



Aviation Division
6230 E Stassney Lane | Austin, Texas 78744
512.416.4500
www.txdot.gov

ADDENDUM NO. 2

Issue Date: April 25, 2025
By Texas Department of Transportation
Aviation Division

IDIQ-Construction Management Services

TxDOT CSJ No. **RFQ4225AVNCM00000**

The following revisions and clarifications are to be incorporated into your response for the above referenced project.

RFQ Response Date Question:

1. TxDOT currently has eleven (11) RFQs active, simultaneously, this one included. Many of these are due either at the same time, or within a few days of each other. Will TxDOT consider spacing these out so that firms can respond more meaningfully to the various proposals?

Response: TxDOT is amending the due date for 4225AVNCM to May 21, 2025

DBE Related Questions:

1. If the prime firm is already a DBE in the state of Texas, will that go toward meeting the 10% DBE goal stated in the RFQ?

Response: Any work a prime DBE will do will count towards the contract goal.

Exhibit-1 – Professional Licenses Questions:

1. Does the Exhibit-1 Professional Licenses form on Page 9 of 9 count against the 9-page limit, or can it be inserted at the end of the submission as an appendix item?

Response: Exhibit-1 is not included in the 9-page limit of the qualification statement

2. Can we recreate the Exhibit-1 Professional Licenses in our own file, or should we only type on the PDF form?

Response: Firms must use the Exhibit-1 provided in the RFQ

3. Does Exhibit-1 (and additional pages for it) count in the nine-page limit?

Response: Exhibit-1 is not included in the 9-page limit of the qualification statement

4. Does the listed Project Manager have to be a licensed Professional Engineer within the State of Texas, or can they be supported by licensed engineers assigned as task leaders?

Response: The Project Manager is required to be a licensed Professional Engineer in the State of Texas by the submission deadline.

RFQ Response – Electronic Copy Questions:

1. How does TxDOT Aviation want to receive the PDF electronic copy? Does submission via USB satisfy this request?

Response: Submission of PDF electronic copy should be through the eGrants system and five unfolded hard copies, staple bound without tabs mailed to the address listed in the RFQ. USB will not be accepted

2. Just confirming that we also need to submit our response in eGrants in addition to the hard copies and electronic PDF delivered?

Response: Submission of PDF electronic copy should be through the eGrants system and five unfolded hard copies, staple bound without tabs mailed to the address listed in the RFQ.

3. Will you clarify what the submittal requirements will be? The top of page 7 of 10 says submittal will be in eGrants, but the directions immediately below it requires hard copies with electronic.

Response: Submission of PDF electronic copy should be through the eGrants system and five unfolded hard copies, staple bound without tabs mailed to the address listed in the RFQ.

Inspection Services and RPR Question:

1. Will you please confirm the terminology for “Inspection Services” under Item 4. of the “Work to be Performed” (page 4) section are the Resident Project Representative under the Attachment B of the CM sample contract (page 59)?

Response: It is confirmed.

Project Manager/Task Leader Question:

1. Does the listed Project Manager have to be a licensed Professional Engineer within the State of Texas, or can they be supported by licensed engineers assigned as task leaders?

Response: The Project Manager is required to be a licensed Professional Engineer in the State of Texas by the submission deadline.

Design Changes in Construction Question:

1. Will the selected firm for Construction Management IDIQ have to perform design changes in construction or will the design firm be tasked with performing those changes?

Response: The construction management firm may have to perform design changes in addition to the design firm.

Construction Management Questions:

1. Under the CM sample contract, it contains broad terms where the consultant is responsible for the accuracy of the work. Usually, the work was the same engineer's work from design through construction so errors or omissions of the work could have been the design engineer's responsibility. Per the preclusion, this must be different consultant. Section 1.2.3. of the sample contract is unclear about whose errors will be corrected without compensation and would be unfair to the CM consultant to be responsible for accuracy when "Work to be Performed" under item 1.b. includes "PS&E modifications". Will the contract be changed to clarify what work the CM consultant is responsible for?

Response: The prime contract will be evaluated prior to issuance.

Project Assignment Process Question:

1. Can TxDOT Aviation provide language on the assigning process of one of the selected firms for each individual project?

Response: Assignment will be sequential, based on final ratings and rankings.