Google Earth are included in the table below and also presented on the boring logs in Appendix A.

Table 3-1 - Boring Details

| Structure | Boring No. | Depth, Feet | GPS Coordinates |  | Elevation, feet |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Latitude | Longitude |  |
| Taxiway | B-1 | 11 | $29^{\circ} 5^{\prime} 59.8{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | 95²7'39.2" W | 17 |
|  | B-2 | 11 | $29^{\circ} 6^{\prime} 24.30^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | 95²7'38.9" W | 14 |
|  | B-3 | 11 | $29^{\circ} 6^{\prime} 43.0{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | 95²7'39.3" W | 14 |
|  | B-4 | 11 | $29^{\circ} 6^{\prime} 58.8{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $95^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 39.5{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 14 |
|  | B-5 | 11 | $29^{\circ} 7^{\prime} 5.5^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | 95²7'39.4" W | 14 |
|  | B-6 | 11 | $29^{\circ} 6^{\prime} 6.6^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | 95²7'40.3" W | 17 |

### 3.4 Groundwater Observations

Groundwater levels in the borings were observed during drilling operations. Drilling was suspended for 10 minutes, and groundwater levels after five and ten minutes were measured. Table 2-2 summarizes the groundwater level measurements taken at the boring locations.

Table 3-2 - Groundwater Readings

| Boring | Groundwater Depth below Existing Grade, Feet |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | During Drilling | 5 Minutes after <br> Drilling | $\mathbf{1 0}$ Minutes after <br> Drilling |
| B-1B | Dry | Dry | Dry |
| B-2B | Dry | Dry | Dry |
| B-3B | Dry | Dry | Dry |
| B-4B | Dry | Dry | Dry |
| B-5B | Dry | Dry | Dry |
| B-6B | Dry | Dry | Dry |

It should be noted that groundwater levels determined during drilling may not accurately reflect the true groundwater conditions, and therefore should only be considered as approximate.

## 4 LABORATORY TESTING

### 4.1 General

Selected soil samples were tested in the laboratory to determine applicable physical and engineering properties. Tests were performed according to the relevant ASTM Standards. The laboratory program included moisture content, Atterberg limits, percent finer than No. 200 sieve, dry density, sieve Analysis and laboratory CBR.

The moisture content, Atterberg limits and percent finer than No. 200 sieve results were utilized to verify field classifications by the Unified Soils Classification System. Sieve analysis were performed to obtain gradation curve and CBR tests were performed to estimate the subgrade strength. The type and number of tests performed for this investigation are summarized in Table 3-1.

Table 4-1 - Type and Number of Laboratory Tests

| Type of Test | Number of Tests |
| :---: | :---: |
| Moisture Content (ASTM D2216) | 12 |
| Atterberg Limits (ASTM D4318) | 6 |
| Percent Passing No. 200 Sieve (ASTM D1140) | 6 |
| Sieve Analysis (Tex-110E) | 1 |
| Laboratory CBR (ASTM D1883) | 1 |

The laboratory test results are presented on the boring logs in Appendix A. A summary of laboratory test results is provided in Appendix B. The conversion between pocket penetrometer readings obtained in the field to the shear strength parameters presented in the boring logs were obtained using a conversion factor of $1 / 3$.

### 4.2 Sieve Analysis

We performed sieve analysis on the composite flexible base sample collected from the upper 4 feet below existing ground. The test results are presented in Appendix D.

### 4.3 California Bearing Ratio Tests

One California Bearing Ratio (CBR) test was performed on the composite flexible base sample and the results are presented in Appendix C. The composite sample is prepared using the flexible base samples obtained from multiple pavement cores. The results are presented in the table below.

Table 4-3 - CBR Test Results

| Type of Sample <br> (Depth) | Dry Density Before <br> Soaking (pcf) | Dry Density After <br> Soaking (pcf) | Blows | CBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Composite -Flexible <br> Base | 109.5 | 113 | 10 | 4.17 |
| Composite -Flexible <br> Base | 114.3 | 115.7 | 25 | 13.3 |
| Composite -Flexible <br> Base | 123.5 | 123 | 65 | 40.03 |

## 5 SITE CHARACTERIZATION

### 5.1 General Geology

A review of the Bureau of Economic Geology, University of Texas at Austin, Geologic Atlas of Texas Houston Sheet, Paul Weaver Memorial Edition (Revised in 1982) indicates that the project site is located in Alluvial formation in vicinity of Beaumont Formation. A geologic map is presented on Plate 3.

The Alluvial soils (Qal) are heterogeneous in nature containing interbedded layers of clay, silt and sand. The depositional features include point-bar, natural levee, stream channel, backswamp, coastal marsh, mud-flat and narrow beach deposits.

### 5.2 Soil Stratigraphy

HVJ's interpretation of soil and groundwater conditions at the project site is based on information obtained at the drilled boring locations. Significant variations in areas not explored by the project borings may require re-evaluation of our findings. Details of the subsurface soil stratigraphy are shown on the boring logs presented in Appendix A.

Firm to very stiff cohesive soils (CH) were generally observed from the surface to the termination depth of the borings. Details of the subsurface stratigraphy encountered in the borings are shown on the boring logs presented in Appendix A.

### 5.3 Existing Pavement Thickness

The pavement thickness information obtained at the pavement core locations is summarized in the following table.

Table 5-1 - Existing Pavement Thicknesses

| Boring | Asphalt <br> (Inches) | Flexible Base <br> (Inches) | Lime Stabilized Clay <br> (Inches) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-1B | 6 | 7 | 25 |
| B-2B | 4.5 | 23.5 | 12 |
| B-3B | 4.5 | 23 | 10 |
| B-4B | 6.5 | 18.5 | 12 |
| B-5B | 5 | 23 | 7 |
| B-6B | 4 | 23 | 10 |

### 5.4 DCP Test Results

Six Dynamic Cone Penetrometer (DCP) tests were performed in accordance with ASTM D6951 near the boring locations. DCP test results are presented in Appendix E.

DCP tests were performed after coring through the pavement, base and stabilized subgrade. The depths cored below which DCP tests were conducted varied from 36.1 to 36.3 inches. In the DCP tests, the penetration rate was fairly consistent.

## 6 LIMITATIONS

This investigation was performed for the exclusive use of Civil PEs, LLC for the geotechnical evaluation Study of Parallel Taxiway in Brazoria County, Texas. HVJ has endeavored to comply with generally accepted geotechnical engineering practice common in the local area. HVJ makes no warranty, expressed or implied. The analyses and recommendations contained in this report are based on data obtained from subsurface exploration, laboratory testing, the project information provided to us and our experience with similar soils and site conditions. The methods used indicate subsurface conditions only at the specific locations where samples were obtained, only at the time they were obtained, and only to the depths penetrated. Samples cannot be relied on to accurately reflect the strata variations that usually exist between sampling locations. Should any subsurface
conditions other than those described in our boring logs be encountered, HVJ should be immediately notified so that further investigation and supplemental recommendations can be provided.

## PLATES







## APPENDIX A

## BORING LOGS AND KEY TO TERMS \& SYMBOLS

PROJECT: Evaluation Study of Parallel Taxiway
LOCATION: 29.099944; -95.460889
STATION: N/A
OFFSET: N/A
SURFACE ELEVATION: N/A

PROJECT NO.: HG2210070.1

COMPLETION DEPTH: 11 FT
DATE: 8/7/2023


PROJECT: Evaluation Study of Parallel Taxiway
LOCATION: 29.10675; -95.460806
STATION: N/A
OFFSET: N/A
SURFACE ELEVATION: N/A

PROJECT NO.: HG2210070.1
COMPLETION DEPTH: 11 FT
DATE: 8/8/2023


PROJECT: Evaluation Study of Parallel Taxiway
LOCATION: 29.111944; -95.460889
STATION: N/A
OFFSET: N/A
SURFACE ELEVATION: N/A

PROJECT NO.: HG2210070.1
COMPLETION DEPTH: 11 FT
DATE: 8/8/2023


PROJECT: Evaluation Study of Parallel Taxiway
LOCATION: 29.116333; -95.460972
STATION: N/A
OFFSET: N/A
SURFACE ELEVATION: N/A

PROJECT NO.: HG2210070.1
COMPLETION DEPTH: 11 FT
DATE: 8/8/2023


PROJECT: Evaluation Study of Parallel Taxiway
LOCATION: 29.118194; -95.460944
STATION: N/A
OFFSET: N/A
SURFACE ELEVATION: N/A

PROJECT NO.: HG2210070.1
COMPLETION DEPTH: 11 FT
DATE: 8/8/2023


LOG OF BORING B-6B

PROJECT: Evaluation Study of Parallel Taxiway
LOCATION: 29.101833; -95.461194
STATION: N/A
OFFSET: N/A
SURFACE ELEVATION: N/A

PROJECT NO.: HG2210070.1

COMPLETION DEPTH: 11 FT
DATE: 8/7/2023


## SOIL SYMBOLS

SAMPLER TYPES
Soil Types


Clay


Clayey


Cemented


Asphaltic
Concrete


Silt


Sand

Modifiers


Silty


Sandy
Construction Materials


Stabilized Base


Fill or Debris


No Recovery
Auger
Thin Walled
Shelby Tube

Split BarrelCore

Liner Tube
$\nabla$
Jar Sample

## WATER LEVEL SYMBOLS

Groundwater level after drilling in open borehole or piezometer

Groundwater level determined during drilling operations

## SOIL GRAIN SIZE

|  |  | Particle Size or Sieve |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Classification | Particle Size | No. (U.S. Standard) |
| Clay | $<0.002 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $<0.002 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| Silt | $0.002-0.075 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $0.002 \mathrm{~mm}-\# 200$ sieve |
| Sand | $0.075-4.75 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $\# 200$ sieve $-\# 4$ sieve |
| Gravel | $4.75-75 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $\# 4$ sieve -3 in. |
| Cobble | $75-200 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $3 \mathrm{in} .-8 \mathrm{in}$. |
| Boulder | $>200 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $>8 \mathrm{in}$. |

## DENSITY OF COHESIONLESS SOILS

|  | Penetration <br> Resistance "N" * |
| :---: | :---: |
| Descriptive | Blows/Foot |
| Term | $0-4$ |
| Very Loose | $4-10$ |
| Loose | $10-30$ |
| Medium Dense | $30-50$ |
| Dense | $>50$ |
| Very Dense |  |

## CONSISTENCY OF COHESIVE SOILS

## PENETRATION RESISTANCE

3/6
Blows required to penetrate each of three consecutive 6-inch increments per ASTM D-1586 *
50/4" If more than 50 blows are required, driving is discontinued and penetration at 50 blows is noted Sampler penetrated full depth under weight of drill rods and hammer

* The N value is taken as the blows required to penetrate the final 12 inches


## TERMS DESCRIBING SOIL STRUCTURE

Slickensided
Fissured

Inclusion

Parting

Seam

Layer
Laminated

Stratified

Fracture planes appear polished or glossy, sometimes striated
Breaks along definite planes of fracture with little resistance to fracturing Small pockets of different soils, such as small lenses of sand scattered through a mass of clay
Inclusion less than $1 / 4$ inch thick extending through the sample
Inclusion $1 / 4$ inch to 3 inches thick extending through the sample
Inclusion greater than 3 inches thick extending through the sample
Soil sample composed of alternating partings of different soil type

Soil sample composed of alternating seams or layers of different soil type

Intermixed

Calcareous

Ferrous
Nodule

Soil sample composed of pockets of different soil type and laminated or stratified structure is not evident

Having appreciable quantities of calcium carbonate

Having appreciable quantities of iron
A small mass of irregular shape

|  | 6120 S. Dairy Ashford Road Houston, Texas 77072-1010 281.933.7388 Ph <br> 281.933.7293 Fax |
| :---: | :---: |
| KEY TO TERMS AND SYMBOLS USED ON BORING LOGS |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { PROJECT NO.: } \\ \text { HG2210070.1 } \end{gathered}$ | DRAWING NO.: PLATE A-7 |

## APPENDIX B

SUMMARY OF LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

Company Name: HVJ Associates, Inc.
Project: Evaluation Study of Parallel Taxiway
Location: Angleton, Texas
Project Number: HG2210070.1

| Borehole | Depth | Liquid <br> Limit | Plastic <br> Limit | Plasticity <br> Index | \% Passing <br> \#200 | Moisture <br> content <br> (\%) | Dry <br> Density <br> (pcf) | Shear Strength <br> (Pocket Pen) <br> (tsf) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-1B | 4 |  |  |  |  | 27 | 97 | 0.58 |
| B-1B | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.67 |
| B-1B | 8 | 76 | 25 | 51 | 92.9 | 32 |  | 0.50 |
| B-1B | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.92 |
| B-2B | 4.5 |  |  |  |  | 34 | 88 | 1.25 |
| B-2B | 6 | 76 | 24 | 52 | 92.7 | 31 |  | 0.83 |
| B-2B | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.08 |
| B-2B | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.17 |
| B-3B | 4 |  |  |  |  | 38 | 83 | 0.58 |
| B-3B | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.50 |
| B-3B | 8 | 53 | 18 | 35 | 77.3 | 20 |  | 0.92 |
| B-3B | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.17 |
| B-4B | 4 |  |  |  |  | 30 | 90 | 0.42 |
| B-4B | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.83 |
| B-4B | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.17 |
| B-4B | 10 | 56 | 18 | 38 | 86.9 | 21 |  | 1.00 |
| B-5B | 4 |  |  |  |  | 32 | 86 | 0.75 |
| B-5B | 6 | 64 | 21 | 43 | 90.4 | 25 |  | 0.83 |
| B-5B | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.67 |
| B-5B | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.67 |
| B-6B | 4 |  |  |  |  | 38 | 83 | 0.50 |
| B-6B | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.67 |
| B-6B | 8 | 53 | 17 | 36 | 72.8 | 18 |  | 1.17 |
| B-6B | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.33 |
| Total | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{2 4}$ |  |

## APPENDIX C

CBR TEST RESULT

## CBR (CALIFORNIA BEARING RATIO) OF LABORATORY COMPACTED SOILS ASTM D-1883

Project: Evaluation Study of Parallel Taxiway
Sample Location: Composite sample, Flexible Base

Liquid Limit: N/A Plastic Limit: N/A Plasticity Index: N/A

Method of Compaction: $\boxtimes$ ASTM D698ASTM D1557

## Sample Condition:

$\boxtimes$ soakedunsoaked

No. of Blows:
10
25
65
Dry Density Before Soaking (pcf): 109.5
114.3
123.5

Dry Density After Soaking (pcf): 113.0
115.7
123.0

Moisture Content:
Before Compaction (\%): 7.4
Top 1-inch Layer
After Soaking (\%):
11.02
11.11
11.27

Swell (\%):
0.15
0.09
0.04

Bearing Ratio (\%):
4.17
13.30
40.03
( $\boxtimes$ soaked $\quad \square$ unsoaked)

Surcharge: 10 lbs.

|  | 6120 S. Dairy Ashford Road Houston, Texas 77072-1010 281.933.7388 Ph 281.933.7293 Fax |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATE: 09/06/2023 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VED BY: } \\ & \text { PD } \end{aligned}$ | PREPARED BY: RA |
| CBR TEST RESULTS |  |  | EL TAXIWAY |
| HG2210070.1 |  | DRAWIN | PLATE-1 |



| $\mathrm{H} \mid \text { \|associness }$ | 6120 S. Dairy Ashford Road Houston, Texas 77072-1010 281.933.7388 Ph 281.933.7293 Fax |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATE: 09/06/2023 |  | VVED BY: PD | PREPARED BY: RA |
| CBR TEST RESULTS <br> EVALUATION STUDY OF PARALLEL TAXIWAY |  |  |  |
| PROJECT NO.: <br> HG2210070.1 |  | DRAWING NO.: <br> APPENDIX -C2 |  |

## APPENDIX D

 SIEVE ANALYSIS

## APPENDIX E

## DCP TEST RESULTS

TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Dynamic Cone Penetrometer (DCP) Data Analysis ASTM - D6951

| Refresh Workbook | AS | ASTM - D6951 :: File Version: 10/21/16 07:33:30 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SAMPLE ID: | Near 1-B | ASTM - D6951: File Version: 10/21/16 07:33:30 <br> SAMPLED DATE: $08 / 07 / 2023$ |  |  |
| TEST NUMBER: |  | LETTING DATE: |  |  |
| SAMPLE STATUS: |  | CONTROLLING CSJ: |  |  |
| COUNTY: | Brazoria | SPEC YEAR: | 2014 |  |
| SAMPLED BY: | Edgar | SPEC ITEM: |  |  |
| SAMPLE LOCATION: | Parallel Taxiway | SPECIAL PROVISION: |  |  |
| MATERIAL CODE: |  | GRADE: |  |  |
| MATERIAL NAME: | Lime Stabilized, Clay |  |  |  |
| PRODUCER: |  |  |  |  |
| AREA ENGINEER: |  | PROJECT MANAGER: |  |  |
| COURSEILIFT: | STATION: |  | T. FROM CL: |  |
| Long. (x): $9^{9}{ }^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 39.1^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | Latitude (y): | 2905'59.9"N | Elev. (z): |  |
| Material Classification: | All other types | Weather: | Cloudy |  |
| Hammer Weight: | 8-KG [17.6-lbs.] | Water Table Depth (ft.): |  |  |
| Pavement Conditions: |  | Depth of zero point below | surface (in.): | 0.50 |
| Design Modulus (E) ksi: |  |  |  |  |

DCP DATA ANALYSIS

| \# of Blows | Penetration <br> $\mathbf{( 6 ~ i n . ~}$ <br> intervals) | Cumulative <br> Blows | Cumulative <br> Penetration | CBR | E (ksi) | E > E <br> (design)? | E > 0.5 E design |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1.2 | 0 | 0.70 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 7.2 | 5 | 6.70 | 6.4 | 8.4 | YES | YES |
| 5 | 13.2 | 10 | 12.70 | 6.4 | 8.4 | YES | YES |
| 9 | 19.2 | 19 | 18.70 | 12.3 | 12.7 | YES | YES |
| 10 | 25.2 | 29 | 24.70 | 13.8 | 13.7 | YES | YES |
| 14 | 31.2 | 43 | 30.70 | 20.1 | 17.4 | YES | YES |
| 14 | 37.2 | 57 | 36.70 | 20.1 | 17.4 | YES | YES |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 13.0 | YES - <br> Revew <br> Proof Rolling | Eavg. $\geq$ E design? |


| Layer | Eavg. | E (design) | E avg. $\geq \mathrm{E}$ (design) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 13.0 |  |  |

## $\frac{\text { Remarks: }}{6^{\prime \prime} \text { Asphalt }}$

| 6" Asphalt |
| :--- |
| $\boxed{33 "}$ Base |


| Test Method: | Tested By: |
| :--- | :--- |
| D6951 Edgar Tested Date: |  | | D6951 | Edgar | $09 / 11 / 2023$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |



TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Dynamic Cone Penetrometer (DCP) Data Analysis ASTM - D6951

| Refresh Workbook $\quad$ ASTM - D6951 |  |  | ASTM - D6951 : File Version: 10/21/16 07:33:30 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| SAMPLE ID: Near 2-B |  | SAMPLED DATE: 0 AS/07/2023 |  |  |
| TEST NUMBER: |  | LETTING DATE: |  |  |
| SAMPLE STATUS: |  | CONTROLLING CSJ: |  |  |
| COUNTY: | Brazoria | SPEC YEAR: | 2014 |  |
| SAMPLED BY: | Edgar | SPEC ITEM: |  |  |
| SAMPLE LOCATION: | Parallel Taxiway | SPECIAL PROVISION: |  |  |
| MATERIAL CODE: |  | GRADE: |  |  |
| MATERIAL NAME: | Lime Stabilized, Clay |  |  |  |
| PRODUCER: |  |  |  |  |
| AREA ENGINEER: |  | PROJECT MANAGER: |  |  |
| COURSEILIFT: | STATION: |  | T. FROM CL: |  |
| Long. (x): $95^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 38.9^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | Latitude (y): | $29^{\circ} 6^{\prime} 24.2^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | Elev. (z): |  |
| Material Classification: | All other types | Weather: | Cloudy |  |
| Hammer Weight: | 8-KG [17.6-lbs.] | Water Table Depth (ft.): |  |  |
| Pavement Conditions: |  | Depth of zero point below | surface (in.): | 0.50 |
| Design Modulus (E) ksi: |  |  |  |  |

DCP DATA ANALYSIS

| \# of Blows | Penetration <br> $\mathbf{( 6 ) i n . ~}$ <br> intervals) | Cumulative <br> Blows | Cumulative <br> Penetration | CBR | E (ksi) | E > E <br> (design)? | E > 0.5 E design |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.4 | 0 | -0.10 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 6.4 | 5 | 5.90 | 6.4 | 8.4 | YES | YES |
| 10 | 12.4 | 15 | 11.90 | 13.8 | 13.7 | YES | YES |
| 15 | 18.4 | 30 | 17.90 | 21.8 | 18.3 | YES | YES |
| 20 | 24.4 | 50 | 23.90 | 30.0 | 22.5 | YES | YES |
| 25 | 30.4 | 75 | 29.90 | 38.6 | 26.4 | YES | YES |
| 28 | 36.4 | 103 | 35.90 | 43.8 | 28.6 | YES | YES |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 19.7 | YES - <br> Review <br> Proof Rolling | Eavg. $\geq$ E design? |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Layer | Eavg. | E (design) | E avg. $\geq \mathrm{E}$ (design) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 19.7 |  |  |

## $\frac{\text { Remarks: }}{4.5^{\prime \prime} \text { Asph }}$

4.5" Asphalt
$35.5^{\prime \prime}$ Base

| Test Method: | Tested By: | Tested Date: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| D6951 Edgar $09 / 11 / 2023$ |  |  |



TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Dynamic Cone Penetrometer (DCP) Data Analysis ASTM - D6951


DCP DATA ANALYSIS

| \# of Blows | Penetration <br> $\mathbf{( 6 ~ i n . ~}$ <br> intervals) | Cumulative <br> Blows | Cumulative <br> Penetration | CBR | E (ksi) | E > E <br> (design)? | E > 0.5 E design |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 6.5 | 5 | 6.00 | 6.4 | 8.4 | YES | YES |
| 16 | 12.5 | 21 | 12.00 | 23.4 | 19.2 | YES | YES |
| 14 | 18.5 | 35 | 18.00 | 20.1 | 17.4 | YES | YES |
| 19 | 24.5 | 54 | 24.00 | 28.4 | 21.7 | YES | YES |
| 22 | 30.5 | 76 | 30.00 | 33.4 | 24.1 | YES | YES |
| 24 | 36.5 | 100 | 36.00 | 36.8 | 25.6 | YES | YES |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 19.4 | YES - <br> Revew <br> Proof Rolling | Eavg. $\geq$ E design? |


| Layer | Eavg. | $E$ (design) | $E$ avg. $\geq E$ (design) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | 19.4 |  |  |




TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Dynamic Cone Penetrometer (DCP) Data Analysis ASTM - D6951

| Refresh Workbook $\quad$ ASTM - D6951 |  |  | ASTM - D6951 :: File Version: 10/21/16 07:33:30 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| SAMPLE ID: Near 4-B |  | SAMPLED DATE: 0 AS/07/2023 |  |  |
| TEST NUMBER: |  | LETTING DATE: |  |  |
| SAMPLE STATUS: |  | CONTROLLING CSJ: |  |  |
| COUNTY: | Brazoria | SPEC YEAR: | 2014 |  |
| SAMPLED BY: | Edgar | SPEC ITEM: |  |  |
| SAMPLE LOCATION: | Parallel Taxiway | SPECIAL PROVISION: |  |  |
| MATERIAL CODE: |  | GRADE: |  |  |
| MATERIAL NAME: | Lime Stabilized, Clay |  |  |  |
| PRODUCER: |  |  |  |  |
| AREA ENGINEER: |  | PROJECT MANAGER: |  |  |
| COURSEILIFT: | STATION: |  | T. FROM CL: |  |
| Long. (x): $95^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 39.2^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | Latitude (y): | $29^{\circ} 6^{\prime} 58.6{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | Elev. (z): |  |
| Material Classification: | All other types | Weather: | Cloudy |  |
| Hammer Weight: | 8-KG [17.6-lbs.] | Water Table Depth (ft.): |  |  |
| Pavement Conditions: |  | Depth of zero point below | surface (in.): | 0.50 |
| Design Modulus (E) ksi: |  |  |  |  |

DCP DATA ANALYSIS

| \# of Blows | Penetration <br> $\mathbf{( 6 ~ i n . ~}$ <br> intervals) | Cumulative <br> Blows | Cumulative <br> Penetration | CBR | E (ksi) | E > E <br> (design)? | E > 0.5 E design |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.9 | 0 | 0.40 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 6.9 | 4 | 6.40 | 5.0 | 7.1 | YES | YES |
| 9 | 12.9 | 13 | 12.40 | 12.3 | 12.7 | YES | YES |
| 15 | 18.9 | 28 | 18.40 | 21.8 | 18.3 | YES | YES |
| 14 | 24.9 | 42 | 24.40 | 20.1 | 17.4 | YES | YES |
| 19 | 30.9 | 61 | 30.40 | 28.4 | 21.7 | YES | YES |
| 19 | 36.9 | 80 | 36.40 | 28.4 | 21.7 | YES | YES |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 16.5 | YES - <br> Revew <br> Proof Rolling | Eavg. $\geq$ E design? |


| Layer | Eavg. | $E$ (design) | $E$ avg. $\geq E$ (design) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | 16.5 |  |  |

## $\frac{\text { Remarks: }}{6.5^{\prime \prime} \text { Asphalt }}$

| 6.5" Asphalt |
| :--- |
| $30.5^{\prime \prime}$ Base |


| Test Method: | Tested By: |
| :--- | :--- |
| D6951 Edgar Tested Date: |  | | D6951 | Edgar | $09 / 11 / 2023$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |




TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Dynamic Cone Penetrometer (DCP) Data Analysis ASTM - D6951

| Refresh Workbook $\quad$ ASTM - D6951 |  |  | ASTM - D6951 : File Version: 10/21/16 07:33:30 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| SAMPLE ID: Near 5-B |  | SAMPLED DATE: 0 AS/07/2023 |  |  |
| TEST NUMBER: |  | LETTING DATE: |  |  |
| SAMPLE STATUS: |  | CONTROLLING CSJ: |  |  |
| COUNTY: | Brazoria | SPEC YEAR: | 2014 |  |
| SAMPLED BY: | Edgar | SPEC ITEM: |  |  |
| SAMPLE LOCATION: | Parallel Taxiway | SPECIAL PROVISION: |  |  |
| MATERIAL CODE: |  | GRADE: |  |  |
| MATERIAL NAME: | Lime Stabilized, Clay |  |  |  |
| PRODUCER: |  |  |  |  |
| AREA ENGINEER: |  | PROJECT MANAGER: |  |  |
| COURSEILIFT: | STATION: |  | T. FROM CL: |  |
| Long. (x): $95^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 39.3{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | Latitude (y): | $29^{\circ} 7^{\prime} 5.4{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | Elev. (z): |  |
| Material Classification: | All other types | Weather: | Cloudy |  |
| Hammer Weight: | 8-KG [17.6-lbs.] | Water Table Depth (ft.): |  |  |
| Pavement Conditions: |  | Depth of zero point below | surface (in.): | 0.50 |
| Design Modulus (E) ksi: |  |  |  |  |

DCP DATA ANALYSIS

| \# of Blows | Penetration <br> $\mathbf{( 6 ~ i n . ~}$ <br> intervals) | Cumulative <br> Blows | Cumulative <br> Penetration | CBR | E (ksi) | E > E <br> (design)? | E > 0.5 E design |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1.2 | 0 | 0.70 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 7.2 | 6 | 6.70 | 7.8 | 9.5 | YES | YES |
| 8 | 13.2 | 14 | 12.70 | 10.8 | 11.7 | YES | YES |
| 13 | 19.2 | 27 | 18.70 | 18.5 | 16.5 | YES | YES |
| 17 | 25.2 | 44 | 24.70 | 25.0 | 20.0 | YES | YES |
| 19 | 31.2 | 63 | 30.70 | 28.4 | 21.7 | YES | YES |
| 19 | 37.2 | 82 | 36.70 | 28.4 | 21.7 | YES | YES |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 16.9 | YES - <br> Revew <br> Proof Rolling | Eavg. $\geq$ E design? |


| Layer | Eavg. | E (design) | E avg. $\geq \mathrm{E}$ (design) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 16.9 |  |  |

## Remarks:

| $5^{\prime \prime}$ Asphalt |
| :--- |
| $30^{\prime \prime}$ Base |

 | D6951 | Edgar | 09/11/2023 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |




TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Dynamic Cone Penetrometer (DCP) Data Analysis ASTM - D6951


DCP DATA ANALYSIS

| \# of Blows | Penetration <br> $\mathbf{( 6 ~ i n . ~}$ <br> intervals) | Cumulative <br> Blows | Cumulative <br> Penetration | CBR | E (ksi) | E > E <br> (design)? | E > 0.5 E design |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 4.8 | 0 | 4.30 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 10.8 | 4 | 10.30 | 5.0 | 7.1 | YES | YES |
| 5 | 16.8 | 9 | 16.30 | 6.4 | 8.4 | YES | YES |
| 9 | 22.8 | 18 | 22.30 | 12.3 | 12.7 | YES | YES |
| 15 | 28.8 | 33 | 28.30 | 21.8 | 18.3 | YES | YES |
| 20 | 34.8 | 53 | 34.30 | 30.0 | 22.5 | YES | YES |
| 9 | 40.8 | 62 | 40.30 | 12.3 | 12.7 | YES | YES |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 13.6 | YES - <br> Revew <br> Proof Rolling | Eavg. $\geq$ E design? |


| Layer | Eavg. | E (design) | E avg. $\geq \mathrm{E}$ (design) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 13.6 |  |  |

## $\frac{\text { Remarks: }}{\text { 5" Asphalt }}$

| $5^{\prime \prime}$ Asphalt |
| :--- |
| $30^{\prime \prime}$ Base |


| Test Method: | Tested By: | Tested Date: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| D6951 | Edgar | $09 / 11 / 2023$ |




# Federal Aviation Administration FAARFIELD 2.0 PCR Report 

FAARFIELD 2.0.18 (Build 05/26/2022)

Job Name: 2212ANGLE - Section 1
Section: Exist Section 1

This file name $=$ PCR Results for HMA on Flexible 2023-09-18 15:30:58
Evaluation pavement type is flexible and design program is FAARFIELD.
Section name: Exist Section 1 in job file: 2212ANGLE - Section 1.JOB.xml
Units = US Customary
Analysis Type: HMA on Flexible
Subgrade Modulus $=8,270$ psi (Subgrade Category is D)
Evaluation Pavement Thickness $=13.5 \mathrm{in}$.
Pass to Traffic Cycle (PtoTC) Ratio $=1.00$
Maximum number of wheels per gear $=2$
$C D F=121.570$

Results Table 1. Input Traffic Data

| No. | Aircraft Name | Gross Weight (lbs) | Percent Gross Weight | Tire Pressure (psi) | Annual Departure | 20 Years Coverage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | S-5 | 5,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 340 | 1,656 |
| 2 | S-10 | 10,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 200 | 1,166 |
| 3 | S-12.5 | 12,500 | 95.00 | 50 | 110 | 684 |
| 4 | S-15 | 15,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 13 | 85 |
| 5 | S-20 | 20,000 | 95.00 | 75 | 44 | 279 |
| 6 | S-25 | 25,000 | 95.00 | 100 | 2 | 12 |
| 7 | D-15 | 15,000 | 95.00 | 55 | 113 | 1,053 |
| 8 | D-20 | 20,000 | 95.00 | 65 | 16 | 157 |
| 9 | D-25 | 25,000 | 95.00 | 75 | 36 | 388 |
| 10 | D-30 | 30,000 | 95.00 | 85 | 26 | 285 |
| 11 | D-40 | 40,000 | 95.00 | 90 | 73 | 834 |
| 12 | D-50 | 50,000 | 95.00 | 80 | 2 | 25 |
| 13 | D-75 | 75,000 | 95.00 | 110 | 10 | 130 |
| 14 | D-100 | 100,000 | 95.00 | 140 | 860 | 11,230 |

## Results Table 2. PCR Value



Results Table 3. HMA on Flexible ACR at Indicated Gross Weight and Strength

| No. | Aircraft Name | Gross Weight (lbs) | Percent Gross Weight on Main Gear | Tire Pressure (psi) | ACR Thick (in.) (D) | ACR/F/D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | S-5 | 5,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 5.1 | 17 |
| 2 | S-10 | 10,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 8.7 | 35.1 |
| 3 | S-12.5 | 12,500 | 95.00 | 50 | 9.9 | 43.8 |
| 4 | S-15 | 15,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 11.0 | 52.4 |
| 5 | S-20 | 20,000 | 95.00 | 75 | 13.6 | 76.5 |
| 6 | S-25 | 25,000 | 95.00 | 100 | 15.7 | 100 |
| 7 | D-15 | 15,000 | 95.00 | 55 | 9.6 | 41.1 |
| 8 | D-20 | 20,000 | 95.00 | 65 | 11.7 | 57.9 |
| 9 | D-25 | 25,000 | 95.00 | 75 | 12.4 | 64.4 |
| 10 | D-30 | 30,000 | 95.00 | 85 | 14.4 | 84.8 |
| 11 | D-40 | 40,000 | 95.00 | 90 | 17.7 | 126.8 |
| 12 | D-50 | 50,000 | 95.00 | 80 | 18.1 | 132 |
| 13 | D-75 | 75,000 | 95.00 | 110 | 22.3 | 231.3 |
| 14 | D-100 | 100,000 | 95.00 | 140 | 25.5 | 321.8 |

# Federal Aviation Administration FAARFIELD 2.0 Form 5010 

## RUNWAY DATA

Job Name: 2212ANGLE - Section 1

Section: Exist Section 1

## Gross Weight (In THSDS)

| 35 S | 39 |
| :---: | :--- |
| 36 D | 55 |
| 37 2D | 0 |
| 38 2D/2D2 | 0 |
|  |  |
| 39 PCR | $152 / \mathrm{F} / \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{X} / \mathrm{T}$ |

## Federal Aviation Administration FAARFIELD 2.0 Section Report

## Job Name: 2212ANGLE - Section 1

## Section: Strengthen Option 1

Analysis Type: New Flexible
Last Run: Thickness Design 2023-09-18 17:11:46
Design Life = 20 Years
Total thickness to the top of the subgrade $=21.0 \mathrm{in}$.

Pavement Structure Information by Layer

| No. | Type | Thickness <br> (in.) | Modulus <br> (psi) | Poisson's <br> Ratio | Strength R <br> (psi) |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | P-401/P-403 HMA Surface | 4.0 | 200,000 | 0.35 | 0 |
| 2 | P-304 Cement Treated Base | 5.0 | 500,000 | 0.2 | 0 |
| 3 | P-209 Crushed Aggregate | 12.0 | 32,208 | 0.35 | 0 |
| 4 | Subgrade | 0 | 8,270 | 0.35 | 0 |

Airplane Information
$\left.\begin{array}{|c|l|l|l|l|}\hline & & & \\ \hline \text { No. } & \text { Name } \\ \text { (lbs) }\end{array}\right)$

## Additional Airplane Information

Subgrade CDF

| No. | Name | CDF <br> Contribution | CDF Max for Airplane | P/C <br> Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | S-5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.94 |
| 2 | S-10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.71 |
| 3 | S-12.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.62 |
| 4 | S-15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.55 |
| 5 | S-20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.6 |
| 6 | S-25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.62 |
| 7 | D-15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.11 |
| 8 | D-20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.04 |
| 9 | D-25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.91 |
| 10 | D-30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.9 |
| 11 | D-40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.87 |
| 12 | D-50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.66 |
| 13 | D-75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.62 |
| 14 | D-100 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.57 |

HMA CDF

| No. | Name | CDF <br> Contribution | CDF Max <br> for Airplane |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | S-5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | P/C <br> Ratio |
| 2 | S-10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.65 |
| 3 | S-12.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.13 |
| 4 | S-15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.68 |
| 5 | S-20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.33 |
| 6 | S-25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.56 |
| 7 | D-15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.68 |
| 8 | D-20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.56 |
| 9 | D-25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.41 |
| 10 | D-30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.36 |
| 11 | D-40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.29 |
| 12 | D-50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.05 |
| 13 | D-75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.74 |
| 14 | D-100 | 0.00 |  | 2.67 |
|  |  | 2.00 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

User Is responsible For checking frost protection requirements.


# Federal Aviation Administration FAARFIELD 2.0 PCR Report 

FAARFIELD 2.0.18 (Build 05/26/2022)

## Job Name: 2212ANGLE - Section 1

## Section: Strengthen Option 1

This file name = PCR Results for New Flexible 2023-09-18 17:21:09
Evaluation pavement type is flexible and design program is FAARFIELD.
Section name: Strengthen Option 1 in job file: 2212ANGLE - Section 1.JOB.xml
Units = US Customary
Analysis Type: New Flexible
Subgrade Modulus $=8,270$ psi (Subgrade Category is D)
Evaluation Pavement Thickness = 21.0 in.
Pass to Traffic Cycle (PtoTC) Ratio $=1.00$
Maximum number of wheels per gear $=2$
$C D F=1.000$
No aircraft have 4 or more wheels per gear.

Results Table 1. Input Traffic Data

| No. | Aircraft Name | Gross Weight (lbs) | Percent Gross Weight | Tire Pressure (psi) | Annual Departure | 20 Years Coverage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | S-5 | 5,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 340 | 1,333 |
| 2 | S-10 | 10,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 200 | 978 |
| 3 | S-12.5 | 12,500 | 95.00 | 50 | 110 | 581 |
| 4 | S-15 | 15,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 13 | 73 |
| 5 | S-20 | 20,000 | 95.00 | 75 | 44 | 238 |
| 6 | S-25 | 25,000 | 95.00 | 100 | 2 | 11 |
| 7 | D-15 | 15,000 | 95.00 | 55 | 113 | 952 |
| 8 | D-20 | 20,000 | 95.00 | 65 | 16 | 141 |
| 9 | D-25 | 25,000 | 95.00 | 75 | 36 | 322 |
| 10 | D-30 | 30,000 | 95.00 | 85 | 26 | 237 |
| 11 | D-40 | 40,000 | 95.00 | 90 | 73 | 719 |
| 12 | D-50 | 50,000 | 95.00 | 80 | 2 | 22 |
| 13 | D-75 | 75,000 | 95.00 | 110 | 10 | 112 |
| 14 | D-100 | 100,000 | 95.00 | 140 | 860 | 9,717 |

## Results Table 2. PCR Value



Results Table 3. New Flexible ACR at Indicated Gross Weight and Strength

| No. | Aircraft Name | Gross Weight (lbs) | Percent Gross Weight on Main Gear | Tire Pressure (psi) | ACR Thick (in.) (D) | ACR/F/D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | S-5 | 5,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 5.1 | 17 |
| 2 | S-10 | 10,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 8.7 | 35.1 |
| 3 | S-12.5 | 12,500 | 95.00 | 50 | 9.9 | 43.8 |
| 4 | S-15 | 15,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 11.0 | 52.4 |
| 5 | S-20 | 20,000 | 95.00 | 75 | 13.6 | 76.5 |
| 6 | S-25 | 25,000 | 95.00 | 100 | 15.7 | 100 |
| 7 | D-15 | 15,000 | 95.00 | 55 | 9.6 | 41.1 |
| 8 | D-20 | 20,000 | 95.00 | 65 | 11.7 | 57.9 |
| 9 | D-25 | 25,000 | 95.00 | 75 | 12.4 | 64.4 |
| 10 | D-30 | 30,000 | 95.00 | 85 | 14.4 | 84.8 |
| 11 | D-40 | 40,000 | 95.00 | 90 | 17.7 | 126.8 |
| 12 | D-50 | 50,000 | 95.00 | 80 | 18.1 | 132 |
| 13 | D-75 | 75,000 | 95.00 | 110 | 22.3 | 231.3 |
| 14 | D-100 | 100,000 | 95.00 | 140 | 25.5 | 321.8 |

# Federal Aviation Administration FAARFIELD 2.0 Form 5010 

## RUNWAY DATA

Job Name: 2212ANGLE - Section 1
Section: Strengthen Option 1

## Gross Weight (In THSDS)

| 35 S | 79 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 36 D | 100 |
| 37 2D | 157 |
| 38 2D/2D2 | 0 |
|  |  |
| 39 PCR | $322 / F / D / X / T$ |

# Federal Aviation Administration FAARFIELD 2.0 PCR Report 

## FAARFIELD 2.0.18 (Build 05/26/2022)

## Job Name: 2212ANGLE - Section 1

## Section: Asphalt OL Option 2

This file name = PCR Results for HMA on Flexible 2023-09-18 17:35:31
Evaluation pavement type is flexible and design program is FAARFIELD.
Section name: Asphalt OL Option 2 in job file: 2212ANGLE - Section 1.JOB.xml
Units = US Customary
Analysis Type: HMA on Flexible
Subgrade Modulus $=8,270$ psi (Subgrade Category is D)
Evaluation Pavement Thickness $=18.5$ in.
Pass to Traffic Cycle (PtoTC) Ratio $=1.00$
Maximum number of wheels per gear $=2$
$C D F=0.830$

Results Table 1. Input Traffic Data

| No. | Aircraft Name | Gross Weight (lbs) | Percent Gross Weight | Tire Pressure (psi) | Annual Departure | 20 Years Coverage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | S-5 | 5,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 340 | 2,295 |
| 2 | S-10 | 10,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 200 | 1,539 |
| 3 | S-12.5 | 12,500 | 95.00 | 50 | 110 | 888 |
| 4 | S-15 | 15,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 13 | 109 |
| 5 | S-20 | 20,000 | 95.00 | 75 | 44 | 360 |
| 6 | S-25 | 25,000 | 95.00 | 100 | 2 | 16 |
| 7 | D-15 | 15,000 | 95.00 | 55 | 113 | 1,252 |
| 8 | D-20 | 20,000 | 95.00 | 65 | 16 | 185 |
| 9 | D-25 | 25,000 | 95.00 | 75 | 36 | 457 |
| 10 | D-30 | 30,000 | 95.00 | 85 | 26 | 332 |
| 11 | D-40 | 40,000 | 95.00 | 90 | 73 | 956 |
| 12 | D-50 | 50,000 | 95.00 | 80 | 2 | 31 |
| 13 | D-75 | 75,000 | 95.00 | 110 | 10 | 158 |
| 14 | D-100 | 100,000 | 95.00 | 140 | 860 | 14,183 |

## Results Table 2. PCR Value

| No. | Aircraft <br> Name | Critical aircraft Total equiv. <br> departures | Max allowable Gross Weight of critical <br> aircraft (lbs) | ACR Thick at max. <br> MGW (in.) | PCR/F/D |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | D-100 | 860 | 101,192 | 25.7 | 326.9 |

Results Table 3. HMA on Flexible ACR at Indicated Gross Weight and Strength

| No. | Aircraft Name | Gross Weight (lbs) | Percent Gross Weight on Main Gear | Tire Pressure (psi) | ACR Thick (in.) (D) | ACR/F/D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | S-5 | 5,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 5.1 | 16.9 |
| 2 | S-10 | 10,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 8.7 | 35.1 |
| 3 | S-12.5 | 12,500 | 95.00 | 50 | 9.9 | 43.8 |
| 4 | S-15 | 15,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 11.0 | 52.3 |
| 5 | S-20 | 20,000 | 95.00 | 75 | 13.6 | 76.5 |
| 6 | S-25 | 25,000 | 95.00 | 100 | 15.7 | 100 |
| 7 | D-15 | 15,000 | 95.00 | 55 | 9.6 | 41.1 |
| 8 | D-20 | 20,000 | 95.00 | 65 | 11.7 | 57.9 |
| 9 | D-25 | 25,000 | 95.00 | 75 | 12.4 | 64.4 |
| 10 | D-30 | 30,000 | 95.00 | 85 | 14.4 | 84.8 |
| 11 | D-40 | 40,000 | 95.00 | 90 | 17.7 | 126.8 |
| 12 | D-50 | 50,000 | 95.00 | 80 | 18.1 | 132 |
| 13 | D-75 | 75,000 | 95.00 | 110 | 22.3 | 231.3 |
| 14 | D-100 | 100,000 | 95.00 | 140 | 25.5 | 321.8 |

# Federal Aviation Administration FAARFIELD 2.0 Form 5010 

## RUNWAY DATA

Job Name: 2212ANGLE - Section 1

Section: Asphalt OL Option 2

## Gross Weight (In THSDS)

| 35 S | 80 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 36 D | 102 |
| 37 2D | 159 |
| 38 2D/2D2 | 0 |
|  |  |
| 39 PCR | $327 / F / D / X / T$ |

# Federal Aviation Administration FAARFIELD 2.0 Section Report 

FAARFIELD 2.0.18 (Build 05/26/2022)

## Job Name: 2212ANGLE - Section 1

## Section: Concrete Section Option 3

Analysis Type: New Rigid
Last Run: Thickness Design 2023-09-18 18:16:50
Design Life $=20$ Years
Total thickness to the top of the subgrade $=22.2 \mathrm{in}$.

## Pavement Structure Information by Layer

| No. | Type | Thickness <br> (in.) | Modulus <br> (psi) | Poisson's <br> Ratio | Strength R <br> (psi) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | P-501 PCC Surface | 10.2 | $4,000,000$ | 0.15 | 650 |
| 2 | User Defined | 12.0 | 150,000 | 0.35 | 0 |
| 3 | Subgrade | 0 | 8,270 | 0.4 | 0 |

Airplane Information

| No. | Name | Gross Wt. <br> (lbs) | Annual Departures | \% Annual Growth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | S-5 | 5,000 | 340 | 5 |
| 2 | S-10 | 10,000 | 200 | 5 |
| 3 | S-12.5 | 12,500 | 110 | 5 |
| 4 | S-15 | 15,000 | 13 | 5 |
| 5 | S-20 | 20,000 | 44 | 5 |
| 6 | S-25 | 25,000 | 2 | 5 |
| 7 | D-15 | 15,000 | 113 | 5 |
| 8 | D-20 | 20,000 | 16 | 5 |
| 9 | D-25 | 25,000 | 36 | 5 |
| 10 | D-30 | 30,000 | 26 | 5 |
| 11 | D-40 | 40,000 | 73 | 5 |
| 12 | D-50 | 50,000 | 2 | 5 |
| 13 | D-75 | 75,000 | 10 | 5 |
| 14 | D-100 | 100,000 | 860 | 5 |

## Additional Airplane Information

| No. | Name | CDF <br> Contribution | CDF Max for Airplane | P/C <br> Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | S-5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 12.6 |
| 2 | S-10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.92 |
| 3 | S-12.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.99 |
| 4 | S-15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.3 |
| 5 | S-20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.74 |
| 6 | S-25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.99 |
| 7 | D-15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.48 |
| 8 | D-20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.18 |
| 9 | D-25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.03 |
| 10 | D-30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.89 |
| 11 | D-40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.36 |
| 12 | D-50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.73 |
| 13 | D-75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.6 |
| 14 | D-100 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 3.55 |

User Is responsible For checking frost protection requirements.


# Federal Aviation Administration FAARFIELD 2.0 Form 5010 

## RUNWAY DATA

Job Name: 2212ANGLE - Section 1

Section: Concrete Section Option 3

## Gross Weight (In THSDS)

| 35 S | 87 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 36 D | 105 |
| 37 2D | 172 |
| 38 2D/2D2 | 0 |
|  |  |
| 39 PCR | $333 /$ R/D/W/T |

# Federal Aviation Administration FAARFIELD 2.0 Section Report 

FAARFIELD 2.0.18 (Build 05/26/2022)

Job Name: 2212ANGLE - Section 2

## Section: Exist Section 2

Analysis Type: New Flexible
Last Run: Life Analysis 2023-09-20 10:20:38
Calculated Life $=2,231.1$ Years
Total thickness to the top of the subgrade $=27.9 \mathrm{in}$.

Pavement Structure Information by Layer

| No. | Type | Thickness <br> (in.) | Modulus <br> (psi) | Poisson's <br> Ratio | Strength R <br> (psi) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | P-401/P-403 HMA Surface | 4.7 | 200,000 | 0.35 | 0 |
| 2 | User Defined | 8.0 | 80,900 | 0.35 | 0 |
| 3 | User Defined | 15.2 | 15,200 | 0.35 | 0 |
| 4 | Subgrade | 0 | 10,500 | 0.35 | 0 |

Airplane Information

| No. | Name | Gross Wt. (lbs) | Annual Departures | \% Annual Growth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | S-5 | 5,000 | 340 | 5 |
| 2 | S-10 | 10,000 | 200 | 5 |
| 3 | S-12.5 | 12,500 | 110 | 5 |
| 4 | S-15 | 15,000 | 13 | 5 |
| 5 | S-20 | 20,000 | 44 | 5 |
| 6 | S-25 | 25,000 | 2 | 5 |
| 7 | D-15 | 15,000 | 113 | 5 |
| 8 | D-20 | 20,000 | 16 | 5 |
| 9 | D-25 | 25,000 | 36 | 5 |
| 10 | D-30 | 30,000 | 26 | 5 |
| 11 | D-40 | 40,000 | 73 | 5 |
| 12 | D-50 | 50,000 | 2 | 5 |
| 13 | D-75 | 75,000 | 10 | 5 |
| 14 | D-100 | 100,000 | 860 | 5 |

## Additional Airplane Information

Subgrade CDF

| No. | Name | CDF <br> Contribution | CDF Max <br> for Airplane |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | S-5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | Ratio |

HMA CDF

| No. | Name | CDF <br> Contribution | CDF Max <br> for Airplane |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | S-5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | P/C <br> Ratio |
| 2 | S-10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.16 |
| 3 | S-12.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.82 |
| 4 | S-15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.41 |
| 5 | S-20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.09 |
| 6 | S-25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.30 |
| 7 | D-15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.41 |
| 8 | D-20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.46 |
| 9 | D-25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.26 |
| 10 | D-30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.17 |
| 11 | D-40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.12 |
| 12 | D-50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.89 |
| 13 | D-75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.62 |
| 14 | D-100 | 0.01 |  | 2.56 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2.54 |  |

User Is responsible For checking frost protection requirements.


# Federal Aviation Administration FAARFIELD 2.0 PCR Report 

FAARFIELD 2.0.18 (Build 05/26/2022)

## Job Name: 2212ANGLE - Section 2

## Section: Exist Section 2

This file name = PCR Results for New Flexible 2023-09-20 10:21:44
Evaluation pavement type is flexible and design program is FAARFIELD.
Section name: Exist Section 2 in job file: 2212ANGLE - Section 2.JOB.xml
Units = US Customary
Analysis Type: New Flexible
Subgrade Modulus $=10,500$ psi (Subgrade Category is C)
Evaluation Pavement Thickness = 27.9 in.
Pass to Traffic Cycle (PtoTC) Ratio $=1.00$
Maximum number of wheels per gear $=2$
$C D F=0.000$
No aircraft have 4 or more wheels per gear.

Results Table 1. Input Traffic Data

| No. | Aircraft Name | Gross Weight (lbs) | Percent Gross Weight | Tire Pressure (psi) | Annual Departure | 20 Years Coverage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | S-5 | 5,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 340 | 1,424 |
| 2 | S-10 | 10,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 200 | 1,031 |
| 3 | S-12.5 | 12,500 | 95.00 | 50 | 110 | 610 |
| 4 | S-15 | 15,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 13 | 77 |
| 5 | S-20 | 20,000 | 95.00 | 75 | 44 | 249 |
| 6 | S-25 | 25,000 | 95.00 | 100 | 2 | 11 |
| 7 | D-15 | 15,000 | 95.00 | 55 | 113 | 981 |
| 8 | D-20 | 20,000 | 95.00 | 65 | 16 | 147 |
| 9 | D-25 | 25,000 | 95.00 | 75 | 36 | 340 |
| 10 | D-30 | 30,000 | 95.00 | 85 | 26 | 250 |
| 11 | D-40 | 40,000 | 95.00 | 90 | 73 | 757 |
| 12 | D-50 | 50,000 | 95.00 | 80 | 2 | 23 |
| 13 | D-75 | 75,000 | 95.00 | 110 | 10 | 117 |
| 14 | D-100 | 100,000 | 95.00 | 140 | 860 | 10,144 |

## Results Table 2. PCR Value



Results Table 3. New Flexible ACR at Indicated Gross Weight and Strength

| No. | Aircraft Name | Gross Weight (lbs) | Percent Gross Weight on Main Gear | Tire Pressure (psi) | ACR Thick (in.) (C) | ACR/F/C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | S-5 | 5,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 4.6 | 15.7 |
| 2 | S-10 | 10,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 6.4 | 30.3 |
| 3 | S-12.5 | 12,500 | 95.00 | 50 | 7.4 | 38 |
| 4 | S-15 | 15,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 8.3 | 45.6 |
| 5 | S-20 | 20,000 | 95.00 | 75 | 10.7 | 71.3 |
| 6 | S-25 | 25,000 | 95.00 | 100 | 12.5 | 95.9 |
| 7 | D-15 | 15,000 | 95.00 | 55 | 6.4 | 30.5 |
| 8 | D-20 | 20,000 | 95.00 | 65 | 8.2 | 44.8 |
| 9 | D-25 | 25,000 | 95.00 | 75 | 8.9 | 51.5 |
| 10 | D-30 | 30,000 | 95.00 | 85 | 10.3 | 67 |
| 11 | D-40 | 40,000 | 95.00 | 90 | 13.0 | 103.6 |
| 12 | D-50 | 50,000 | 95.00 | 80 | 13.3 | 108.1 |
| 13 | D-75 | 75,000 | 95.00 | 110 | 17.8 | 189.5 |
| 14 | D-100 | 100,000 | 95.00 | 140 | 20.6 | 272.5 |

# Federal Aviation Administration FAARFIELD 2.0 Form 5010 

## RUNWAY DATA

Job Name: 2212ANGLE - Section 2

Section: Exist Section 2

## Gross Weight (In THSDS)

| 35 S | 100 |
| :---: | :--- |
| 36 D | 153 |
| 37 2D | 225 |
| 38 2D/2D2 | 644 |
|  |  |
| 39 PCR | $405 / F / C / X / T$ |

## Federal Aviation Administration FAARFIELD 2.0 Section Report

## Job Name: 2212ANGLE - Section 2

## Section: Strengthen CTB Option 1

Analysis Type: New Flexible
Last Run: Life Analysis 2023-09-20 10:26:21

Calculated Life = 12,163.8 Years
Total thickness to the top of the subgrade $=27.2 \mathrm{in}$.

Pavement Structure Information by Layer

| No. | Type | Thickness <br> (in.) | Modulus <br> (psi) | Poisson's <br> Ratio | Strength R <br> (psi) |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | P-401/P-403 HMA Surface | 4.0 | 200,000 | 0.35 | 0 |
| 2 | P-304 Cement Treated Base | 5.0 | 500,000 | 0.2 | 0 |
| 3 | P-154 Uncrushed Aggregate | 18.2 | 19,112 | 0.35 | 0 |
| 4 | Subgrade | 0 | 10,500 | 0.35 | 0 |

Airplane Information
$\left.\begin{array}{|c|l|l|l|l|}\hline & & & \\ \hline \text { No. } & \text { Name } \\ \text { (lbs) }\end{array}\right)$

## Additional Airplane Information

Subgrade CDF

| No. | Name | CDF <br> Contribution | CDF Max <br> for Airplane |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | S-5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | Ratio |

HMA CDF

| No. | Name | CDF <br> Contribution | CDF Max <br> for Airplane |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | S-5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | P/C <br> Ratio |
| 2 | S-10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.65 |
| 3 | S-12.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.13 |
| 4 | S-15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.68 |
| 5 | S-20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.33 |
| 6 | S-25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.56 |
| 7 | D-15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.68 |
| 8 | D-20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.56 |
| 9 | D-25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.41 |
| 10 | D-30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.36 |
| 11 | D-40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.29 |
| 12 | D-50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.05 |
| 13 | D-75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.74 |
| 14 | D-100 | 0.00 |  | 2.67 |
|  |  | 2.00 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

User Is responsible For checking frost protection requirements.


## Federal Aviation Administration FAARFIELD 2.0 PCR Report

FAARFIELD 2.0.18 (Build 05/26/2022)

## Job Name: 2212ANGLE - Section 2

## Section: Strengthen CTB Option 1

This file name = PCR Results for New Flexible 2023-09-20 10:27:11
Evaluation pavement type is flexible and design program is FAARFIELD.
Section name: Strengthen CTB Option 1 in job file: 2212ANGLE - Section 2.JOB.xml
Units = US Customary
Analysis Type: New Flexible
Subgrade Modulus $=10,500$ psi (Subgrade Category is C)
Evaluation Pavement Thickness = 27.2 in.
Pass to Traffic Cycle (PtoTC) Ratio $=1.00$
Maximum number of wheels per gear $=2$
$C D F=0.000$
No aircraft have 4 or more wheels per gear.

Results Table 1. Input Traffic Data

| No. | Aircraft Name | Gross Weight (lbs) | Percent Gross Weight | Tire Pressure (psi) | Annual Departure | 20 Years Coverage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | S-5 | 5,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 340 | 1,333 |
| 2 | S-10 | 10,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 200 | 978 |
| 3 | S-12.5 | 12,500 | 95.00 | 50 | 110 | 581 |
| 4 | S-15 | 15,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 13 | 73 |
| 5 | S-20 | 20,000 | 95.00 | 75 | 44 | 238 |
| 6 | S-25 | 25,000 | 95.00 | 100 | 2 | 11 |
| 7 | D-15 | 15,000 | 95.00 | 55 | 113 | 952 |
| 8 | D-20 | 20,000 | 95.00 | 65 | 16 | 141 |
| 9 | D-25 | 25,000 | 95.00 | 75 | 36 | 322 |
| 10 | D-30 | 30,000 | 95.00 | 85 | 26 | 237 |
| 11 | D-40 | 40,000 | 95.00 | 90 | 73 | 719 |
| 12 | D-50 | 50,000 | 95.00 | 80 | 2 | 22 |
| 13 | D-75 | 75,000 | 95.00 | 110 | 10 | 112 |
| 14 | D-100 | 100,000 | 95.00 | 140 | 860 | 9,717 |

## Results Table 2. PCR Value

| No. | Aircraft <br> Name | Critical aircraft Total equiv. <br> departures | Max allowable Gross Weight of critical <br> aircraft (lbs) | ACR Thick at max. <br> MGW (in.) | PCR/F/C |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | D-100 | 860 | 136,738 | 24.7 | 430.7 |

Results Table 3. New Flexible ACR at Indicated Gross Weight and Strength

| No. | Aircraft Name | Gross Weight (lbs) | Percent Gross Weight on Main Gear | Tire Pressure (psi) | ACR Thick (in.) (C) | ACR/F/C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | S-5 | 5,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 4.6 | 15.7 |
| 2 | S-10 | 10,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 6.4 | 30.3 |
| 3 | S-12.5 | 12,500 | 95.00 | 50 | 7.4 | 38 |
| 4 | S-15 | 15,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 8.3 | 45.6 |
| 5 | S-20 | 20,000 | 95.00 | 75 | 10.7 | 71.3 |
| 6 | S-25 | 25,000 | 95.00 | 100 | 12.5 | 95.9 |
| 7 | D-15 | 15,000 | 95.00 | 55 | 6.4 | 30.5 |
| 8 | D-20 | 20,000 | 95.00 | 65 | 8.2 | 44.8 |
| 9 | D-25 | 25,000 | 95.00 | 75 | 8.9 | 51.5 |
| 10 | D-30 | 30,000 | 95.00 | 85 | 10.3 | 67 |
| 11 | D-40 | 40,000 | 95.00 | 90 | 13.0 | 103.6 |
| 12 | D-50 | 50,000 | 95.00 | 80 | 13.3 | 108.1 |
| 13 | D-75 | 75,000 | 95.00 | 110 | 17.8 | 189.5 |
| 14 | D-100 | 100,000 | 95.00 | 140 | 20.6 | 272.5 |

# Federal Aviation Administration FAARFIELD 2.0 Form 5010 

## RUNWAY DATA

Job Name: 2212ANGLE - Section 2

Section: Strengthen CTB Option 1

## Gross Weight (In THSDS)

| 35 S | 106 |
| :---: | :--- |
| 36 D | 161 |
| 37 2D | 238 |
| 38 2D/2D2 | 671 |
|  |  |
| 39 PCR | $431 / F / C / X / T$ |

## Federal Aviation Administration FAARFIELD 2.0 Section Report

## Job Name: 2212ANGLE - Section 2

## Section: Strengthen Recycling Option 2

Analysis Type: New Flexible
Last Run: Life Analysis 2023-09-20 10:29:30

Calculated Life $=6,118.2$ Years
Total thickness to the top of the subgrade $=27.0 \mathrm{in}$.

Pavement Structure Information by Layer

| No. | Type | Thickness <br> (in.) | Modulus <br> (psi) | Poisson's <br> Ratio | Strength $\mathbf{R}$ <br> (psi) |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | P-401/P-403 HMA Surface | 4.0 | 200,000 | 0.35 | 0 |
| 2 | User Defined | 12.0 | 75,000 | 0.35 | 0 |
| 3 | P-154 Uncrushed Aggregate | 11.0 | 17,137 | 0.35 | 0 |
| 4 | Subgrade | 0 | 10,500 | 0.35 | 0 |

Airplane Information

| No. | Name | Gross Wt. (lbs) | Annual Departures | \% Annual Growth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | S-5 | 5,000 | 340 | 5 |
| 2 | S-10 | 10,000 | 200 | 5 |
| 3 | S-12.5 | 12,500 | 110 | 5 |
| 4 | S-15 | 15,000 | 13 | 5 |
| 5 | S-20 | 20,000 | 44 | 5 |
| 6 | S-25 | 25,000 | 2 | 5 |
| 7 | D-15 | 15,000 | 113 | 5 |
| 8 | D-20 | 20,000 | 16 | 5 |
| 9 | D-25 | 25,000 | 36 | 5 |
| 10 | D-30 | 30,000 | 26 | 5 |
| 11 | D-40 | 40,000 | 73 | 5 |
| 12 | D-50 | 50,000 | 2 | 5 |
| 13 | D-75 | 75,000 | 10 | 5 |
| 14 | D-100 | 100,000 | 860 | 5 |

## Additional Airplane Information

Subgrade CDF

| No. | Name | CDF <br> Contribution | CDF Max <br> for Airplane |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | S-5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | Ratio |

HMA CDF

| No. | Name | CDF <br> Contribution | CDF Max <br> for Airplane |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | S-5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | P/C <br> Ratio |
| 2 | S-10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.65 |
| 3 | S-12.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.13 |
| 4 | S-15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.68 |
| 5 | S-20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.33 |
| 6 | S-25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.56 |
| 7 | D-15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.68 |
| 8 | D-20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.56 |
| 9 | D-25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.41 |
| 10 | D-30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.36 |
| 11 | D-40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.29 |
| 12 | D-50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.05 |
| 13 | D-75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.74 |
| 14 | D-100 | 0.00 |  | 2.67 |
|  |  | 2.00 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

User Is responsible For checking frost protection requirements.


# Federal Aviation Administration FAARFIELD 2.0 PCR Report 

FAARFIELD 2.0.18 (Build 05/26/2022)

## Job Name: 2212ANGLE - Section 2

## Section: Strengthen Recycling Option 2

This file name = PCR Results for New Flexible 2023-09-20 10:30:14
Evaluation pavement type is flexible and design program is FAARFIELD.
Section name: Strengthen Recycling Option 2 in job file: 2212ANGLE - Section 2.JOB.xml
Units = US Customary
Analysis Type: New Flexible
Subgrade Modulus $=10,500$ psi (Subgrade Category is C)
Evaluation Pavement Thickness $=27.0$ in.
Pass to Traffic Cycle (PtoTC) Ratio $=1.00$
Maximum number of wheels per gear $=2$
$C D F=0.000$
No aircraft have 4 or more wheels per gear.

Results Table 1. Input Traffic Data

| No. | Aircraft Name | Gross Weight (lbs) | Percent Gross Weight | Tire Pressure (psi) | Annual Departure | 20 Years Coverage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | S-5 | 5,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 340 | 1,333 |
| 2 | S-10 | 10,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 200 | 978 |
| 3 | S-12.5 | 12,500 | 95.00 | 50 | 110 | 581 |
| 4 | S-15 | 15,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 13 | 73 |
| 5 | S-20 | 20,000 | 95.00 | 75 | 44 | 238 |
| 6 | S-25 | 25,000 | 95.00 | 100 | 2 | 11 |
| 7 | D-15 | 15,000 | 95.00 | 55 | 113 | 952 |
| 8 | D-20 | 20,000 | 95.00 | 65 | 16 | 141 |
| 9 | D-25 | 25,000 | 95.00 | 75 | 36 | 322 |
| 10 | D-30 | 30,000 | 95.00 | 85 | 26 | 237 |
| 11 | D-40 | 40,000 | 95.00 | 90 | 73 | 719 |
| 12 | D-50 | 50,000 | 95.00 | 80 | 2 | 22 |
| 13 | D-75 | 75,000 | 95.00 | 110 | 10 | 112 |
| 14 | D-100 | 100,000 | 95.00 | 140 | 860 | 9,717 |

## Results Table 2. PCR Value

| No. | Aircraft <br> Name | Critical aircraft Total equiv. <br> departures | Max allowable Gross Weight of critical <br> aircraft (lbs) | ACR Thick at max. <br> MGW (in.) | PCR/F/C |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | D-100 | 860 | 134,688 | 24.5 | 421.8 |

Results Table 3. New Flexible ACR at Indicated Gross Weight and Strength

| No. | Aircraft Name | Gross Weight (lbs) | Percent Gross Weight on Main Gear | Tire Pressure (psi) | ACR Thick (in.) (C) | ACR/F/C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | S-5 | 5,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 4.6 | 15.7 |
| 2 | S-10 | 10,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 6.4 | 30.3 |
| 3 | S-12.5 | 12,500 | 95.00 | 50 | 7.4 | 38 |
| 4 | S-15 | 15,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 8.3 | 45.6 |
| 5 | S-20 | 20,000 | 95.00 | 75 | 10.7 | 71.3 |
| 6 | S-25 | 25,000 | 95.00 | 100 | 12.5 | 95.9 |
| 7 | D-15 | 15,000 | 95.00 | 55 | 6.4 | 30.5 |
| 8 | D-20 | 20,000 | 95.00 | 65 | 8.2 | 44.8 |
| 9 | D-25 | 25,000 | 95.00 | 75 | 8.9 | 51.5 |
| 10 | D-30 | 30,000 | 95.00 | 85 | 10.3 | 67 |
| 11 | D-40 | 40,000 | 95.00 | 90 | 13.0 | 103.6 |
| 12 | D-50 | 50,000 | 95.00 | 80 | 13.3 | 108.1 |
| 13 | D-75 | 75,000 | 95.00 | 110 | 17.8 | 189.5 |
| 14 | D-100 | 100,000 | 95.00 | 140 | 20.6 | 272.5 |

# Federal Aviation Administration FAARFIELD 2.0 Form 5010 

## RUNWAY DATA

Job Name: 2212ANGLE - Section 2

Section: Strengthen Recycling Option 2

Gross Weight (In THSDS)

| 35 S | 104 |
| :---: | :--- |
| 36 D | 158 |
| 37 2D | 233 |
| 38 2D/2D2 | 662 |
|  |  |
| 39 PCR | $422 / F / C / X / T$ |

## Federal Aviation Administration FAARFIELD 2.0 Section Report

## Job Name: 2212ANGLE - Section 2

## Section: Asphalt OL Option 3

Analysis Type: New Flexible
Last Run: Life Analysis 2023-09-20 10:31:54

Calculated Life $=496.2$ Years
Total thickness to the top of the subgrade $=27.2 \mathrm{in}$.

Pavement Structure Information by Layer

| No. | Type | Thickness <br> (in.) | Modulus <br> (psi) | Poisson's <br> Ratio | Strength R <br> (psi) |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | P-401/P-403 HMA Surface | 4.0 | 200,000 | 0.35 | 0 |
| 2 | User Defined | 8.0 | 80,950 | 0.35 | 0 |
| 3 | User Defined | 15.2 | 15,190 | 0.35 | 0 |
| 4 | Subgrade | 0 | 10,500 | 0.35 | 0 |

Airplane Information
$\left.\begin{array}{|c|l|l|l|l|}\hline & & & \\ \hline \text { No. } & \text { Name } \\ \text { (lbs) }\end{array}\right)$

## Additional Airplane Information

Subgrade CDF

| No. | Name | CDF <br> Contribution | CDF Max <br> for Airplane |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | S-5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | Ratio |

HMA CDF

| No. | Name | CDF <br> Contribution | CDF Max <br> for Airplane |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | S-5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | P/C <br> Ratio |
| 2 | S-10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.65 |
| 3 | S-12.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.13 |
| 4 | S-15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.68 |
| 5 | S-20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.33 |
| 6 | S-25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.56 |
| 7 | D-15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.68 |
| 8 | D-20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.56 |
| 9 | D-25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.41 |
| 10 | D-30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.36 |
| 11 | D-40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.29 |
| 12 | D-50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.05 |
| 13 | D-75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.74 |
| 14 | D-100 | 0.00 |  | 2.67 |
|  |  | 2.00 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

User Is responsible For checking frost protection requirements.


# Federal Aviation Administration FAARFIELD 2.0 PCR Report 

FAARFIELD 2.0.18 (Build 05/26/2022)

## Job Name: 2212ANGLE - Section 2

## Section: Asphalt OL Option 3

This file name = PCR Results for New Flexible 2023-09-20 10:33:03
Evaluation pavement type is flexible and design program is FAARFIELD.
Section name: Asphalt OL Option 3 in job file: 2212ANGLE - Section 2.JOB.xml
Units = US Customary
Analysis Type: New Flexible
Subgrade Modulus $=10,500$ psi (Subgrade Category is C)
Evaluation Pavement Thickness = 27.2 in.
Pass to Traffic Cycle (PtoTC) Ratio $=1.00$
Maximum number of wheels per gear $=2$
$C D F=0.000$
No aircraft have 4 or more wheels per gear.

Results Table 1. Input Traffic Data

| No. | Aircraft Name | Gross Weight (lbs) | Percent Gross Weight | Tire Pressure (psi) | Annual Departure | 20 Years Coverage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | S-5 | 5,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 340 | 1,333 |
| 2 | S-10 | 10,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 200 | 978 |
| 3 | S-12.5 | 12,500 | 95.00 | 50 | 110 | 581 |
| 4 | S-15 | 15,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 13 | 73 |
| 5 | S-20 | 20,000 | 95.00 | 75 | 44 | 238 |
| 6 | S-25 | 25,000 | 95.00 | 100 | 2 | 11 |
| 7 | D-15 | 15,000 | 95.00 | 55 | 113 | 952 |
| 8 | D-20 | 20,000 | 95.00 | 65 | 16 | 141 |
| 9 | D-25 | 25,000 | 95.00 | 75 | 36 | 322 |
| 10 | D-30 | 30,000 | 95.00 | 85 | 26 | 237 |
| 11 | D-40 | 40,000 | 95.00 | 90 | 73 | 719 |
| 12 | D-50 | 50,000 | 95.00 | 80 | 2 | 22 |
| 13 | D-75 | 75,000 | 95.00 | 110 | 10 | 112 |
| 14 | D-100 | 100,000 | 95.00 | 140 | 860 | 9,717 |

## Results Table 2. PCR Value



Results Table 3. New Flexible ACR at Indicated Gross Weight and Strength

| No. | Aircraft Name | Gross Weight (lbs) | Percent Gross Weight on Main Gear | Tire Pressure (psi) | ACR Thick (in.) (C) | ACR/F/C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | S-5 | 5,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 4.6 | 15.7 |
| 2 | S-10 | 10,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 6.4 | 30.3 |
| 3 | S-12.5 | 12,500 | 95.00 | 50 | 7.4 | 38 |
| 4 | S-15 | 15,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 8.3 | 45.6 |
| 5 | S-20 | 20,000 | 95.00 | 75 | 10.7 | 71.3 |
| 6 | S-25 | 25,000 | 95.00 | 100 | 12.5 | 95.9 |
| 7 | D-15 | 15,000 | 95.00 | 55 | 6.4 | 30.5 |
| 8 | D-20 | 20,000 | 95.00 | 65 | 8.2 | 44.8 |
| 9 | D-25 | 25,000 | 95.00 | 75 | 8.9 | 51.5 |
| 10 | D-30 | 30,000 | 95.00 | 85 | 10.3 | 67 |
| 11 | D-40 | 40,000 | 95.00 | 90 | 13.0 | 103.6 |
| 12 | D-50 | 50,000 | 95.00 | 80 | 13.3 | 108.1 |
| 13 | D-75 | 75,000 | 95.00 | 110 | 17.8 | 189.5 |
| 14 | D-100 | 100,000 | 95.00 | 140 | 20.6 | 272.5 |

# Federal Aviation Administration FAARFIELD 2.0 Form 5010 

## RUNWAY DATA

Job Name: 2212ANGLE - Section 2

Section: Asphalt OL Option 3

## Gross Weight (In THSDS)

| 35 S | 93 |
| :---: | :--- |
| 36 D | 139 |
| 37 2D | 209 |
| 38 2D/2D2 | 0 |
|  |  |
| 39 PCR | $373 / F / C / X / T$ |

# Federal Aviation Administration FAARFIELD 2.0 Section Report 

## Job Name: 2212ANGLE - Section 2

## Section: Concrete Section Option 4

Analysis Type: New Rigid
Last Run: Thickness Design 2023-09-20 10:48:05
Design Life $=20$ Years
Total thickness to the top of the subgrade $=26.9 \mathrm{in}$.

## Pavement Structure Information by Layer

| No. | Type | Thickness <br> (in.) | Modulus <br> (psi) | Poisson's <br> Ratio | Strength R <br> (psi) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | P-501 PCC Surface | 9.9 | $4,000,000$ | 0.15 | 650 |
| 2 | User Defined | 12.0 | 150,000 | 0.35 | 0 |
| 3 | User Defined | 5.0 | 15,200 | 0.35 | 0 |
| 4 | Subgrade | 0 | 10,500 | 0.4 | 0 |

Airplane Information

| No. | Name | Gross Wt. (lbs) | Annual Departures | \% Annual Growth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | S-5 | 5,000 | 340 | 5 |
| 2 | S-10 | 10,000 | 200 | 5 |
| 3 | S-12.5 | 12,500 | 110 | 5 |
| 4 | S-15 | 15,000 | 13 | 5 |
| 5 | S-20 | 20,000 | 44 | 5 |
| 6 | S-25 | 25,000 | 2 | 5 |
| 7 | D-15 | 15,000 | 113 | 5 |
| 8 | D-20 | 20,000 | 16 | 5 |
| 9 | D-25 | 25,000 | 36 | 5 |
| 10 | D-30 | 30,000 | 26 | 5 |
| 11 | D-40 | 40,000 | 73 | 5 |
| 12 | D-50 | 50,000 | 2 | 5 |
| 13 | D-75 | 75,000 | 10 | 5 |
| 14 | D-100 | 100,000 | 860 | 5 |

## Additional Airplane Information

| No. | Name | CDF <br> Contribution | CDF Max for Airplane | P/C <br> Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | S-5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 12.6 |
| 2 | S-10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.92 |
| 3 | S-12.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.99 |
| 4 | S-15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.3 |
| 5 | S-20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.74 |
| 6 | S-25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.99 |
| 7 | D-15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.48 |
| 8 | D-20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.18 |
| 9 | D-25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.03 |
| 10 | D-30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.89 |
| 11 | D-40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.36 |
| 12 | D-50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.73 |
| 13 | D-75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.6 |
| 14 | D-100 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 3.55 |

User Is responsible For checking frost protection requirements.


# Federal Aviation Administration FAARFIELD 2.0 Section Report 

FAARFIELD 2.0.18 (Build 05/26/2022)

## Job Name: 2212ANGLE - Section 2

Section: Concrete Section Option 4

Analysis Type: New Rigid
Last Run: Life Analysis 2023-09-20 11:00:11
Calculated Life $=24.2$ Years
Total thickness to the top of the subgrade $=27.0 \mathrm{in}$.

## Pavement Structure Information by Layer

| No. | Type | Thickness <br> (in.) | Modulus <br> (psi) | Poisson's <br> Ratio | Strength R <br> (psi) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | P-501 PCC Surface | 10.0 | $4,000,000$ | 0.15 | 650 |
| 2 | User Defined | 12.0 | 150,000 | 0.35 | 0 |
| 3 | User Defined | 5.0 | 15,200 | 0.35 | 0 |
| 4 | Subgrade | 0 | 10,500 | 0.4 | 0 |

Airplane Information

| No. | Name | Gross Wt. (lbs) | Annual Departures | \% Annual Growth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | S-5 | 5,000 | 340 | 5 |
| 2 | S-10 | 10,000 | 200 | 5 |
| 3 | S-12.5 | 12,500 | 110 | 5 |
| 4 | S-15 | 15,000 | 13 | 5 |
| 5 | S-20 | 20,000 | 44 | 5 |
| 6 | S-25 | 25,000 | 2 | 5 |
| 7 | D-15 | 15,000 | 113 | 5 |
| 8 | D-20 | 20,000 | 16 | 5 |
| 9 | D-25 | 25,000 | 36 | 5 |
| 10 | D-30 | 30,000 | 26 | 5 |
| 11 | D-40 | 40,000 | 73 | 5 |
| 12 | D-50 | 50,000 | 2 | 5 |
| 13 | D-75 | 75,000 | 10 | 5 |
| 14 | D-100 | 100,000 | 860 | 5 |

## Additional Airplane Information

| No. | Name | CDF <br> Contribution | CDF Max <br> for Airplane | P/C <br> Ratio |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | S-5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 12.6 |
| 2 | S-10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.92 |
| 3 | S-12.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.99 |
| 4 | S-15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.3 |
| 5 | S-20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.74 |
| 6 | S-25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.99 |
| 7 | D-15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.48 |
| 8 | D-20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.18 |
| 10 | D-25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.03 |
| 11 | D-30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.89 |
| 12 | D-40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.36 |
| 13 | D-50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.73 |
| 14 | D-100 | 0.00 | 0.77 | 3.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 3.55 |  |

User Is responsible For checking frost protection requirements.


## Federal Aviation Administration FAARFIELD 2.0 PCR Report

FAARFIELD 2.0.18 (Build 05/26/2022)

## Job Name: 2212ANGLE - Section 2

## Section: Concrete Section Option 4

This file name = PCR Results for New Rigid 2023-09-20 11:17:14
Evaluation pavement type is rigid and design program is FAARFIELD.
Section name: Concrete Section Option 4 in job file: 2212ANGLE - Section 2.JOB.xml
Units = US Customary
Analysis Type: New Rigid
Subgrade Modulus $=10,500$ psi (Subgrade Category is C)
Evaluation Pavement Thickness $=27.0$ in.

Pass to Traffic Cycle (PtoTC) Ratio $=1.00$
Maximum number of wheels per gear $=2$
$C D F=0.770$

Results Table 1. Input Traffic Data

| No. | Aircraft Name | Gross Weight (lbs) | Percent Gross Weight | Tire Pressure (psi) | Annual Departure | 20 Years Coverage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | S-5 | 5,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 340 | 810 |
| 2 | S-10 | 10,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 200 | 672 |
| 3 | S-12.5 | 12,500 | 95.00 | 50 | 110 | 413 |
| 4 | S-15 | 15,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 13 | 53 |
| 5 | S-20 | 20,000 | 95.00 | 75 | 44 | 171 |
| 6 | S-25 | 25,000 | 95.00 | 100 | 2 | 8 |
| 7 | D-15 | 15,000 | 95.00 | 55 | 113 | 618 |
| 8 | D-20 | 20,000 | 95.00 | 65 | 16 | 93 |
| 9 | D-25 | 25,000 | 95.00 | 75 | 36 | 215 |
| 10 | D-30 | 30,000 | 95.00 | 85 | 26 | 160 |
| 11 | D-40 | 40,000 | 95.00 | 90 | 73 | 502 |
| 12 | D-50 | 50,000 | 95.00 | 80 | 2 | 16 |
| 13 | D-75 | 75,000 | 95.00 | 110 | 10 | 83 |
| 14 | D-100 | 100,000 | 95.00 | 140 | 860 | 7,259 |

## Results Table 2. PCR Value



Results Table 3. New Rigid ACR at Indicated Gross Weight and Strength

| No. | Aircraft Name | Gross Weight (lbs) | Percent Gross Weight on Main Gear | Tire Pressure (psi) | ACR Thick (in.) (C) | ACR/R/C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | S-5 | 5,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 2.0 | 10.4 |
| 2 | S-10 | 10,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 2.4 | 19.8 |
| 3 | S-12.5 | 12,500 | 95.00 | 50 | 2.8 | 26.1 |
| 4 | S-15 | 15,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 3.2 | 32.3 |
| 5 | S-20 | 20,000 | 95.00 | 75 | 4.4 | 57.6 |
| 6 | S-25 | 25,000 | 95.00 | 100 | 5.3 | 83.1 |
| 7 | D-15 | 15,000 | 95.00 | 55 | 3.0 | 28.3 |
| 8 | D-20 | 20,000 | 95.00 | 65 | 3.8 | 43.9 |
| 9 | D-25 | 25,000 | 95.00 | 75 | 4.3 | 55.8 |
| 10 | D-30 | 30,000 | 95.00 | 85 | 5.0 | 73.9 |
| 11 | D-40 | 40,000 | 95.00 | 90 | 6.1 | 107.8 |
| 12 | D-50 | 50,000 | 95.00 | 80 | 6.4 | 120.7 |
| 13 | D-75 | 75,000 | 95.00 | 110 | 8.6 | 212.8 |
| 14 | D-100 | 100,000 | 95.00 | 140 | 10.4 | 305.8 |

# Federal Aviation Administration FAARFIELD 2.0 Form 5010 

## RUNWAY DATA

Job Name: 2212ANGLE - Section 2

Section: Concrete Section Option 4

## Gross Weight (In THSDS)

| 35 S | 84 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 36 D | 102 |
| 37 2D | 178 |
| 38 2D/2D2 | 0 |
|  |  |
| 39 PCR | $311 /$ R/C/W/T |

# Federal Aviation Administration FAARFIELD 2.0 Section Report 

## Job Name: 2212ANGLE - Section 3

## Section: Exist Section 3

Analysis Type: HMA on Flexible
Last Run: Life Analysis 2023-09-19 09:51:01
Calculated Life $=28,888.5$ Years
Total thickness to the top of the subgrade $=25.0 \mathrm{in}$.

## Pavement Structure Information by Layer

| No. | Type | Thickness <br> (in.) | Modulus <br> (psi) | Poisson's <br> Ratio | Strength R <br> (psi) |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | P-401/P-403 HMA Overlay | 2.0 | 200,000 | 0.35 | 0 |
| 2 | User Defined | 4.5 | 279,870 | 0.35 | 0 |
| 3 | User Defined | 18.5 | 62,000 | 0.35 | 0 |
| 4 | Subgrade | 0 | 9,700 | 0.35 | 0 |

Airplane Information

| No. | Name | Gross Wt. (lbs) | Annual Departures | \% Annual Growth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | S-5 | 5,000 | 340 | 5 |
| 2 | S-10 | 10,000 | 200 | 5 |
| 3 | S-12.5 | 12,500 | 110 | 5 |
| 4 | S-15 | 15,000 | 13 | 5 |
| 5 | S-20 | 20,000 | 44 | 5 |
| 6 | S-25 | 25,000 | 2 | 5 |
| 7 | D-15 | 15,000 | 113 | 5 |
| 8 | D-20 | 20,000 | 16 | 5 |
| 9 | D-25 | 25,000 | 36 | 5 |
| 10 | D-30 | 30,000 | 26 | 5 |
| 11 | D-40 | 40,000 | 73 | 5 |
| 12 | D-50 | 50,000 | 2 | 5 |
| 13 | D-75 | 75,000 | 10 | 5 |
| 14 | D-100 | 100,000 | 860 | 5 |

## Additional Airplane Information

Subgrade CDF

| No. | Name | CDF <br> Contribution | CDF Max <br> for Airplane | P/C <br> Ratio |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | S-5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.59 |
| 2 | S-10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.41 |
| 3 | S-12.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.34 |
| 4 | S-15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.29 |
| 5 | S-20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.33 |
| 6 | S-25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.34 |
| 7 | D-15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.94 |
| 8 | D-20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.88 |
| 9 | D-25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.78 |
| 10 | D-30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.77 |
| 12 | D-40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.74 |
| 13 | D-50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.56 |
| 14 | D-75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.53 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| D-100 |  |  | 1.49 |  |

Overlay HMA CDF

| No. | Name | CDF <br> Contribution | CDF Max <br> for Airplane | P/C <br> Ratio |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | S-5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 9.53 |
| 2 | S-10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.27 |
| 3 | S-12.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.64 |
| 4 | S-15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.16 |
| 5 | S-20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.47 |
| 6 | S-25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.64 |
| 7 | D-15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.30 |
| 8 | D-20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.11 |
| 9 | D-25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.03 |
| 10 | D-30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.94 |
| 11 | D-40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.59 |
| D-50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.16 |  |
| 12 | D-75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.06 |
| 14 | D-100 | 0.00 |  | 3.04 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

HMA CDF

| No. | Name | CDF <br> Contribution | CDF Max <br> for Airplane |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | S-5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | P/C |
| Ratio |  |  |  |  |$|$| ( |
| :--- |

User Is responsible For checking frost protection requirements.


# Federal Aviation Administration FAARFIELD 2.0 PCR Report 

## FAARFIELD 2.0.18 (Build 05/26/2022)

## Job Name: 2212ANGLE - Section 3

## Section: Exist Section 3

This file name $=$ PCR Results for HMA on Flexible 2023-09-19 09:39:08
Evaluation pavement type is flexible and design program is FAARFIELD.
Section name: Exist Section 3 in job file: 2212ANGLE - Section 3.JOB.xml
Units = US Customary
Analysis Type: HMA on Flexible
Subgrade Modulus $=9,700$ psi (Subgrade Category is C)
Evaluation Pavement Thickness $=25.0$ in.
Pass to Traffic Cycle (PtoTC) Ratio $=1.00$
Maximum number of wheels per gear $=2$
$C D F=0.000$

Results Table 1. Input Traffic Data

| No. | Aircraft Name | Gross Weight (lbs) | Percent Gross Weight | Tire Pressure (psi) | Annual Departure | 20 Years Coverage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | S-5 | 5,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 340 | 1,656 |
| 2 | S-10 | 10,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 200 | 1,166 |
| 3 | S-12.5 | 12,500 | 95.00 | 50 | 110 | 684 |
| 4 | S-15 | 15,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 13 | 85 |
| 5 | S-20 | 20,000 | 95.00 | 75 | 44 | 279 |
| 6 | S-25 | 25,000 | 95.00 | 100 | 2 | 12 |
| 7 | D-15 | 15,000 | 95.00 | 55 | 113 | 1,053 |
| 8 | D-20 | 20,000 | 95.00 | 65 | 16 | 157 |
| 9 | D-25 | 25,000 | 95.00 | 75 | 36 | 388 |
| 10 | D-30 | 30,000 | 95.00 | 85 | 26 | 285 |
| 11 | D-40 | 40,000 | 95.00 | 90 | 73 | 834 |
| 12 | D-50 | 50,000 | 95.00 | 80 | 2 | 25 |
| 13 | D-75 | 75,000 | 95.00 | 110 | 10 | 130 |
| 14 | D-100 | 100,000 | 95.00 | 140 | 860 | 11,230 |

## Results Table 2. PCR Value



Results Table 3. HMA on Flexible ACR at Indicated Gross Weight and Strength

| No. | Aircraft Name | Gross Weight (lbs) | Percent Gross Weight on Main Gear | Tire Pressure (psi) | ACR Thick (in.) (C) | ACR/F/C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | S-5 | 5,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 4.6 | 15.7 |
| 2 | S-10 | 10,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 6.4 | 30.3 |
| 3 | S-12.5 | 12,500 | 95.00 | 50 | 7.4 | 38 |
| 4 | S-15 | 15,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 8.3 | 45.6 |
| 5 | S-20 | 20,000 | 95.00 | 75 | 10.7 | 71.3 |
| 6 | S-25 | 25,000 | 95.00 | 100 | 12.5 | 95.9 |
| 7 | D-15 | 15,000 | 95.00 | 55 | 6.4 | 30.5 |
| 8 | D-20 | 20,000 | 95.00 | 65 | 8.2 | 44.8 |
| 9 | D-25 | 25,000 | 95.00 | 75 | 8.9 | 51.5 |
| 10 | D-30 | 30,000 | 95.00 | 85 | 10.3 | 67 |
| 11 | D-40 | 40,000 | 95.00 | 90 | 13.0 | 103.6 |
| 12 | D-50 | 50,000 | 95.00 | 80 | 13.3 | 108.1 |
| 13 | D-75 | 75,000 | 95.00 | 110 | 17.8 | 189.5 |
| 14 | D-100 | 100,000 | 95.00 | 140 | 20.6 | 272.5 |

# Federal Aviation Administration FAARFIELD 2.0 Form 5010 

FAARFIELD 2.0.18 (Build 05/26/2022)

## RUNWAY DATA

Job Name: 2212ANGLE - Section 3

Section: Exist Section 3

## Gross Weight (In THSDS)

| 35 S | 111 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 36 D | 169 |
| 37 2D | 250 |
| 38 2D/2D2 | 697 |

39 PCR
455/F/C/X/T

# Federal Aviation Administration FAARFIELD 2.0 Section Report 

## Job Name: 2212ANGLE - Section 3

## Section: Concete Option 1

Analysis Type: New Rigid
Last Run: Life Analysis 2023-09-19 10:10:13

Calculated Life $=33.7$ Years
Total thickness to the top of the subgrade $=25.0 \mathrm{in}$.

## Pavement Structure Information by Layer

| No. | Type | Thickness <br> (in.) | Modulus <br> (psi) | Poisson's <br> Ratio | Strength R <br> (psi) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | P-501 PCC Surface | 9.5 | $4,000,000$ | 0.15 | 650 |
| 2 | User Defined | 12.0 | 150,000 | 0.35 | 0 |
| 3 | User Defined | 3.5 | 62,000 | 0.35 | 0 |
| 4 | Subgrade | 0 | 9,700 | 0.4 | 0 |

Airplane Information

| No. | Name | Gross Wt. (lbs) | Annual Departures | \% Annual Growth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | S-5 | 5,000 | 340 | 5 |
| 2 | S-10 | 10,000 | 200 | 5 |
| 3 | S-12.5 | 12,500 | 110 | 5 |
| 4 | S-15 | 15,000 | 13 | 5 |
| 5 | S-20 | 20,000 | 44 | 5 |
| 6 | S-25 | 25,000 | 2 | 5 |
| 7 | D-15 | 15,000 | 113 | 5 |
| 8 | D-20 | 20,000 | 16 | 5 |
| 9 | D-25 | 25,000 | 36 | 5 |
| 10 | D-30 | 30,000 | 26 | 5 |
| 11 | D-40 | 40,000 | 73 | 5 |
| 12 | D-50 | 50,000 | 2 | 5 |
| 13 | D-75 | 75,000 | 10 | 5 |
| 14 | D-100 | 100,000 | 860 | 5 |

## Additional Airplane Information

| No. | Name | CDF <br> Contribution | CDF Max <br> for Airplane | P/C <br> Ratio |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | S-5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 12.6 |
| 2 | S-10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.92 |
| 3 | S-12.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.99 |
| 4 | S-15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.3 |
| 5 | S-20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.74 |
| 6 | S-25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.99 |
| 7 | D-15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.48 |
| 8 | D-20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.18 |
| 10 | D-25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.03 |
| 11 | D-30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.89 |
| 12 | D-40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.36 |
| 13 | D-50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.73 |
| 14 | D-100 | 0.00 | 0.48 | 3.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 3.55 |  |

User Is responsible For checking frost protection requirements.


## Federal Aviation Administration FAARFIELD 2.0 PCR Report

FAARFIELD 2.0.18 (Build 05/26/2022)

## Job Name: 2212ANGLE - Section 3

## Section: Concete Option 1

This file name = PCR Results for New Rigid 2023-09-20 15:25:04
Evaluation pavement type is rigid and design program is FAARFIELD.
Section name: Concete Option 1 in job file: 2212ANGLE - Section 3.JOB.xml
Units = US Customary
Analysis Type: New Rigid
Subgrade Modulus $=9,700$ psi (Subgrade Category is C )
Evaluation Pavement Thickness $=25.0$ in.
Pass to Traffic Cycle (PtoTC) Ratio $=1.00$
Maximum number of wheels per gear $=2$
$C D F=1.230$

Results Table 1. Input Traffic Data

| No. | Aircraft Name | Gross Weight (lbs) | Percent Gross Weight | Tire Pressure (psi) | Annual Departure | 20 Years Coverage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | S-5 | 5,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 340 | 810 |
| 2 | S-10 | 10,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 200 | 672 |
| 3 | S-12.5 | 12,500 | 95.00 | 50 | 110 | 413 |
| 4 | S-15 | 15,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 13 | 53 |
| 5 | S-20 | 20,000 | 95.00 | 75 | 44 | 171 |
| 6 | S-25 | 25,000 | 95.00 | 100 | 2 | 8 |
| 7 | D-15 | 15,000 | 95.00 | 55 | 113 | 618 |
| 8 | D-20 | 20,000 | 95.00 | 65 | 16 | 93 |
| 9 | D-25 | 25,000 | 95.00 | 75 | 36 | 215 |
| 10 | D-30 | 30,000 | 95.00 | 85 | 26 | 160 |
| 11 | D-40 | 40,000 | 95.00 | 90 | 73 | 502 |
| 12 | D-50 | 50,000 | 95.00 | 80 | 2 | 16 |
| 13 | D-75 | 75,000 | 95.00 | 110 | 10 | 83 |
| 14 | D-100 | 100,000 | 95.00 | 140 | 860 | 7,259 |

## Results Table 2. PCR Value

| No. | Aircraft <br> Name | Critical aircraft Total equiv. <br> departures | Max allowable Gross Weight of critical <br> aircraft (lbs) | ACR Thick at max. <br> MGW (in.) | PCR/R/C |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | D-100 | 855 | 98,791 | 10.3 | 301.1 |

Results Table 3. New Rigid ACR at Indicated Gross Weight and Strength

| No. | Aircraft Name | Gross Weight (lbs) | Percent Gross Weight on Main Gear | Tire Pressure (psi) | ACR Thick (in.) (C) | ACR/R/C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | S-5 | 5,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 2.0 | 10.4 |
| 2 | S-10 | 10,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 2.4 | 19.8 |
| 3 | S-12.5 | 12,500 | 95.00 | 50 | 2.8 | 26.1 |
| 4 | S-15 | 15,000 | 95.00 | 50 | 3.2 | 32.3 |
| 5 | S-20 | 20,000 | 95.00 | 75 | 4.4 | 57.6 |
| 6 | S-25 | 25,000 | 95.00 | 100 | 5.3 | 83.1 |
| 7 | D-15 | 15,000 | 95.00 | 55 | 3.0 | 28.3 |
| 8 | D-20 | 20,000 | 95.00 | 65 | 3.8 | 43.9 |
| 9 | D-25 | 25,000 | 95.00 | 75 | 4.3 | 55.8 |
| 10 | D-30 | 30,000 | 95.00 | 85 | 5.0 | 73.9 |
| 11 | D-40 | 40,000 | 95.00 | 90 | 6.1 | 107.8 |
| 12 | D-50 | 50,000 | 95.00 | 80 | 6.4 | 120.7 |
| 13 | D-75 | 75,000 | 95.00 | 110 | 8.6 | 212.8 |
| 14 | D-100 | 100,000 | 95.00 | 140 | 10.4 | 305.8 |

# Federal Aviation Administration FAARFIELD 2.0 Form 5010 

FAARFIELD 2.0.18 (Build 05/26/2022)

## RUNWAY DATA

Job Name: 2212ANGLE - Section 3

Section: Concete Option 1

## Gross Weight (In THSDS)

| 35 S | 82 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 36 D | 99 |
| 37 2D | 174 |
| 38 2D/2D2 | 0 |
|  |  |
| 39 PCR | $301 / \mathrm{R} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{W} / \mathrm{T}$ |


[^0]:    1 https://www.airporttech.tc.faa.gov/Products/Airport-Safety-Papers-Publications/Airport-Safety-Detail/ArtMID/3682/ArticleID/11/BAKFAA-330
    ${ }^{2}$ From NCHRP Report 372, as referenced in AC150/5320-6G, Appendix C, paragraph 3.14.7.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ FAA AC 150/5320-6G, paragraph 3.5.1.

